Journal Pre-proof

Intelligent Fruit Detection System using Optimized Hybrid Deep Learning Models

Angajala Guna Sai Abhishek, Ravi Kumar T, Panduranga Vital Terlapu, Chalapathi Rao Tippana and Ramkishor Pondreti

DOI: 10.53759/7669/jmc202505109 Reference: JMC202505109 Journal: Journal of Machine and Computing.

Received 30 October 2024 Revised form 02 January 2025 Accepted 26 March 2025



Please cite this article as: Angajala Guna Sai Abhishek, Ravi Kumar T, Panduranga Vital Terlapu, Chalapathi Rao Tippana and Ramkishor Pondreti, "Intelligent Fruit Detection System using Optimized Hybrid Deep Learning Models", Journal of Machine and Computing. (2025). Doi: https:// doi.org/10.53759/7669/jmc202505109.

This PDF file contains an article that has undergone certain improvements after acceptance. These enhancements include the addition of a cover page, metadata, and formatting changes aimed at enhancing readability. However, it is important to note that this version is not considered the final authoritative version of the article.

Prior to its official publication, this version will undergo further stages of refinement, such as copyediting, typesetting, and comprehensive review. These processes are implemented to ensure the article's final form is of the highest quality. The purpose of sharing this version is to offer early visibility of the article's content to readers.

Please be aware that throughout the production process, it is possible that errors or discrepancies may be identified, which could impact the content. Additionally, all legal disclaimers applicable to the journal remain in effect.

© 2025 Published by AnaPub Publications.



Intelligent Fruit Detection System using Optimized Hybrid **Deep Learning Models**

¹Angajala Guna Sai Abhishek, ²T.Ravi Kumar, ³Panduranga Vital Terlapu, ⁴Chalapathi rao tippana, ⁵Ramkishor Pondreti

¹Student, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, Tekkali, Srikakulam Andhra Pradesh, India-532201

² Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, Tekkali, Sril , culam, Andhra Pradesh, India-532201

³Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, Tek Andhra Pradesh, India-532201

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Aditya Institute of Technology and M ali ment. Te Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh, India-532201 ekkali,

⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Aditya Institute of Tech nageme

Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh, India-532201

¹saiabhi116@gmail.com, ² ravi.4u.kumar@gmail.com, ³ vital292 gmail.c

⁴tippana,chalapathit520 @gmail.com, ⁵ramkishor.pondreti@g

*Corresponding Author: ravi.4u.kumar@gmail.c

Abstract –

Accurate and efficient detection of dragon fruit ripeness is crucial for harvesting schedules, reducing postharvest losses, and ensuring fruit quality. This research investigates apply d hybrid deep learning (DL) models for intelligent dragon fruit ripeness classification using a dataset of 2 feature extraction using pre-trained CNNs, specifically DenseNet-50 and ResNet-50, follow reduction using Principal Component hv aension fiers, including Support Vector Machines (SVM) Analysis (PCA). The reduced feature sets are then fed j s cl with linear and RBF kernels, a Voting ensemble of Layer Perceptron (MLP). The performance of VMs, a a Mu models is evaluated using key metrics such as accurate AU tc. The experimental findings indicate that the DenseNet-50 features combined with PCA and an SVM Voting en achieve the highest classification accuracy of 97.71%, along with a balanced recall, precision, and F1-score of 0.96 ResNet-50 features coupled with an MLP also exhibit competitiveperformance

Keywords - Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLE ector Machines (SVM), Self-Attention, RBF kernels, Steel Strength Estimation, DenseNet-50, ResNet-50 lysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

