An Evaluation of Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical System Integration

[1]Burova Margarita
[1]Russian State University for the Humanities, Moscow, Russia.
[1]margarita05@gmail.com

Correspondence should be addressed to Burova Margarita: margarita05@gmail.com.

Article Info
Journal of Computing and Natural Science (http://anapub.co.ke/journals/jcns/jcns.html)
Doi: https://doi.org/10.53759/181X/JCNS202101017
Received 23 May 2022; Revised form 18 June 2022; Accepted 25 July 2022.
Available online 05 October 2022.

Abstract – In order to make physical systems run more efficiently and effectively at multiple levels of information processing, Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) combine physical processes, Computing, Communication, and Control (the 3Cs) into a single system. There are many factors that make solving the problem of packet transmission in CPS difficult, including unforeseeable node mobility, low number of nodes, lack of global data, and intermittent network access. Currently, there is no good answer to this problem in the literature. The impact of the CPS on engineered systems is much greater in the present and future. Cyber-physical system integration often presents unique challenges in the fields of design, implementation, and application. One of the goals of this research is to better understand the various definitions of an integrated CPS, as well as the growth of new research areas in this field. The application of CPSs faces a number of difficulties, including those related to efficiency, reliability, controllability, and security. We are moving forward in technological innovation with the development of CPSs and the Internet of Things (IoT). It is possible for engineers to gain a better understanding of engineering systems and management modules by utilizing the CPS-IoT models.

Keywords – Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS), Internet of Things (IoT), Computing, Communication, and Control (3Cs).

I. INTRODUCTION

The term "Cyber-Physical Systems" (CPSs) is used to define cloud computing technologies where physical components are linked together in a single network. Medical gadgets, chemical processes, and transportation systems have all used these technologies. A major concern in CPSs is the risk of cyber-attacks, as a large amount of communication transceivers are substituted over the network. External cyber-attacks could have a negative impact on the system's channels of communication and stabilization effectiveness. The threat of network attacks has prompted a lot of research into the control as well as filtering issues for CPSs. In terms of cyber-attacks, deception attacks and DoS attacks are the two most common. These two types of attacks are aimed at disrupting communication between system nodes by injecting false data into the bit stream. Research on cyber-attacks has recently yielded some interesting findings. Stochastic processes with time disruption and deception attacks have a distributed iterative filtering issue that is studied in [1]. Stochastic subterfuge and DoS attacks are considered in [2] when developing the tracking control problem of a dynamical system subject to fusion attacks. It is becoming increasingly popular to study CPSs as a new area of study because it integrates physical processes with 3C (Computing, Communication, and Control), allowing for greater levels of data management and processing to be integrated into physical systems.

An embedded computer system is made up of a variety of components that are linked together through communication networks. An information processing center such as a cloud computing service is used to intelligently feedback information received from sensors in CPS physical architecture to the physical components. Because the two networks don't always have a one-to-one correlation, it's difficult to grasp how they interact. A critical infrastructure system that relies on sophisticated monitoring and control is among the most essential CPS and primary instances of ubiquitous computing systems, since they utilize computers to deliver "anytime, everywhere" transparent services. Intelligence, although promising, must be analyzed for its influence on current infrastructure, since information control might actually reduce its dependability. Self-control and self-regulation, mobility of nodes, and intermittent network connection are some of the properties of CPS that are distinct from typical networks.

A broad variety of industries, including transportation, nuclear power, highway traffic and embedded medical equipment are all using CPS. As such, it is essential that such a system be able to function in real-time and possibly in extremely unpredictable or unstructured contexts while maintaining its integrity and reliability. It is projected that CPS will have a significant influence on the economy and society in the coming years. Detection, communications, computing, management, and cooperation in CPS are all coming together for the first time, and this is going to be very difficult. The following elements seem to be especially difficult: Distributed and concurrent interconnections among cyber and physical systems; high QoS requirements include real-time fault tolerance, system intermittent connection, sensing node autonomy, safety and efficient information sampling, and sensing and transmission.

Many nodes with small communication ranges are used in tightly packed conventional networks to acquire and send data, resulting in an unreliable end-to-end network connection. To assume end-to-end connection, in CPS such as Mobile Ad-hoc Network (MANTs) [3], Wireless Sensor Network (WSNs) [4], and Wireless Mesh Network (WMNs) [5], is irrational. A message may be stored in a buffer and sent several times until it is finally received by a physical component when it comes within communication range. Because of this, typical routing approaches for data collection and forwarding do not fit the intermittent connection CPS scenario. As a result, the most difficult challenge to tackle is how to preserve not only a comparatively longer system lifespan but also a greater information distribution ratio with reduced transfer overhead and data transfer latency. There are a variety of methods for obtaining and transmitting information. There were several techniques for data transmission in the intermittent networks, but no contributions to the study on the CPS mobility nodes.

Modeled after 3C's (Computation, Communication, and Control) working together, CPSs combine artificial and natural systems controlled by physical laws with 3C's (cyber entity). Using sensors and actuators, the two entities are connected to each other. In CPS, sensors, computation, and the physical world are tightly intertwined. Conventional embedded techniques and control systems, which are projected to be re-operated by emergent techniques as well as Internet of Things (IoT) are included in CPS. The IoT is a foundational technology for CPSs. CPS can be considered to be a form of IoT that has reached a point where it is able to perceive and control the world around it.

