# **Journal Pre-proof**

A Study on the Security Weakness Detection of Solidity Smart Contracts using Graph Neural Networks on Blockchain Platforms

## Sunghyun Kim, Seunggi Jung, Yunsik Son and Yangsun Lee

DOI: 10.53759/7669/jmc202505039 Reference: JMC202505039 Journal: Journal of Machine and Computing. Received 18 May 2024

Revised form 23 October 2024

Accepted 05 December 2024



**Please cite this article as:** Sunghyun Kim, Seunggi Jung, Yunsik Son and Yangsun Lee, "A Study on the Security Weakness Detection of Solidity Smart Contracts using Graph Neural Networks on Blockchain Platforms", Journal of Machine and Computing. (2025). Doi: https:// doi.org/10.53759/7669/jmc202505039

This PDF file contains an article that has undergone certain improvements after acceptance. These enhancements include the addition of a cover page, metadata, and formatting changes aimed at enhancing readability. However, it is important to note that this version is not considered the final authoritative version of the article.

Prior to its official publication, this version will undergo further stages of refinement, such as copyediting, typesetting, and comprehensive review. These processes are implemented to ensure the article's final form is of the highest quality. The purpose of sharing this version is to offer early visibility of the article's content to readers.

Please be aware that throughout the production process, it is possible that errors or discrepancies may be identified, which could impact the content. Additionally, all legal disclaimers applicable to the journal remain in effect.

© 2025 Published by AnaPub Publications.



# A Study on the Security Weakness Detection of Solidity Smart Contracts using Graph Neural Networks on Blockchain Platforms

Sunghyun Kim<sup>1</sup>, Seunggi Jung<sup>1</sup>, Yunsik Son<sup>2\*</sup>, Yangsun Lee<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Engineering, Seokyeong University, 16-1 Jungneung-Dong, Sungbuk-Ku, Seoul 02713, Korea

<sup>2</sup> Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, Dongguk University,

3-ga, Pil-dong, Jung-gu, Seoul 04620, Korea

<sup>2\*</sup> sonbug@dongguk.edu; <sup>1\*</sup>yslee@skuniv.ac.kr

#### Abstract

information safely and Blockchain is a distributed ledger technology that allows users to record program that automatically transparently. A smart contract is a contract decided based on a blockchain and k executes or executes contract terms. Smart contracts improve the transparency and n ability of transactions by utilizing the tampering prevention function of blockchain technology. Software curity vulnerability refers to the fundamental cause of vulnerabilities caused by logical errors, bugs, ar kes that can be defective in software development. To prevent software security accidents, security weakn see hus be analyzed before the program is distributed. Smart contract codes that operate on ethereum, a ed framework, can have security rock ain-b vulnerabilities inside the code. When the contract is co d the block is created, the chaincode cannot be eted arbitrarily modified, so the security weakness must b e execution. analy d be

In this paper, we used deep learning's graph net al network k (GNN to detect security vulnerabilities in solidity codes. To analyze security vulnerabilities in solidi , we defined eight types of security weakness items, converted the solidity code into graph data. In order to present both the structural elements of the program, the control flow, and the data flow, the solidity code was control into an abstract syntax tree (AST) and the graph information required for GNN learning war tracted from AST to convert the solidity code into a graph. Next, g GNP nodels by integrating these graph data and their properties with after generating several datasets for traini labels, it is possible to detect whether vulne abilities exist in the solidity code through GNN learning. This сu method performs security weakness tection effectively than conventional rule-based methods.

*Keywords:* Blockchain, Smart Co. rack, Security vulnerability, Solidity, Ethereum, Security Weakness Analyzer, Graph Neural Networks(GN), Graph Convolution Network(GCN)

### 1. Introduction

Blockchabaic a detributed ledger technology that allows users to record and share information safely and transparently. At mart contract is a contract decided based on a blockchain and is a program that automatically executes of vecute contract terms. Smart contracts improve the transparency and reliability of transactions by utilizing the target prevention function of blockchain technology [1-5].