Dragon fruit is a trop fru o called Hylocereus and Seleniferous. This fruit comes from Central and South America. It h ok and is nutritious. Dragon fruit is important for the economy. It grows well in warm ique fruit ne s well-drained soil with a pH of 6 to 7. It can survive drought well. Farmers grow it from temperatur stem cutting aut cuttings are faster. The plant produces fruit in 8-12 months and can keep producing for 20 years seed is pop ar because more people want exotic and healthy fruits. It has low costs, resists pests, and sells with good akes it a good choice for sustainable farming. Dragon fruit is grown in over 20 countries, with for high i \mathbf{M} , Malaysia, the Philippines, and China being major producers. The global market was appraised at \$895 hd is anticipated to expand at a CAGR of 3.5% from 2022 to 2027. Vietnam is the largest exporter, mill over 55% of the global supply, primarily exporting to China, the USA, and Europe. Dragon fruit farming is count g popular in India. The government is supporting this growth. There is a rising demand for dragon fruit in the untry. Major farming states include Maharashtra, Gujarat, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh. India produces about 12,000-15,000 metric tons of year, with demand growing at a 20% annual rate.

Dragon fruit is a low-maintenance crop, but it can cause diseases. Common diseases include: 1. Anthracnose: This causes brown spots on stems and fruits, leading to rot. 2. Stem Rot: A fungal infection that weakens the plant and lowers fruit production. 3. Bacterial Soft Rot: This creates soft, water-soaked spots on the fruit. 4. Cactus Virus X: A virus that stunts growth and reduces flowering. To manage these diseases, biological control agents, organic fungicides, crop rotation, and AI are used for early detection.

DL has significantly improved the monitoring, analysis, and classification of agricultural produce, mainly dragon fruit. Convolutional (CNNs) Neural Networks, Transfer Learning, and Hybrid DL Models are used for disease detection, ripeness classification, and yield prediction. Traditional manual inspection methods are labour-intensive and prone to human error. AI-powered computer vision techniques enable high-speed and accurate fruit quality, ripeness, and disease detection classification. Hybrid DL models, combining CNN architectures like ResNet, VGGNet, and EfficientNet, can improv classification accuracy by up to high accuracy. AI is being used in agricultural research to improve fruit detection. Rece advancements include multi-spectral imaging and smart farming with IoT. However, challenges include limited datasets slow processing, and changing environments. The research intends to develop a system for detecting dragon fruit using advanced deep-learning models. This system will use a large dataset and have real-time deployment capabilities.

The global demand for high-quality fruits has seen a significant rise, driven by increasing consumer awareness reding health and nutrition. Among the many exotic fruits gaining popularity, dragon fruit stands out due appearance, nutritional value, and economic potential. As this demand grows, there is a pressing ed to nance agricultural productivity and ensure the quality of produce through efficient and intelligent systems ional m hods of fruit inspection, sorting, and grading are largely manual, time-consuming, and often inco uman stent subjectivity. These challenges have created a space for technological innovations, part realm of artificial lin intelligence (AI) and deep learning (DL), to revolutionize modern agriculture

Deep learning has shown exceptional capabilities in solving complex visual recognition problems, especially in agriculture where precision is essential. By using neural networks capable of learning intricate particle particle and fruit classification. In particular, 1Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have emerged as a powerful to provide image-based analysis, capable of detecting subtle differences in fruit ripeness, shape, color, and the parsence of diseases. While single-model CNN architectures like VGGNet, ResNet, and Inception have individually performed cells suggest that combining their strengths into hybrid models can significantly enhance performance.

learning models leverages the strengths of various An intelligent fruit detection system powered by optimiz Such a system can be trained on large image CNN architectures to deliver more accurate, reliable and fas resu datasets, enabling it to distinguish between healthy a diseas fruits, classify ripeness levels, and even estimate yields in real time. Optimization techniques such as transfer lea hyperparameter tuning, and model fusion are employed to refine these models for practical deployment. Moreover, in ating these models with smart farming tools like IoT sensors and drones can further elevate the effectiveness of the system allowing continuous, automated monitoring across largescale farms

Dragon fruit farming, in particular, strad concentration immensely from this innovation. Despite being a relatively lowmaintenance crop, dragon fruit is strateptible to several diseases that can affect yield and quality. Early detection and classification of such issues can dratically reduce losses and improve overall productivity. Additionally, with the increasing demand for premium matching the domestic and international markets, quality assurance has become a critical factor for exporters and suppress.