This includes the classic embedded and monitoring systems, which are transformed into new techniques in the CPSs field. The IoT combines sensors, Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) [6], as well as cloud computing methods for secured data process and data transmission. CPSs, on the contrary, are a scalable and dependable control solution that integrates computation, communication, and IoT control. CPS, on the other hand, not only has the power to perceive but also has a significant ability to control, while IoT focuses on data transmission and processing. While operating on geographical and temporal dimensions that show a plethora of distinct behavioural processes, CPS utilizes both cyber and physical aspects in a manner that changes depending on the circumstances. Cyber network theorem, Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs), IoT, computational theorem, mechatronics and design process science represent CPS, which is a cross-disciplinary field of study. CPS combines these fields.

Feedback loops are used in embedded systems to regulate the process of control. CPS and the IoT have a similar design, however CPS has a greater level of separation between physical and computational parts than the Internet of Things. A CPS differs from a standard embedded system in that it is portrayed as a system of interconnected components with physical input and output. CPS technologies include medical and airplane control, distributed energy scheme, control system, industrial automation, etc. In engineering, CPS will transform current physical systems and improve economic well-being. This paper aims at providing understanding of the various definitions of an integrated CPS, as well as the applications and growth of new research areas in this field. This paper has been organized as follows: Section II presents a survey of CPS's definition and brief history. Section III presents an overview of CPSs while Section IV presents a discussion of integration of CPSs and IoTs. Section V details the applications of CPSs. Lastly, Section VI draws conclusion to the research and presents future research directions.

II. CPS HISTORY AND DEFINITION

The term "Cyber Physical System" (CPS) represents the "Next-Generation Engineered Systems" (NGES). Helen Gill of the National Research Council coined the term "cyber-physical system" in 2006. This terminology is commonly mistaken with "cyber security," which has no connection to physical processes. Computed and physical systems are tightly integrated. Technological advancements are being seen as a bridge between reality and information, which is a common misperception. For instance, the Machine-to-Machine (M2M) communications, and Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) are just a few of the methods used by CPS to stay in touch with its customers.

Defintion of the CPS

Research in computer science and networking, which touches on a wide range of disciplines from other disciplines such as civil or mechanical engineering or robotics, should be conducted in concert with those in these other fields. As a result, their definition of CPS may be different from yours. "The integration of simulations and physical processes" is what Yadav [7] refers to as CPS. For example, embedded systems and computers monitor and govern physical processes through feedback loops that are influenced by the underlying mechanics. A new generation of systems that may engage with the public via a variety of technology applications is referred to as CPS by Authors. A crucial enabler for future technology advancements is that it enables us to engage with and increase the capabilities of the physical environment. "

Technological advancements in this growing subject have been supported by the Indian government's Interdisciplinary Cyber Physical System (ICPS). Because of the integration of the physiologic and virtual world in CPS, technologies will be more sensitive, reliable, and trustworthy. In CPS systems, physical systems ensure the truthfulness of the data collected by sensors by dynamically collecting data. For the purposes of managing uncertainty, scientific techniques, and feedback control, information is mailed to the internet to be processed there. Self-adapting systems have been designed for these systems. Wireless sensor networks and the Internet of Things are both used in CPS, which is a

3C technology. The word "cybersecurity," which deals with the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data but is unrelated to physical processes, is sometimes used interchangeably with "CPS." As a result, the word "cybersecurity" only has a tenuous connection to the field of cybernetics. CPS has a number of security and privacy issues, but they are not the only ones.

IoT, Industrial Internet, M2M, IoT, fog and trillion sensors (Tsensors), all have a vital interconnection to CPSs (just like the cloud, but a bit lower). Technology that links our physical and digital worlds is at the heart of all of these ideas. Aside from these examples, we believe CPS is the most important term in the field because it does not overtly refer to either systematic control, such as "Web" or application areas, like "Industry 4.0," and thus is more foundational and long-lasting than some of the other terms mentioned. There is an epistemological complexity in reconciling the virtual and real worlds' respective designing histories. A "CPSs hypothesis" can be compared to "linear systems approach."

Characteristics of CPS

CPS has a real as well as a virtual universe. CPS communicates with the physical environment using sensors that use embedded computer technologies. Computing and controlling are at the heart of the CPS's cyber-universe **Table 1** lists the characteristics that the CPS possess.