Software security vulnerability refers to the fundamental cause of vulnerabilities caused by logical errors, bugs, a mistake that can be defective in software development. To prevent software security accidents, security we have must be analyzed before the program is distributed. Smart contract codes that operate on ethereum, a tackchain-based framework, can have security vulnerabilities inside the code. Due to the nature of the blockchain, is one can arbitrarily modify the contract when the contract is completed and the block is created, so if you sign a chain code with weak security, it cannot be modified, which creates a security threat. Software security weakness

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1\*</sup> Corresponding Author, <sup>2\*</sup> Co-corresponding Author

analysis is a process of inspecting the security weaknesses inherent in the developed source code to remove security threats by finding and removing the security weaknesses inherent in the software in advance [6-11].

In this paper, we used deep learning's graph neural network (GNN) to detect security vulnerabilities in solidity codes [12-13]. To analyze security vulnerabilities in solidity code, we defined eight types of security weakness items, converted the solidity code into graph data. In order to represent both the structural element of the program, the control flow, and the data flow, the solidity code was converted into an abstract syntax tree (AST) and the graph information required for GNN learning was extracted from AST to converting solidity code into a graph. Next, after generating several datasets for training GNN models by integrating these graph data and their properties with labels, it is possible to detect whether security vulnerabilitie exist in the solidity code through GNN learning. This method performs security weakness data and their program effectively than conventional rule-based methods.

# 2. Related Studies

#### 2.1. Blockchain and Smart Contracts



Blockchain is a distributed ledger technology that allows users to record and have information safely and transparently. A smart contract is a contract decided based on a blockchain and is a rogram that automatically executes or executes contract terms. Smart contracts improve the transparency and reliability of transactions by utilizing the tampering prevention function of blockchain technology [10].

Smart contracts security vulnerability analysis is an analysis technique that diagnoses whether the security vulnerability, which is the basis cause of security vulnerability. Kists which the program, and proactively detects and removes potential vulnerabilities such as program and stable errors in advance to proactively eliminate the possibility of security threats such as hacking [6-14]. The smart contract vulnerability analysis method is divided into static analysis through the existing ple-basis method and dynamic analysis through flow graph [3-5].

Security weakness analysis for smart contracts is an a plysis technique that diagnoses whether the security weakness which is the basis cause of security vulnerability exists inside the program, and it is a method that proactively eliminates the possibility ty of causing security threats such as hacking by detecting and removing potential vulnerabilities defects and errors in program in advance. Security weakness analysis d dynamic analysis. Static analysis is usually done by code analysis method is divided into st review and is performed during the plementation phase of the security development life cycle. Dynamic analysis, unlike static analy have access to source code, and is a method of finding security weaknesses in a running a licatio program, such as vulnerability scanning and penetration testing [6-11, 15-17].

### 2.2. Solidity

Solidity was het proposed by Gavin Wood in august 2014 and developed by the solidity team led by Christian Reitwiessne of the better project. Solidity is a smart contract development language provided by ethereum and is used to write a implements smart contracts for various blockchain platforms. It mainly provides data types and function meeder to exchange ether (ETH). This language is a statically typed language, so the types of variables of determined at compile time. Solidity was designed to target the ethereum virtual machine (EVM), a virtual machine hared by nodes of the ethereum blockchain network and the engine that operates the entire ethereum.

Solidity is designed to develop smart contracts that run on the EVM and are compiled into bytecode that can run of the EVM. Through solidity, developers can implement applications by including self-executing business logic in a smart contract. Matters recorded in the smart contract cannot be denied and are performed forcefully. In addition, Ethereum is a platform that allows multiple distributed applications to be used as a new blockchain network [18-21].

Since ethereum supports the complete turing language, it can accommodate various applications implemented using the language mainly used by developers. However, due to the nature of the blockchain, it cannot be arbitrarily modified when the contract of the chain code is completed, so there is a problem that if a chain code with a security weakness is executed on ethereum, it can develop into a security weakness.

### 2.3. Graph Neural Networks(GNNs)

GNN is a type of artificial neural network for processing data that can be expressed as a graph, and is a powerful deep learning model designed to operate on graph-structured data. Unlike traditional neural networks, which process data in grid-like structures such as images or sequences, GNNs can process complex non-Et lidean structures in graphs. This feature makes GNNs particularly suitable for tasks involving relationship and interactions between entities, such as smart contract analysis [12-14].