system aims to develop a robust, scalable, and real-time solution for the agricultural The proposed intellig learning models that combine the accuracy of advanced CNNs with optimization techniques, sector. By usi id de e process of fruit monitoring and classification. This not only reduces dependency on the system utomate inimizes human error, increases efficiency, and ensures consistent quality standards. With the manual labo ut als t technologies, this system represents a forward step in transforming traditional farming into a integratic nd sm of . agricultural practice. data-drive telli

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Khatun et al. (2024) [1] presents a carefully selected image dataset, to identify the maturity and grade the quality of dragon in it. The dataset consists of labeled photos taken in a range of settings, encompassing different stages of ripeness and quality levels. It seeks to assist machine learning applications in automating fruit classification, improving post-harvest and agricultural processes' efficiency. Da et al. (2024) [2] suggests a deep learning system with explainable AI, to classify dragon fruit according to its quality and maturity. While XAI techniques offer transparency by emphasizing important decision areas, convolutional neural networks guarantee accurate detection. In smart agriculture, the method improves automated grading. Patil et al. (2021) [3] investigates the application of machine learning algorithms; to grade and classify

dragon fruits according to characteristics like color, size, and surface texture, Fruits are categorized into quality groups using models like SVM and decision trees. This method contributes to effective and reliable quality control in smart agriculture by increasing accuracy, decreasing manual labor, and facilitating automation in post-harvest procedures.

Using image data, Shakil et al. (2023) [4] examines efficient feature selection methods for dragon fruit disease identification. To improve the accuracy and simplify the model, techniques like PCA, RFE, and mutual information a compared. By promoting early detection and effective diagnosis, the study enhances crop health and promotes sustainable farming methods. Using a dataset of 28,750 photos, Nguyen et al. (2024) [5] created a deep learning model to recognize dragon fruit trees in Ham Thuan Bac, Phan Thiet, Binh Thuan, Vietnam. To achieve high accuracy in tree detection Faster R-CNN model and image processing techniques were used. By offering real-time tree health insights f better decision-making, the system seeks to support precision agriculture. Zhang et al. (2025) [6] proposed an appro that dragon fruit ripeness in natural orchards can be classified using the lightweight and precise deep MIRNet_ECA. To effectively extract fine-grained features, it makes use of multi-scale inverted residual ECA locks attention. With a 95.94% accuracy rate, the model outperformed current techniques and was effective plemen d for real-time use on mobile devices, facilitating intelligent orchard management and enhancing posttrol. est q

Sarkar et al. (2025) [7] introduced UDCAD-DFL-DL, a dataset of 4,518 high-resolution n fruit plants that photo f dr include both healthy samples and samples with a variety of diseases, including bacter proble fungal fections, insect damage, sunburn, and physical injuries. The dataset was intended to enhance crop ma it and disease diagnosis by 7ei supporting machine learning-based classification and detection. It was openly accessible Mendeley Data with a CC BY 4.0 license. Sattar et al. (2024) [8] offered a computer vision technique based on deer lea g for identifying harmful compounds in fruits, such as formaldehyde. The scientists created a dataset of both esh and chemically treated fruits, tested several pre-trained models, and then unveiled DurbeenNet, a new at outperformed the others in detecting dangerous substances and guaranteeing food safety with an accuracy Patil et al. (2021) [9] describes an 96 automated system that uses machine learning algorithms such as C VM to grade and sort dragon fruits. Using a Raspberry Pi and depth camera, it assesses fruits tics like size, shape, weight, color, and o chara ordi the presence of disease. When sorting fruits for quality m increases consistency and efficiency. atro ie s

To facilitate automated branch pruning during fruit un et al. (2021) [10] presented a technique for identifying esting keypoints on fruit-bearing branches. It combined intra and inter-level features to identify keypoints using a multilevel feature fusion network. In comparison to other cutting dge techniques, the method provided a compact model with lower computational requirements, achieving an average prech n of 77.4% and accuracy of 84.7% when tested on a citrus bearing branch dataset. Zang et al. (2025) Presented, the Efficient Lightweight Plum Detector (ELPD), a model for precise plum detection. It made use of For U to handle difficult samples, DTIDH to enhance task interaction, erand PEMSConv for improved feature reduced model size and parameters by more than 30% while still n. EL outperforming the baseline model in et al. (2023) [12] presented RDE-YOLOv7, an improved YOLOv7 curacy. It included an optimized loss function, DECA for better attention to important model designed for dragon fruit detection features, and RepConv for b ping. When compared to the original YOLOv7, these enhancements resulted er fea еŀ in higher precision, recall, a l mAP, i reasing its efficacy for real-time fruit detection.