Table 1: Characteristics upheld by CPS

Characteristic	Details
Multiple spatial-temporal constraints	Using 3C technology, the CPSs can communicate, compute, and control the real environment. These call for the components of CPS to interact with one another in both time and space. To ensure temporal and spatial accuracy, constraints like event recognition and action choice must be implemented precisely and correctly.
Computer and physical substrates that are beyond the norm	The dynamic restructuring and real-time interaction with the physical setting in CPSs necessitates novel computing. Since computing has evolved, the physical infrastructure must also evolve to accommodate it.
Automated control loops at numerous scales, with a high degree of mechanization.	Self-learning is a logical result of the increasing quantities of information on CPS and the need for computers to be robust and handle a real-world context. Automating the system is critical to its success. CPS's built-in control item is the subject of this discussion. This object has the ability to self-reform and alter the physical flow of information. As the foundation for complex systems, the CPS's control section is critical to feed forward control circuits.
Dynamic recognizing as the open system	For example, a CPS may reconfigure itself depending on the environment and establish new rules dependent on the domain's needs. The CPS can also adjust its physical surroundings to satisfy these requirements.
Networked at extreme and multiple scales	Sensors and actuators on CPS networks gather and analyze data. Networking infrastructure typically requires a huge amount of space. For example, personal transport networks, medical, remote contact and automation systems should all be able to be handled via networks. These areas need a novel communication idea that provides physical design, as well as digital flexibility, for bandwidth-effective use.. Open, flexible, and scalable communication is a result of this approach There must be a vast and diverse network of CPS systems to accommodate the demand without sacrificing the quality of service (QoS).
Cyber capabilities in each physical element	Designing the physical components of a system is just as crucial as designing the logical components. Hardware layout and design, administration, networking, and system testing are all part of the process. Engineers have a working knowledge of the systems they work in, and they know how sensors, actuators, and raw data are processed to go to the next level. There must be full network connectivity in CPS because of the high level of mechanization and management.

III. OVERVIEW OF CPS

With its decentralized models, the CPS integrates diverse interdisciplinary heterogeneous systems. The study opportunities are wide open since these systems display behaviors that cannot be predicted in advance. Recent study has focused on the architectural patterns, computational theorem and feedback loops. Systems dependability, information processing, software for systems designing, as well as the CPSs security systems have lately become the subject of study in other domains.

Architecture of CPS

A number of CPS designs have been developed; the 5 Component architecture comprises five levels: connection, conversion, cyber, cognition, and configuration. To satisfy the demands of such intertwined systems, these layers develop and overcome their constraints. Information flows across these layers and is monitored by physical systems that interact with the cybernetic space. It is a development of the 5C design that contains three more elements in addition to connection, conversion, cyber and cognition. Coalition, Customer, and Content are all part of the package. Integrating the physical, cyber, and human components into a single system is an ACPS architecture. An adaptable and dynamic vision may be achieved with the help of Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA). It's a 3C architecture that's employed in almost every application. Smart production in industries is bolstered by this architecture, which promotes the Industrial Revolution, or Industry 4.0.

The Human Component (HC) [8], the Cyber Component (CC) [9] and the Physical Component (PC) [10] make up this architecture. In order to provide a solution and enhance productivity, a smart factory framework is being created that takes into account both the cyber and physical components of the systems design. In spite of this, there will be a need for further research since many CPS designs meet the criteria of the target network or activities, but these designs are explicitly stated for a restricted range of applications. In architectural research, the focus is on particular applications and their developments, rather than the situations in which the interdependence of numerous needs is not explored. Research and development are built on CPS architecture, therefore any models presented must be incorporated and improved upon based on the current system structure and be able to accept future changes to CPS. However, the need for a uniform CPS design necessitates more study into the architecture.

Control of CPS

CPS management concept is still laying the groundwork for its theoretical underpinnings. A multisensory program that includes sensing devices that are reliant on user input, turning input activities into control method suited for the application. A novel control technique is presented for information centers to ensure the stability of CPS applications by autonomous management of the virtual and real resources. The targeted system's durability and efficiency are guaranteed by the employment of a conventional analytic approach and a solid control method that is customizable. When it comes to IoT wearable technologies and human-computer interaction, management in the CPS has to be solved. Constructing a more solid CPS is made easier by delving further into the idea of control. It is necessary to have a plan in place for various types of control measures such as pressure and temperature operating point modifications, atmospheric temperature measurement, lowering or turning off the lighting, etc. Controlling CPS is difficult because of the lack of predictability. The model's output and input activities, programming and execution should all be taken into consideration if predictability is to be ensured. In the event of uncertainty, the concept or system's predicted behavior is affected. If further study can't be done to better understand the transmission and reaction of feedback control, then classical control theories will fall short of their goals.

Data Processing and Sciences for CPSs

Data collected by the computers should be transformed into information in order to be of value. Data Science's methodology and techniques hold the key to the future of intelligent systems. Massive data science techniques have been found to deal with the voluminous amounts of data generated by sensing devices. By analyzing their environment and making predictions based on their findings, the CPS employs a data-driven approach to uncover trends and build models in the future. All of these steps are included in the broad definition of data processing: preparation and collection of data; input of data; processing of data; interpretation of data; feedback control; and physical environment reaction once orders have been received. For security and critical systems, reliability is a must-have essential for a CPS. Automated Dependability Improvement System (ADIS) [11] is designed to increase the dependability of CPS in order to analyze a large amount of data and operate continuously in real-time Runtime anomaly detection and quality analysis are conducted by ADIS employing computing smart and self-tuning methodologies for systems dependability in the form of anomaly alerts. By using social networks and personal computational resources, IoT is changing into complex CPSS (Cyber Physical Social Systems), which generate enormous volumes of passive data. As a result of the introduction of this new data processing pattern, which relies on stream processing techniques to disperse the burden over a clustering of the edge device. In order to demonstrate viability of this method, an intelligent monitoring system is installed on the end devices. Data Science in CPS researchers now confront issues in data transmission and processing methods; hybrid systems, data control, robustness, safety controls as well as dependability.