Smart contract codes can be naturally expressed as a graph with CFG(control flow graph) FG(da flow graph) [22] representing execution and data flow. GNN can effectively analyze and detect urity abilities by converting smart contracts into a graph representation. Through this proc ΝÌ aptures complex relationships and dependencies within code, which are important for identi ems in smart ing sec rity pro contracts, and can efficiently process large graphs, making it suitable for an complex smart contracts. zin ng data to new, unseen data. Additionally, GNNs can improve detection of new vulnerabilities by generalizing tra This approach can detect a wider range of security vulnerabilities more effectively than ditional methods. Figure 1 shows the GNN model structure.



# 3. Solidity Small Contrast Security Weakness Analyzer

The solidity wart contract security weakness analyzer diagnoses security weaknesses by converting the source program of publicly, one of the languages that write smart contracts, into a syntax tree. Figure 2 is a structural diagram of the solidity smart contract security weakness analyzer.



Fig. 2 Structure of the Solidity Smart Contract Security Weakness Analyzer

The solidity smart contract security weakness analyzer consists of a generation with and plearning unit. The generation unit receives the solidity code as input to generate a Deep C ph L brary (DGL) graph, and the learning unit receives the DGL graph as input to perform learning to go erate a security weakness analysis model and detect the security weakness of the smart contract.

The DGL graph generation unit consists of an Abstract Syntax T) generator that converts the ve(/ solidity code into an AST, a dot generator that generates a dot code by e facting only necessary information from the generated AST [23], a symbol table generator that gene te a symbol information table for token Lde embedding, and a DGL graph generator that converts the R fbedd code generated by receiving the symbol information table and the Dot code as nto DGL graph. In this process, an intuitive understanding of the graph generated by visual enting the Embedded Dot code using a rep Zing a visualization tool can be provided.

The learning unit's model for solidity smart control security weak point detection consists of two layers of the Graph Convolution Network (GCN), which performs graph classification learning using the DGL graph-type dataset generated by the DGL graph generator, and each layer aggregates neighborhood information to calculate a new node representation.

# 3.1. Defining the Security Weakhers of Sonarty Code

In order to analyze the scharm, weatnesses of the solidity code, the security weaknesses of the solidity code are first defined. Table 1 is a list of the items of the solidity code security weaknesses proposed in this paper. The causes of securit, weaknesses were defined into eight items as follows in terms of the reliability of code execution and dat processing.



## 3.2 AST(Abstract Syntax Tree) Generator

The AST generator receives the solidity code as input and generates the AST using the parse method of the solidity parser library. Figure 3 shows the example solidity code to be used for the analysis of security weaknesses and the AST generated by the AST generator receiving the solidity code as input.



#### 3.3. Dot Generator

The dot generator receives the AST generated by the AST generator as an input to generate the dot code. The dot code is a language used to draw graphs in Graphviz, a sigualization tool, and only necessary information was reflected when generating Deep Graph Library(DGL) graphs, and unnecessary information in the AST was removed. Figure 4 is an example of the dot code generated through the dot code generator.



16 -> 17; 17 [label = FunctionEnd];

Fig. 4 Dot Code generated by the Dot Code Generator

# 3.4. Symbol Table Generator

The symbol table generator generates a symbol information table for token embedding of the dot code. The symbol table is generated at the time of execution of the dot code generator, and is used to generate the Embedded dot code by symbolically changing the user-defined function name, variable name, and state variable name Figure 5 shows the symbol table structure.



## 3.5. Embedded Dot Code Generation

The embedded dot code is generated based on the a code and the values stored in the symbol table. The embedded dot code makes the DGL graph symbolic, so that general rules and patterns can be learned without relying on specific data during training. Findre 6 is an example of the embedded dot code generated





Fig. 6 Embedded Dot Code

# 3.6. Visualization of Embedded Dot Code

Visualization is performed through the Graphviz library to visualize the embedded dot code and facilitate understanding of the structure of the code and data flow. Figure 8 is an example of visualizing the embedded dot code in Figure 7.



g. 7 Visuali ation of Embedded Dot Code

# 3.7. DGL(Deep Graph Library) Graph Generator

The DGL graph generat a dot code as an input to generate a DGL graph. A DGL graph is a receiv heterogeneous graph contain s types of nodes and edges. There are 13 node types, including 'Block', g vari 'Return', 'Bread row', 'Condition', 'IfEnd', 'WhenEnd', 'LoopVariable', 'LoopExpression', 'F on. pre 'ForEnd', 'Function and 'F ctionEnd', and there are three edge types consisting of Normal, True, and False. Figure 8 show L graph generated by the DGL graph generator by receiving the embedded dot code in ne l Figure 8 as an in