Zang et al. (20 hanced YOLOv5s model for dragon fruit detection in natural orchard settings. The ib model achieved (mAP 97.4%) with reduced size and complexity by integrating attention mechanisms and a accura naking Probust and efficient for real-time detection in a variety of lighting conditions. Zou et al. lightweigh (2023) [14] ragon fruit picking detection technique that makes use of PSP-Ellipse and YOLOv7. While PSPsted Ellipse u nation and ellipse fitting to accurately identify picking points, YOLOv7 was able to detect fruit seg que proved successful for automated harvesting under real-world circumstances since it achieved orientation tech. d decreased errors. Oiu et al. (2024) [15] enhanced YOLOv8n model for determining the ripeness of high ecision SE-YOLO, was proposed in the paper. The model's high accuracy (mAP50 of 90.9%) and low dragon putational cost, which were achieved by utilizing GhostConv, SPPELAN, EMA attention, and WIoU loss, made it real-time use in orchards.

III. METHODOLOGY

The study outlines a systematic process for creating an optimal predictive model for dragon fruit ripeness classification. It uses ResNet-121 and DenseNet-50 for feature extraction, PCA for dimensionality reduction, and classification models like

SVM and MLP for prediction. The dataset preparation includes resizing, augmentation, and cropping for uniformity. Key evaluation metrics assess model performance, resulting in an optimized predictive framework.

3.1 Proposal Model:

The proposed model involves a systematic process for developing an optimal predictive model using Dragon Fruit image. The dataset is collected and refined through resizing, augmentation, and cropping to ensure uniformity and quality. The pre-processed images are then subjected to an Image Features Extraction Process using transfer learning models specifically ResNet-121 and DenseNet-50. These models extract features from the images, capturing intricate patterns and characteristics. The extracted features are stored for further processing. The workflow aims to improve the accuracy of predictive models. The process uses ResNet-121 and DenseNet-50 to optimize models for Dragon Fruit images. It aduces the dimensionality of features to 500 components with PCA. The dataset is split into 80% and 20% for train an test respectively. Model performance is measured using Accuracy, AUC, Recall, F1-score, and Precision. Theoest pedictive model is built from this analysis, leading to performance reports and test results. This method creates an efficient pueline for analysing Dragon Fruit images and produces a strong predictive model.



Figure 1. Proposal Model for Detection of Dragon Fruit Ripeness

3.2 Detailed Data set Description with figures table form

A dataset of 2,563 dragon fruit images was collected from IEEE-Dataport. It shows different ripeness stages. There are 1,248 images of unripe dragon fruits and 1,315 of ripe ones. The images were taken with two cameras: a Nikon D5200 DSLR and a OnePlus 6 smartphone. The DSLR images have a resolution of 4000×6000 pixels. The OnePlus 6 images have a resolution of 3456×4608 pixels. A dataset of 2,563 dragon fruit images was created. It shows different ripeness

stages. There are 1,248 images of unripe dragon fruits and 1,315 of ripe ones. The images were taken with two cameras: a Nikon D5200 DSLR and a OnePlus 6 smartphone. The DSLR images have a resolution of 4000×6000 pixels. The OnePlus 6 images have a resolution of 3456×4608 pixels. The dataset was collected at a dragon fruit farm in Baramati, Maharashtra, India. It was captured from late June to mid-July 2021. The conditions were natural lighting. The average temperature was 28°C. The weather was partly sunny with 65% humidity. Wind speeds were around 17 km/h.