Privacy and Security of CPSs

The existing network security somehow does not meet the demands of CPS's global wireless connection, which contains a large number of randomized sensors. Centralized networks that trust third-party operations are used in the majority of current security policies. a new approach to security that takes into account the need for data portability and privacy, as well as the need for data integrity and resiliency, as well as the need for a trust mechanism. Using a unified three-level architecture based on blockchain technology, a distributed register shared amongst peer networks, it is possible to discover the potential of industry 4.0 production, as well as adapt, develop, and integrate this technology. To ensure safety and dependability, the present CPS structure encourages data flow and communication. Differentially private methods are considered effective for protecting privacy in CPS and can be deployed in cyber-physical platforms implementations through certain adjustments in the design of CPS.

Research into CPSs, however, faces a number of challenges. Environment and physical device errors make it a critical contest to ensure robustness, safety, and security. The security of data based on location, time, and tags can be jeopardized. For an efficient feedback control, a hybrid system must be created by integrating both systems. In order for the CPS to function properly, its architecture must be rational on every level and take into account all of the physical device information. Uncovering the raw data from sensors and mobile networks in large quantities is one of the most difficult tasks to tackle. There should be no gaps in the CPS design, no matter how small or large, and communications and computations must be foreseen at all sizes.

IV. INTERGRATION OF CPS-IOT

An evolution of the IoT, the CPS adds knowledge and interactivity capabilities while also altering the IoT infrastructure. Conventional embedding and control systems are not transformed by unique methods to CPS, but it enhances interactions between humans, machines, and machines themselves in the real and virtual worlds. A wide range of benefits are available when IoT and CPS are put to use in the industry, such as increased knowledge and insight, forecasting, comparison, reconfiguration, and maintenance. Certain instances, like Real-Time Physical Systems (RTPS) [12], need the use of IoT and CPS in order to provide dynamic feedback control. The large-scale CPS is stabilized by a controller built for a nominal model. By building a collaborative robotic CPS, the risk of robotic surgery may be decreased.

With embedded software systems integrating virtual and real technologies, IoT exhibits the interconnection of various end-devices that communicate with one another through the internet. Embedded software systems. Decentralization of control is the goal of the IoTs. IoT and CPSs have many of the same characteristics, including as sensing, computing, storing, and networking. These features must be analyzed to understand how CPS and IoT fit together in a system and how they differ in order to comprehend their distinct functions. To improve the CPS's essential characteristics of safety, security, and sustainability, new communication and control mechanisms have been implemented.

Wireless and improved communication technologies have led to CPSs, which are regarded to be the higher-level systems of the IoT. Wearable gadgets, medical sensors, and intelligent cloud computing systems all fall under this category. Interaction between intelligent systems, user-friendly and interactive applications, optimizations in the IoT-enabled CPSs, and control of disseminated system are all the major segments of CPSs in IoT. The CPS has to be improved in order to meet the increased demands and more efficient performance. The CPS raises the IoT to a new level. Proper information analysis and IoT are considered to be the future technologies for CPS, which is the next generation of M2M systems.

IoT-enabled CPS

There are several benefits to integrating CPS with the IoT for better and more integrated solutions. IoT devices and the physical environment must be integrated with the communications network and a control module, which are all linked to the global system and all of which must be controlled whenever IoTs are enabled with CPSs on a large dimension. Moreover, actual-time data analytics performance must be taken into consideration since so much data must be mined for better outcomes. The creation of CPSs that use IoT principles helps in a variety of CPS applications. Component complexity, hybrid system modeling, intelligent control design, IoT-specified CPS sensors, sensor reliability, and actuation designs, as well as data security and privacy, are all issues that must be addressed in IoT-enabled CPS.

For better coordination among the modules, the large-scale system's infrastructure and control and network monitoring are employed. In order to improve network performance and accuracy, this will need an update. The Improved Expander Membership Protocol is a fault-tolerant and scalable protocol that addresses real-time network challenges, limits negative impacts on the network structure, and quickly restores global connection. As part of the CPS network framework, these issues are being remedied. In the event-based CPS, Fragmented-Iterated Bloom Filtering is established to potentially control the disseminated system. This is a very efficient way to manage memory and perform computations. Regarding the operational and non needs in the application of IoTs-enabled CPSs, the problems like scheduling approaches, vulnerabilities to security threats, application construction, etc. Using a cloud-based model-driven development environment, CPS applications may be developed, coded, and tested using IoT-enabled cloud computing.

Code for the app is developed in two separate components, the Application Management and Execution Module (AMEM), and the Application Development Module (ADM). IoT-enabled CPS implementation requires an effective and scalable scheduling method. The Bayesian Networks is a scheduling system that places a high value on reliability and repeatability in operation. With the use of game theory, the weaknesses in the security assaults may be addressed, and an effective scheduling system can be provided.