TestCoin.sol's DGL Graph
Graph(num_nodes={'Block': 29, 'Condition': 13, 'Expression': 25, 'ForEnd': 2, 'Function': 28, 'FunctionEnd': 31, 'IfEnd': 14, 'LoopExpression': 2, 'LoopVariable': 2, 'WhileEnd': 2, 'break': 2, 'return': 30, 'throw': 2}, num_edges={(Block', 'normal', 'Block'): 1, ('Block', 'normal', 'Expression'): 5, (Block', 'normal', 'return': 2, ('Condition', 'false', 'IfEnd'): 1, ('Condition', 'tnue', 'Block'): 1, ('Expression', 'normal', 'IfEnd'): 1, ('Expression', 'normal', 'FunctionEnd'): 1, ('Expression', 'normal', 'IfEnd'): 1, ('Expression', 'normal', 'FunctionEnd'): 1, ('Expression', 'normal', 'IfEnd'): 1, ('Function', 'normal', 'Block'): 5, ('IfEnd', 'normal', 'ForEnd'): 1, ('LoopExpression', 'normal', 'LoopExpression'): 1, ('LoopExpression', 'normal', 'LoopVariable'): 1, ('WhileEnd', 'normal', 'WhileEnd'): 1, ('break', 'normal', 'break'): 1, ('return', 'normal', 'FunctionEnd'): 5,

('throw', 'normal', 'throw'): 1},	
metagraph=[('Block', 'Block', 'normal'), ('Block', 'Expression', 'normal'),	
('Block', 'return', 'normal'), ('Expression', 'Condition', 'normal'),	
('Expression', 'FunctionEnd', 'normal'), ('Expression', 'IfEnd', 'normal'),	
('Expression', 'return', 'normal'), ('return', 'FunctionEnd', 'normal'),	
('Condition', 'IfEnd', 'false'), ('Condition', 'Block', 'true'), ('IfEnd', 'return', 'normal'),	
('ForEnd', 'ForEnd', 'normal'), ('Function', 'Block', 'normal'),	
('LoopExpression', 'LoopExpression', 'normal'),	
('LoopVariable', 'LoopVariable', 'normal'), ('WhileEnd', 'WhileEnd', 'normal'),	
('break', 'break', 'normal'), ('throw', 'throw', 'normal')])	

Fig. 8 DGL graph generated by the DGL graph generator

## 3.8. Graph Neural Network(GNN) Learning

To analyze the security weakness of the solidity code, a heterogeneous graph classification nodel is barned through GNN learning of deep learning using the data set of the DGL graph generated through the DGL graph generator. Figure 9 shows the structure of the learning part of the solidity smart contract security weakness analyzer



Fig. 9 Structure of Learning Part of the Security Weakness Analyzer

The GNN learning model consists of a Corportion Network (GCN) consisting of two layers. graph classification learning is performed at ng the DGL graph-type dataset generated by the DGL graph generator, and each convolutional layer updates not a features, applies the **ReLu** function in the net propagation process, and predicts class probabilities using a **Sol tax** function after the second convolution layer.

During training, the cross intropy less between the predicted result obtained through the **Softmax** function and the actual label is calculated. The slove is calculated by backpropagating the model through the calculation result. After that, the slove value alculated using the **Adam** optimization algorithm is applied to the weight of the model and updated.

The GNN icating model calculates a new node representation by aggregating neighboring information of each node through the above process, and based on this, the existence of security weaknesses in the input graph is represented by **Fredicuon** and **Active Labels**. Figure 10 shows the prediction result example of the model for the input graph.

Predictions: tensor([[0.0048, 0.9952]], device='cuda:0', grad\_fn=<SoftmaxBackward0>)
Actual Labels: tensor [1], device='cuda:0')

Fig. 10 Prediction Result Example of Security Weakness of GNN Learning Model

**Predictions** is a result of predicting the existence of a security weakness in the code after the model who has completed training receives the solidity code, and the value of index 0 indicates that there will be no security

weakness, and the value of index 1 indicates that there will be a vulnerability to that security weakness. Since the model predicts probabilistically, if the value of index 0 is larger, it is predicted to be higher that there is no security weakness, and if the value of index 1 is larger, it is predicted that there is a high probability that there will be a security weakness.