Figure 2. Different category of Dragon Fruit images

3.3 DenseNet-121

DenseNet is a deep neural network. It res and solves the vanishing gradient problem. Each layer connects tion flow and efficiency. DenseNet avoids recomputing redundant to all previous layers. This design a roves inform feature maps, which reduces the numb of parameters. It also enhances gradient flow, allowing backpropagation to reach vious feature maps, leading to better feature learning. DenseNet-121 is one earlier layers. Each layer car 11 different number of dense layers. Key parts of DenseNet include dense blocks, version of DenseNet. Each ock has growth rate, transition layer lobal a rage pooling, and the final dense layer. DenseNet offers benefits over traditional CNNs. These t flow, efficient parameters, feature reuse, lower computational costs, faster mory efficiency. convergence, ar proved

A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			
Layer Type	Details	Filter Size / Stride	Output Shape
Input Layer	Image Input		$224 \times 224 \times 3$
Conv1	Conv. + Bat. Norm + ReLU	7 × 7, Stride 2	112 × 112 × 64
MaxPool1	Max Pooling	3 × 3, Stride 2	56 × 56 × 64
Dense Block 1	6 Dense Layers	1 × 1, 3 × 3	56 × 56 × 256
Transition Layer 1	1 × 1 Conv + AvgPool	$1 \times 1, 2 \times 2$ Pooling	28 × 28 × 128
Dense Block 2	12 Dense Layers	1 × 1, 3 × 3	28 × 28 × 512
Transition Layer 2	1 × 1 Conv + AvgPool	$1 \times 1, 2 \times 2$ Pooling	14 × 14 × 256
Dense Block 3	24 Dense Layers	1 × 1, 3 × 3	$14 \times 14 \times 1024$
Transition Layer 3	1 × 1 Conv + AvgPool	$1 \times 1, 2 \times 2$ Pooling	7×7×512
Dense Block 4	16 Dense Layers	1 × 1, 3 × 3	7 × 7 × 1024
Global Avg Pooling	Pooling Layer	7 × 7	1 × 1 × 1024
FC Layer	Dense Layer	-	1000 (classes)
Softmax Laver	Classification	1	1000 (classes)

Figure 4. Detailed DenseNet-121 Model for Feature Extraction

3.4 Resnet 50

ResNet-50 is a deep neural network with 50 layers. It is utilized for image categorization and feature extraction. The network has special blocks called bottleneck residual blocks. These blocks use skip connections to help with gradient flow. This prevents issues like vanishing gradients. The architecture includes convolutional layers, batch normalization, ReLU activation, and pooling layers. These components help in learning features hierarchically. A global average pooling lay reduces the size of the data before passing data to a fully connected layer for classification.



Figure 5. Detailed Resm. Model for Feature Extraction

3.5 Multi-Layer Perceptron

The MLP model for AD analysis uses input charges ke X1, X2, and Xm. It has three layers: Input, Hidden, and Output. Each layer contains neurons. The tracking set is seened with feature values and input transformations. The network learns by adjusting weights to reduce errors between output and target values. It updates adjust weights utilizing gradient descent during each epoch.

The figure shows the struct of an l LP. This type of artificial neural network is used for tasks like classifying fruit ripeness. It illustrate hrough forward propagation and how weights are adjusted during backpropagation. The MLP consi dden, and output layers. The MLP is a DL model. It has three main layers. The first layer has input, m neurons the inpu eature space. The hidden layer has five neurons connected to all input neurons. This layer using weighted sums and activation functions. It helps identify complex patterns, like fruit processes in featu t lays has two neurons for final classifications. Each neuron in the hidden and output layers has bias ripeness. he oi terms. The erms prove the model's flexibility. Weights connect the neurons across layers. Backpropagation adjusts veights the educe prediction errors.



3.6 Backpropagation Flow Chart

The ANN Back Propagation flow chart outlines the process of d urons, weights, bias values, and error ing la values. It then calculates MSE values, updates weights, alues with goal error values, and stops the process eri if the goal is reached. The MLP Backpropagation F Figur provides a clear roadmap for training a Multi-Chart Layer Perceptron (MLP) using the backpropagation orith It outlines steps for initialization, forward and backward passes, error calculation, weight updates, and termination ditions, enabling the network to classify dragon fruit ripeness. The MLP training process begins with defining the network rchitecture, including layers and neurons. Initial values are assigned to the weights and biases connecting neurons across vers. The forward propagation phase computes the output of hidden neurons and output layers based input patterns, reading the training data sequentially. The error of a pattern is calculated using a loss function like MS ptropy, comparing the O/P prediction to the target value. or.