Integration of CPS and IoT

Communication, processing, and management with the physical environment on a vast scale constitutes a CPS in particular. They are thought of as a kind of wireless sensor and actuator network. Alternatively, the IoT is a term that refers to a network of devices that interact with each other over the internet. Smartphones, laptops, RFID, and other heterogeneous devices are smart agents that communicate data with the users and system, making them the element of CPSs. There are several technical problems that CPS-IoT can solve, and it is employed in a wide variety of fields. CPS-IoT Integration

Environmental IoT and cyber-physical clouds are combined to provide a distributed system that enables better understanding of the natural environment's interactions. A three-step technique is used: the Abstraction representation, the Network-centric recognition, and the Node-centric depiction. The combination of these two technologies opens up more application possibilities. Numerous medical applications can be aided by the incorporation of electronic connections, wearables with real-time algorithms, and CPSs. Song, Mao and Liu [13] display a system that combines complementary technologies to offer patients with comfort and effective data collection. The CPS-IoT is suggested with an adaptive interface framework that aids in both development and use. Tools for the IoT that allow users to better interact with sensing and actuation systems have been presented in this paper The IoT-CPS integration interface can be employed to its full potential with the help of the adaptive interface that has been provided.

With the use of real-time CPS integration, environmental objects can be monitored and controlled effectively. Actual-life applications within industry 4.0, and factory systems benefit from this. Incorporating CPS with various innovations results in new properties and behaviors that are unpredictable. Physical and cyber worlds could meet in the future thanks to the developments of IoTs and high-speed networking infrastructure Because of the convergence of BASN and CPS, hand pattern recognition is now both intelligent and safe. BASN and CPS are both sensor networks.

CPS-IoT Frameworks

Despite the fact that CPS and IoT have a long history of working together, their integration has resulted in a number of useful applications and insights. The IoT, on the other hand, focuses on networks and information systems that digitalizes the physiological universe, as well as sensors and actuators. The IoT envisions a world in which digital and physical systems work together seamlessly, allowing for the full potential of modern information and communication technologies. CPS and IoT have different roots, but their models are similar. Lo Giudice, Nocera, Ursino and Virgili in [14] presents 4 critical frameworks of an overlap: IoTs as subset CPSs, CPSs as subcategory of IoT, Appropriateness, Partial overlap. CPS and IoT, notwithstanding their shared goal of bridging the gap between the digital and physical worlds, have distinct differences in their approaches. Connecting physical devices to the cloud, the IoT analyzes the data using digital technologies. Using feedback, the CPS implements an Automatic Consistency Improvement System and creates an operator-in-the-Loop (OITL). CPS utilizes the Human-in-the-Loop (HITL) regulatory systems for human-computer interplay, whilst IoT only utilizes open-loop controllers. The concepts and inferences of IoTs and CPSs are distinct and easier to comprehend because of the many facets that they have in common. The IoT typically gathers and analyzes data from the physical world by monitoring various technologies. In the CPS control module, the IoT implementation is also used through the integration of certain edge devices.

CPS is a subset of the IoT because it connects the physical world to the virtual worlds that are identified as IoT services and devices. IoT-connected smart home devices, geolocated tracking devices, and other IoT-specific applications serve as evidence for the aforementioned claim. A CPS includes the 3Cs, physical components, and interaction with the digital world of data processing. The control of the CPS is of primary importance, with IoT reduced to a mere CPS platform. There are many similarities between CPS and the IoT, but CPS focuses on the control of physical processes, whereas IoT focuses on sensing and collecting data from the physical world. IoT is therefore a subset of CPS. When CPSs utilize IoTs device as platforms, the detectors or actuator activity works together to achieve a goal.

"Industrial Revolution 4.0" is the next level of CPS progress, whereby everything is smart and linked in real time. An important distinction between CPS and IoT is the fact that CPS contains functionalities not found in the Internet of Things (IoT). For CPS-IoT overlap models, we look at how people and machines interact with each other as well as with their respective platforms and the internet. Both CPS and the IoT are evolving definitions that are centered on hybrid systems. Comprising the systems themselves as well as human users. New application domains can be developed using CPS/IoT models, making their use unified. With CPS-IoT, many problems can be solved with a more direct approach to a problem.

The item being modeled, the model, and the programming framework are all independent ideas that must be considered while modeling. Examples include Ordinary differential equation (ODE) used to represent a mass and its spring (the phenomenon being modelled) (the model). Geometry of calculus and divergent equations is used as a model for computer science A mechanical engineer may make use of a Newtonian model like this while creating or analyzing a system. Computers are analogous in that they process binary data contained in digital memory and use software coded in C (the designer) to emulate such sentiments. Computer engineering hypothesis of imperative initiatives is the design paradigm in this case.

Engineers frequently confuse the prototype with the thing it is supposed to represent. An ODE, for instance, may be referred to by electrical engineers as a system, which is typically employed in the process of ascertaining the dependability aspect of the users. This form of assertation cannot be done by they physical system. Any form of assertation concerning the system’s safety, timeliness, determinism is, actually, about the framework and never assertation concerning the things to be programmed. By stating famously that "you can never strike oil by excavating through the map," Martin [15] made an important point about avoiding this mistake.