The backpropagation algorithm adjust weights and bases based on the calculated error, using gradient descent to minimize loss. The process checks if weight updates have been applied to all layers and calculates the error for each neuron in the hidden layer. The MSE and paterna for tables are computed to assess overall performance. The process iterates over all training patterns, comparing the total error against a predefined threshold (ϵ). If the error is small, the training stops; otherwise, it continues. The taining concludes when the error threshold is met, or all patterns are processed satisfactorily.





This section evaluates different desifiction models. The models include DenseNet50 with PCA, ResNet50, SVM, and Multilayer Perceptron. They were as associating metrics like Recall, F1-score, Precision, Accuracy, and the confusion matrix (CM). The goal was a see how well they classify dragon fruit ripeness.

4.1 DenseNet 21 + CA 00) Cares +ML Models

An ensem assificat n model is created using SVMs. Bootstrapping and majority voting are used to improve accuracy. T loaded, and features are standardized. The data is subsequently divided into testing (20%) and ataset training (%) s The ensemble-based classification model uses SVMs with bootstrapping and majority voting. It was evaluated u g Re , F1-score, Precision, Accuracy, and confusion matrix. The model achieved a high accuracy of 95. sses showed strong performance, with an F1 score over 0.95. The ensemble learning model performs Both v false negative rate of 1.87% and a FP rate of 6.9%. The model detects positive cases accurately with few well. . Its accuracy shows that the ensemble learning strategy is effective.

Ensemble Tes	st Accuracy: 9	5.71%			Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve
Class	Precisio	Recal	F1-	Suppor	1.0
	n	1	Scor	t	0.8
			е		
0	0.98	0.93	0.95	246	- 0.0 te
1	0.94	0.98	0.96	267	Positive
Accuracy			0.96	513	
Macro	0.96	0.96	0.96	513	0,2
avg					
Weighte	0.96	0.96	0.96	513	0.0 - ROC curve (AUC D.99) Chance level (Al = 0.5)
d avg					0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0 False Positive Rate
<u> </u>					
Confusion M	atrix :				
229		17			
5		262			
	Figure 8. De	enseNet50 +1	PCA(500) F	'eatures+ ensemb	ole-based classification Personance alysis

The research system builds an MLP for classification. It uses TensorFlow/Keras. The vstep manages data handling and preprocessing. It separates features from targets and encodes labels. It also scales features and splits the data. The MLP has an I/P layer, a H/L layer, and an O/P layer. The model trains with an optimizer and a loss fraction. It runs for 20 epochs with a batch size of 32. Twenty per cent of the training data is used for validation one model is then evaluated, and predictions are made based on the test data. Improvements include better labeled and scaling. It also handles errors and uses deep learning.

The MLP model achieved an accuracy of 92% in class classification alanc performance across both non-target wi and target classes. Class 0 had a good equilibrium across ith an accuracy of 0.91 and a recall of 0.93. Class 1 0.91. However, Class 1 had a slightly higher FN had a well-balanced classification with a precision of 5 and ecall (24), suggesting some positive instances were misse which co d be imployed by fine-tuning the model hyperparameters. Key takeaways include balanced classification with st call and precision across both classes, low misclassification rates, and potential areas for improvement, such as adjust class weights, implementing dropout layers or regularization techniques, and hyperparameter tuning.



Figure 9. DenseNet-121 +PCA(500) Features+ MLP model classification Performance analysis

The SVM Model Training and Evaluation System uses scikit-learn. It loads data from a CSV file. The system preprocesses the data. It splits the data into features and target variables. It standardizes the data with Standard Scaler. It uses a linear ternel for training, addresses class imbalances, and splits data into training and testing sets.