The value of a map is not diminished in the slightest by this, yet it is. A model is a depiction of anything that resembles the original in appearance. A model has a wide range of applications. In order to obtain a better understanding of the “things” under simulation and to forecast futuristic behaviors, scholars typically utilize frameworks. Engineers commonly utilize models to create new products in the early stages of development. As a setup, it is appropriate. Instead of the other way around, the physical process is now responsible for following the model's instructions. A programming language such as C is used to establish design requirements, and the physical implementation is supposed to mirror the programming language's stated behavior.

The utility of a model is determined by our capacity to grasp and evaluate it. Modeling paradigms have an impact on this capacity. Models that aren't as helpful as they may be are the result of poor decisions. Modeling computer activity employing differential expressions, which define semi-conductors' physics is probable, but models are not as easily understood or analyzed as a C program. Functions cannot be shown even in a computer program's output model The value of a model is also determined by the faithfulness of the model's resemblance to the item being modeled (or vice versa). Model attributes may be used to infer properties of system realizations. A valid conclusion may be drawn if the model's representation of the world is correct (or vice versa). An approximation is always possible. Models can be abstract in varying degrees. The more abstract the model, the more information is omitted. A C program, on the other hand, is a more abstract representation of the workings of a computer system. They are simulating exactly the same thing on the other hand.

It is not always the case that a simpler model is more accurate than a more complex one. Discrete physical phenomena like collisions and friction between rigid objects have widely been discussed in literature. Localized plastic deformation and acoustic wave propagation are all aspects of collisions between rigid objects. The development of more accurate models of these aspects has been the subject of extensive research, both experimental and theoretical. Because the variables are so unpredictable, simpler approaches could be just as better at determining performance as the more sophisticated ones; in fact, they could be even more efficient. We are more interested in learning about the behavior of larger systems and how they interact in engineering than in science, where more concrete models may be more useful. Models benefit greatly from having determinism as one of their properties. Provided the model's parameters, there is only one potential output for a simulation tool. As such, it is a valuable property to have, as it could illustrate what 'correct' behaviors of modelled object is given the same inputs. For example, a model like this can be used in the development of tests for determining if engineered physical schemes are "correct" and ready for shipping. In this case, a non-deterministic framework is less vital since there could be a variety of "correct" actions.

Many subtleties must be considered in order to understand this definition of determinism thoroughly. An example is a C program. Inputs include: During the course of a program's execution, it's possible that these patterns already existed in files or memory. It's possible that these inputs could affect the program's behavior based on their timing. Our definition of "inputs" does not include that period of time. Even in simple, single-threaded imperative programs, nondeterministic models of the computer's behavior can be found. These modeling paradigm issues must be addressed before we can determine if a model is deterministic. Only a deterministic model framework can accommodate deterministic models. When models are restricted to this paradigm, they are by definition predetermined. Deterministic modeling paradigms have been used in science and engineering for a long time. Paradigms like ODEs, for example, fall into this category. Industrialization and technological advancement in the twentieth century may have been aided by the determinism of ODEs. The stability and response to inputs of physical systems can be studied using deterministic ODE models, which can be used to model their dynamics. For example, civil engineers employ frameworks to forecast the structural performances whenever loaded. Aeronautical experts utilize these frameworks to forecast how aircrafts tend to respond to transitions in its control grounds. Engineers typically utilize the frameworks like these when creating new mechanisms.

Synchronous digital logic models are computational models that do not incorporate random or analog behavior. Thermal vibration, crystal faults, production inconsistencies, dispersion procedures, as well as other integral unpredictability in the underlying mechanisms of existing devices are the only issues with these designs. Our circuits typically accomplish identical rational process millions of times a minute for a long time before being replaced. For the first period in world history, parametric methods are able to accurately predict the outcomes of a situation. Analog circuit concepts are still used in certain physical process interfaces (higher frequency frameworks, e.g., electromechanical frameworks and radio circuit frameworks). Despite this, computer-aided design has grown in popularity even in this area (over-sampled analogue-to-digital converter). Too attractive is the idea of using a deterministic digital abstraction

"Synchronous" and "Digital" are both useful and orthogonal in the synchronous digital logic modeling paradigm. In order to achieve deterministic digital abstractions, synchrony is not required. In asynchronous digital circuits, it is feasible to produce nondeterminism while still achieving the intended functionality. In order to construct well defined Boolean functions in these circuits, handshake logic is necessary. Asynchronous digital circuits have been researched for forty years, but despite their great technological benefits, they have only been used in specialized industries (better electrical noise features, minimized peak energy requirements, high speeds). Using synchrony, determinism may be extended to concurrent models (where an international clock drives latches, which can record intermediate results). Synchronous circuits are easy to grasp, which makes it easier to analyze them. Synchronous language has enabled the development of concurrent software. Aerospace systems, for example, depend on synchronous languages since they are so crucial to their operation. To verify and certify a design more easily, a deterministic prototype and measurably truthful deployments must be used.

Single-threaded authoritative system programs are a third type of deterministic modeling paradigm. There are many advantages to the fact that procedures written in this language can be used to implement a deterministic element on their research and the status of variables they access. The determinism of this approach is used by programmers to develop big applications. The problems that huge systems always develop are generally the result of techniques that weaken the model's determinacy, such as threads. This model's determinism may have laid the groundwork for the information technology revolution of the twentieth century. Imperative programming languages have a fascinating history. Determinism-eroding properties have long been seen as bugs that must be fixed as languages progress. Next-generation languages like Java and C#, for example, have severely limited the free usage of pointers in C. In languages like JavaScript, which employ event-triggered concurrency control, the haphazard usage of threads in Java is being addressed.

V. APPLICATIONS OF CYBER-PHYSICAL SYSTEM

It is possible to use CPS in a wide range of applications in the automotive industry, including vehicles; medical equipment; weapons technology; supported accommodation; traffic management and monitoring; control systems; sustainable energy; HVAC (heating, ventilation systems); aircraft; measuring instruments; flood control systems; railways; data security; access control; and dispersed robotic technology (telemedicine). Rather than being seen as a union, CPS should be seen as an interplay rather than the sum of its parts. Computer science methodologies will be used in conjunction with engineering models and techniques in this research. A new technical discipline known as CPS has its own set of techniques and frameworks that cannot be easily combined, according to this paper. CPS can be used in a variety of ways to improve the adaptability, efficiency, functionality, reliability, and autonomy of large systems. With the help of CPS, you can get real-time information from physical world, feedback for the control modules, and supercomputing procedure, which tend to maintain the status of the cyber environment.

There are a number of benefits to using CPS in certain situations. Real-world applications of CPS have made significant progress in areas like technological innovation and architectural design, as well as the configuration of a proposed system. Any field, or even multiple fields, can benefit from the CPS. CPS may be utilized in a range of fields, including farming, energy conservation, training, meteorological and environmental control, medical instruments, control systems, building automation, industry 4.0, cybersecurity, wearable technology, transport systems, and traffic predictions. **Table 2** provides other examples of CPS application areas.

Table 2: Additional Applications of CPS

Application	Details
Therapeutic CPS	Wireless Sensor networks gather diagnostic data, monitoring the health and drug administrations of patients, and provide real-time feedback to caregivers. High clinical cyber-physical networks are predicated on the integration of computer and control systems with the transmitted important medical data.
Smart Transportation schemes	CPSs have the potential to enhance traffic control system performance. Keeping the flow of traffic There is a broad variety of cars and people, including those going on routes that span water, are lengthy and winding, or are raised above street level, as a result of this system. Modern electronic gadgets and information systems may help the Intelligent Transportation System improve operational efficiency and traffic safety by being incorporated into the road traffic network and implemented. The word used here is "traffic control." It is safer and more efficient since these technologies work together to incorporate this information into the transportation process.
Civil infrastructure Monitoring	The guardianship of aged infrastructures including dams, bridges, and buildings is a challenge for civil engineers today. It is possible to monitor infrastructure in real time using fiber optic detectors and micro mechanical and electrical detectors, as well as wireless media.
Smart grid	A smart grid is a system that relies on data collection, analysis, and decision-making to function. Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) are used in many conventional aspects of the smart grid. They may be found on the production, transmission, and distribution end, as well as on the client end. When it comes to power generation, it will be responsible for the network connection and operational issues. The distribution and redistribution networks that link end customers to the intelligent grid are monitored and cared for by CPS. Two-way communications and management between the grid and customers will be provided.
Green Buildings	There is a great deal of concern these days about the greenhouse impact. 70 percent of the power generated is used by ancient buildings, which generates greenhouse gases that in turn exacerbate the greenhouse impact. We can achieve our Zero Net Power generation goal by integrating a Wireless Sensor, a cognitive manager, and power systems.
Transportation System	It's all about using real-time data to make better decisions. CPS breakthroughs in the transportation field include autonomous cars, VCPS, and smart transportation technologies. Human-driven automobiles, from automobiles to airplanes, have a much higher fatality rate than autonomous cars. Smart mobility relies on three C's: communications, computations, and control, all of which must work together in perfect harmony.