The performance of the SVM model was assessed by a classification report and a confusion matrix. The model attained an overall accuracy of 94%, accurately classifying 94 out of 100 examples. It exhibited strong performance in both categories without notable bias. Class-specific performance indicated that 95% of cases predicted as Class 0 were indeed Class 0, and 92% of actual Class 0 instances were accurately identified. Class 1 cases were detected with 93% precision and 95% recall.

The CM indicated few misclassifications, demonstrating that the model proficiently differentiates between the two classes. The SVM model exhibited robust classification performance, achieving excellent accuracy along with balanced precision and recall for both classes.

ate

ē

Classification Report						
Class	Precision	Recall	F1-	Support		
			Score			
0	0.95	0.92	0.93	246		
3	0.93	0.95	0.94	267		
Accuracy			0.94	513		
Macro	0.94	0.94	0.94	513		
avg						
Weighted	0.94	0.94	0.94	513		
avg						
Confusion Matrix :						
227		19				
13		254				



Figure 10. DenseNet-121 +PCA(500) Features+ SVM model classification Performance palysis

4.2 RESNET50 + PCA(500) Features + ML Models

ning nethods. First, it loads features from The experiment focuses on creating a SVM(rbf) classifier. It uses ma a CSV file. Then, it standardizes the data and divides it into training absets. To address class imbalance, it g and employs SMOTE on the training data. The model gene as for the test set. It assesses performance using a dic ates an categorization report and a confusion matrix. It con s the ROC curve, subsequently exporting the visua findings to a new CSV file. Model Categorizes "u cessed and "mature" Elevated precision, recall, and F1 metrics. Excellent recall with 93% accuracy for "raw" occurrent 98% for "ripe" instances. The F1 score equilibrates precision and recall. Confusion matrix: 2x2 with TN at 228 and FP at 18, 6, and 261. Potential for improvement: tuning parameters, exploring different algorithms, gathering mot training data. The ROC-AUC measures a classifier's performance across different classification the olds. An AUČ of 0.5 signifies a random classifier, 1.0 denotes a perfect classifier, and the range of 0.5 to 1.0 reprepetent classifier. An area under (AUC) the curve of 0.95 indicates ents strong discriminative power, confirma prmance, robustness across thresholds, and a large AUC curve. It is displayed in the top left corner of the aph.



Figure 11. ResNet50 +PCA(500) Features+ SVM model classification Performance analysis

The experiment aims to classify dragon fruit ripeness using a Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) neural network. The setup includes feature extraction, data splitting, feature scaling, and class imbalance handling. The MLP Classifier is selected and trained on the balanced training data. The model is used to predict dragon fruit ripeness in the test set. Performance evaluations include classification reports, F1 scores, confusion matrix, ROC curves, and AUC. Results are stored in a

Pandas Data Frame and saved in a CSV file. The model classifies dragon fruits as "raw" or "ripe." It has an accuracy of 95%. The model shows high precision and recall. It correctly identifies 91% of "raw" fruits. The F1 score balances recall and precision well. In testing, there were 246 instances. The model misclassified 21 "raw" fruits as "ripe" and 7 "ripe" fruits as "raw." This means it often mistakes raw fruits for ripe ones. The model performs well for both categories. The MLP neural network effectively captures patterns in the data. Overall, the model shows strong performance in classifying dragor fruit ripeness.

Classification Report						
Class	Precision	Recall	F1-	Support		
			Score			
Raw	0.97	0.91	0.94	246		
Rip	0.93	0.97	0.95	267		
Accuracy			0.95	513		
Macro	0.95	0.94	0.95	513		
avg						
Weighted	0.95	0.95	0.95	513		
avg						

21

Confusion Matrix:

225



260 Figure 12. ResNet50 +PCA(500) Features+ MLP model classif

REFERENCE

[1] KHATUN, T., NIROB, M. A. S., BISHSHASH, P., AKTER, M., LODDIN, I. S. (1924). A COMPREHENSIVE DRAGON FRUIT IMAGE DATASET FOR DETECTING THE MATURITY AND QUALITY GRADING OF DURGON FRUIT *DATA IN VIEF*, 52, 109936. <u>HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1016/J.DIB.2023.109936</u>