	A smart cyber-physical road system can monitor the number of vehicles traveling in a given area based on their location, and this information can be used to protect the privacy of those traveling. Bit components are being used to gather data, and MLE is employed in the estimation of the measurement findings with the greatest degree of confidence. Investigating time-variation sequencing trends and detector scheduling as well as control framework planning and feedback as well as motion development and resource allocation for a Cyber-Physical Vehicle System (CPVS) enables a better understanding of how to combine cyber and physical resource optimization strategies. Traffic flow forecasting using CPS has proven to be an extremely beneficial and accurate use of the technology. We've incorporated an "operator in the loop" into the system so that we can use the predicted traffic flow to our advantage and perform a retrospective study to avoid any unnecessary road traffic.
Environmental Monitoring	CPS can be thought of as resting on the wireless sensor networks that underpin them. CPS uses sensors that are placed in a variety of areas without human interaction to gather information about the environment. Organic and man-made disasters like flooding, fire, toxic gas discharge, and abundant rain can be detected by these sensor network, which in turn affects the balance of the ecosystem.
Aeronautic applications	Flight test instruments, pilot-crew communication, health monitoring, in-flight testing, in-flight infotainment, and flight landings are all examples of Aeronautic applications where CPSs are applied.
Smart learning environments	Smart learning environments may benefit from the usage of CPSs. When employed in the SLE, CPSs may offer helpful and timely training to individuals, faculty, and the institution in the form of knowledge and information about the physical settings. Smart Learning Environments (SLE) will have a profound impact on the way students and faculty alike study and work at universities.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Computation, networked systems, and physical processes are all integrated in Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS). With loops affecting simulations and computations affecting physical processes, embedded computer networks keep track of everything going on. Embedded systems, which are computers and software embedded in computers whose primary purpose is other than computation, such as automobiles, toys, medical equipment, and scientific instruments, are the foundation of this new technology. It is possible to exchange data, interact with each other, and access web services in the future thanks to CPSs. The CPSs have a significant impact on the financial status of the involved users. This contribution examines the definition and history of CPS, as well as the integration of IoT-CPS and the ways in which they complement one another and their potential applications. For example, the Internet of Things, cloud technology, big data, industrial IoT, and industry 4.0 all have their roots in CPS's research areas and progress therein. In this paper, we briefly reviewed the various domains in which CPSs have been applied. Many real-world problems can be solved more effectively using CPS. Using CPSs, we can alter the way we relate to our physical surroundings. In the future, researcher will go into greater detail about how CPSs can be used in various fields.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The author(s) declare(s) that they have no conflicts of interest

References

[1]. D. Johnson, "Space-time stochastic processes", Stochastic Processes and their Applications, vol. 26, pp. 203-204, 1987. Doi: 10.1016/0304-4149(87)90108-6.

[2]. K. Ding, S. Dey, D. Quevedo and L. Shi, "Stochastic Game in Remote Estimation Under DoS Attacks", IEEE Control Systems Letters, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 146-151, 2017. Doi: 10.1109/lcsys.2017.2711044.

[3]. T. Wu, C. Huang and H. Chao, "A survey of Mobile IP in cellular and Mobile Ad-Hoc Network environments", Ad Hoc Networks, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 351-370, 2005. Doi: 10.1016/j.adhoc.2003.09.011.

[4]. R. hanfi , and Y. rai, "Wireless Sensor Network", International Journal Of Engineering And Computer Science, 2016. Doi: 10.18535/ijecs/v5i1.16.

[5]. M. Megat Mohamed Noor, "Cell-based intrusion detection using wireless mesh network", International Journal of Academic Research, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 94-99, 2013. Doi: 10.7813/2075-4124.2013/5-5/a.12.

[6]. "IEEE Journal of Radio Frequency Identification Publication Information", IEEE Journal of Radio Frequency Identification, vol. 6, pp. C2-C2, 2022. Doi: 10.1109/jrfid.2021.3136834.

[7]. S. Yadav, "A resilient hierarchical distributed model of a cyber physical system", Cyber-Physical Systems, pp. 1-24, 2021. Doi: 10.1080/23335777.2021.1964101.

[8]. T. Owens, "The Human Component", International Journal of Forensic Sciences, vol. 6, no. 1, 2021. Doi: 10.23880/ijfsc-16000216.

[9]. A. Umunnakwe, A. Sahu, M. Narimani, K. Davis and S. Zonouz, "Cyber-physical component ranking for risk sensitivity analysis using betweenness centrality", IET Cyber-Physical Systems: Theory & Applications, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 139-150, 2021. Doi: 10.1049/cps2.12010.

[10]. J. Criado, J. Asensio, N. Padilla and L. Iribarne, "Integrating Cyber-Physical Systems in a Component-Based Approach for Smart Homes", Sensors, vol. 18, no. 7, p. 2156, 2018. Doi: 10.3390/s18072156.
[11]. "Call for Papers for Special Issue on Evaluation and Improvement of Software Dependability", IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 157-157, 2008. Doi: 10.1109/tse.2008.3.
[12]. W. Kang and J. Chung, "DeepRT: predictable deep learning inference for cyber-physical systems", Real-Time Systems, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 106-135, 2018. Doi: 10.1007/s11241-018-9314-y.
[13]. Y. Song, F. Mao and Q. Liu, "Human Comfort in Indoor Environment: A Review on Assessment Criteria, Data Collection and Data Analysis Methods", IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 119774-119786, 2019. Doi: 10.1109/access.2019.2937320.
[14]. P. Lo Giudice, A. Nocera, D. Ursino and L. Virgili, "Building Topic-Driven Virtual IoTs in a Multiple IoTs Scenario", Sensors, vol. 19, no. 13, p. 2956, 2019. Doi: 10.3390/s19132956.
[15]. A. Martin, "Evidence Map of Cost-Benefit, Cost-Effectiveness and Cost-Utility Models In Dementia Published Since 1960", Value in Health, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. A724-A725, 2017. Doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2017.08.1955.