[2] DA SILVA FERREIRA, M. V., JUNIOR, S. B., DA COSTA, V. G. T., ARBIN, D. F., & BARBOSA JR, J. L. (2024). DEEP COMPUTER VISION SYSTEM AND EXPLAINABLE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE APPLIED FOR CLASSIFICATION OF DRAGON FRUIT (HYLOCEREUS SPP.). SCIENTIA HORTICULTURAE, 338, 113605. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.20.4.113605</u>

[3] PATIL, P. U., LANDE, S. B., NAGALKAR, V. J. NIKAM, P. & WAKCHAURE, G. C. (2021). GRADING AND SORTING TECHNIQUE OF DRAGON FRUITS USING MACHINE LEARNING A GO (1998). JOURAL OF AGRICULTURE AND FOOD RESEARCH, 4, 100118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2021.10218

[4] SHAKIL, R., ISLAM, S., SHOHAN, Y.-A., M., A., RAJBONGSHI, A., RAHMAN, M. H., & AKTER, B. (2023). ADDRESSING AGRICULTURAL CHALLENGES: AN IDENTIFICATION of BEST FEATURE SELECTION TECHNIQUE FOR DRAGON FRUIT DISEASE RECOGNITION. *ARRAY*, 20, 100326. HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1016/J.ARR.47.2023.11.126

[5] NGUYEN, H. H. C., JAND, C., K. MAM, L. L., HIEU, H. P., & THUY, N. T. (2024). IDENTIFICATION OF DRAGON TREES AND FRUITS IN HAM THUAN BAC GROUNG REAS, MAN THUAT CITY, BINH THUAN PROVINCE, VIETNAM. *Heliyon*, 10(10).

[6] ZHANG, L. L.OU, K. WANG, Z. XIA, Y., FU, W., & BAI, Z. (2025). MIRNET_ECA: MULTI-SCALE INVERTED RESIDUAL ATTENTION NETWORK USE FOR CLASSIFICATION OF RIPENESS LEVEL FOR DRAGON FRUIT. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 274, 127019. <u>https://doi.org/_1016/j._wa.2025.127019</u>

[7] SARKAR, L.C., PRASAA, G. K., MOJUMDAR, M. U., MAHMUD, A., NOORI, S. R. H., & CHAKRABORTY, N. R. (2025). UDCAD-DFL-DL: UNIQUE DEASET FOR CLASSIFYING AND DETECTING AGRICULTURAL DISEASES IN DRAGON FRUITS AND LEAVES. *DATA IN BRIEF*, 59, 11141. <u>https://dio.org/10.1016/j.dib.2025.111411</u>

TAR, A., RIDOY, M. A. M., SAHA, A. K., BABU, H. M. H., & HUDA, M. N. (2024). COMPUTER VISION BASED DEEP LEARNING FOR TOXIC AND HARMFUL SUBSTANCES DETECTION IN FRUITS. *Heliyon*, 10(3).

[9] PATIL, P. U., LANDE, S. B., NAGALKAR, V. J., NIKAM, S. B., & WAKCHAURE, G. C. (2021). GRADING AND SORTING TECHNIQUE OF DRAGON FRUITS USING MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHMS. *JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE AND FOOD RESEARCH*, *4*, 100118. <u>ATTPS://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2021.100118</u>

[14] ZHOU, J., ZHANG, Y., & WANG, J. (2023). A DRAGON FRUIT PICKING DETECTION METHOD BASED ON YOLOV7 AND PSP-ELLIPSE. *Sensors*, 23(8), 3803.

[15] QIU, Z., HUANG, Z., MO, D., TIAN, X., & TIAN, X. (2024). GSE-YOLO: A LIGHTWEIGHT AND HIGH-PRECISION MODEL FOR IDENTIFYING THE RIPENESS OF PITAYA (DRAGON FRUIT) BASED ON THE YOLOV8N IMPROVEMENT. *Horticulturae*, *10*(8), 852.