Actual Labels indicates whether there is a security weakness in the corresponding code, and if it is 0, it indicates a code without a security weakness, and if it is 1, it indicates a code with a security weakness. Therefore, there are security weaknesses in the program used as an example, and a security weakness analyzer through graph-based deep learning (GAN) detects the security weaknesses present in the smart contract program

There are 8 models for each security weakness, and Figure 11 shows the prediction results example of the model obtained by inputting a DGL graph into 8 models learned according to each security weakness. If the value of ordex 0 of **Prediction** is larger, undetected is output, and if the value of index 1 is larger, detected is output.



Fig. 11 Security Weakness Prediction Result. Example for 8 Models

# 4. Experimental Results and Analysis

In order to detect the security weakness of one small control written with the solidity code on the ethereum platform where the solidity smart control trunc, an experiment was conducted to detect the security weaknesses by analyzing various vulnerability patters of the solidity code. Figure 12 shows the results of detecting security weaknesses for the **integer overflo**, in the solidity code used in the experiment.





Fig. 12 Integer Overflow Detection Result of Solidity code

Security weakness detection for the security weakness detection item, **Intersoverflow**, was performed with IntegerOverflow.sol, which has a security weakness. In the solidity code of Figure 12, **balances[\_to]** +=\_value; the part of transmitting tokens to the other party's account is writted in the transfer, transferFrom function. In this case, be careful of exposure to security vulnerabilities for integer overflow because no exception is handled to integer overflow using SafeMath. The det ction esults of security weaknesses warn that among the eight security weaknesses, there are security weaknesses for integer overflow.

Figure 13 shows the results of detecting security we choeses of or the **conestamp dependency** in the solidity code used in the experiment.





Fig. 13 Timestamp Dependency Detection Result of Solidity Code

The security weakness detection for the timestamp dependency, a security weakness detect performed with TimestampDependency.sol that has a timestamp dependency security we knes solidity code of Figure 13, the releaseOnce and releaseAll functions use block.timestam sheck s cific conditions. However, **block.timestamp** can be manipulated by miners within a certain ge to Snally advance or delay the execution point of a specific event, which can lead to behavior of the system. The detection results of security weaknesses warn that among the cnesses, there tht sec ity are security weaknesses for timestamp dependency.

# 5. Conclusions and Further Researches

Blockchain is a distributed ledger technology that allows users to ind share information safely and transparently. A smart contract is a contract decided based on a blo and is a program that automatically tcha executes or executes contract terms. Smart contracts improve th and reliability of transactions by frenc utilizing the tampering prevention function of blockchain techn security vulnerability refers to the pgy. fundamental cause of vulnerabilities caused by logical and mistakes that can be defective in software bu development. To prevent software security accident securi weak ses must be analyzed before the program is distributed. Smart contract codes that operate on , a blockchain-based framework, can have security here vulnerabilities inside the code. When the contract is pleted and the block is created, the chaincode cannot be nalyzed before execution. In addition, most security arbitrarily modified, so the security weakness must be vulnerability analysis methods for smart contracts are currently specialized in detecting specific vulnerabilities many false positives when detecting security vulnerabilities. using rule-based methods, which is prone

an analysis method through the deep learning's graph neural In order to solve this problem, this studie Japa network (GNN) to detect security v erabili In solidity codes. To analyze security vulnerabilities in solidity code, we defined eight types. of sectivy weakness items (unchecked external call, dangerous delegate call, timestamp dependency, Inter ow, centrancy, block number dependency, ether strict equality, and ether r ove graph data. In order to represent both the structural elements of the program, frozen), converted the solidit code int solidity code was converted into an abstract syntax tree (AST) and the graph the control flow. and th w.tb ata 1 information requ learning was extracted from AST to convert the solidity code into a graph. Next, for O for training GNN models by integrating these graph data and their properties with after generat l datase labels, it is po tect whether security vulnerabilities exist in the solidity code through GNN learning. This le to form security weakness detection more effectively than conventional rule-based methods. proposed m hod

In the future it is believed that more data should be collected and learning about these additional vulnerabilities should to performed in order to allow the proposed system to detect a wider range of security vulnerabilities. In Idition, here expected that higher performance can be achieved by training the model using more advanced and spectrue of GNN models tailored to the dataset.

# CKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea Government (MSIT (No.2022R1F1A1063340))

# References

- I.C. Lin, T.C. Liao, "A Survey of Blockchain Security Issues and Challenges", International Journal of Network Security Vol. 19, No. 5, pp. 653–659, 2017.
- [2] Zheng, Z.; Xie, S.; Dai, H. N.; Chen, X.; Wang, H. Blockchain Challenges and Opportunities: A Survey., International Journal of Web and Grid Services, 14(4), pp. 352-375, 2018.
- [3] S. Wang, Y. Yuan, X. Wang, J. Li, R. Qin, and F. Y. Wang, "An Overview of Smart Contract: Architecture, Applica and Future Trends," IEEE Intell. Veh. Symp. Proc., Vol. 2018-June, pp. 108–113, Oct. 2018.
- [4] S. Y. Lin, L. Zhang, J. Li, L. li Ji, and Y. Sun, "A Survey of Application Research based on Blockchain Smart Cutract," Wirel. Networks, Vol. 28, No. 2, pp. 635–690, 2022.
- [5] S. N. Khan, F. Loukil, C. Ghedira-Guegan, E. Benkhelifa, and A. Bani-Hani, "Blockchain Smart Contra 5: App valid Challenges, and Future Trends," Peer-to-Peer Network Applications, Vol. 14, No. 5, pp. 2901–2925, 2 21.
- [6] S. S. Kushwaha, S. Joshi, D. Singh, M. Kaur, and H. N. Lee, "Systematic Review of Security Vulneabilities in Effereum Blockchain Smart Contract," IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 6605–6621, 2022.
- [7] Fagan, Michael E "Design and Code Inspections to Reduce Errors in Program Development" BM, stems Journal, Vol. 38, No. 2.3, pp. 258-287, 1999.
- [8] Y. Son, Y. Lee, S. Oh, "A Software Weakness Analysis Methods for the Secure Coftware", The Asian International Journal of Life Sciences, Vol. 12, pp. 423-434, 2015.
- [9] Y. Son, Y. Lee, "A Smart Contract Weakness and Security Hole Analyzer Using What Machine based Dynamic Monitor," Journal of Logistics, Informatics and Service Science, Success Culture Press, W 9, No. 1, pp. 36-52, Mar 2022.
- [10] Y. Lee, "A Study on Intermediate Code Generation for Security Weatness analysis of Smart Contract Chaincode," Journal of Logistics, Informatics and Service Science, Success Culture rese, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 53-67, Jan 2022.
- [11] S. Kim, Y. Son, Y. Lee, "A Study on Chaincode Security Waxney Detector in Hyperledger Fabric Blockchain Framework for IT Development," Journal of Green Engineering, Jpha Pu. Lers, Vol. 10, No. 10, pp. 7820-7844, Oct 2020.
- [12] Scarselli, F.; Gori, M.; Tsoi, A. C.; Hagenbucher, M.; Monfardh, G. The Graph Neural Network Model, IEEE Transactions on Neural Network, 20(1), pp. 61-80, 208.
- [13] L. Wu, P. Cui, J. Pei, L. Zhao, Graph Neural Network oundations, Frontiers, and Applications, Springer, 2022.
- [14] D. Zheng, M. Wang, Q. Gan, Z. Zhang, and G. Karyph, uthors, Learning Graph Neural Networks with Deep Graph Library, WWW '20: Companion Proceedings of the Web Conference 2020, ACM, pp. 305 306, 2020.
- [15] S. Kim, R. Y. C. Kim, and Y. B. Park, "Tware Vulnerability Detection Methodology Combined with Static and Dynamic Analysis," Wirel. Pers. Commun. 2015 203, Vol. 89, No. 3, pp. 777–793, Dec. 2015.
- [16] B. Chess, G. McGraw, "Static Analysis resoluting" IEEE Security & Privacy, 2(6), (2004), pp.76-79.
- [17] A. Petukhov, et al., "Detecting Security Vulnerabilities in Web Applications Using Dynamic Analysis with Penetration Testing." online Proceedings of the collication Security Conference, (**2008**).
- [18] Solidity Documentation, Eth
- [19] Solidity Documentation. https://solity.readthedocs.io/en/v0.4.21/contracts.html
- [20] S. Peyrott, An Introduction to Ethere in and Smart Contracts, Auth0, 2017.
- [21] https://www.etherer
- [22] Deep Graph Library (Dec.), https://www.dgl.ai/
- [23] Y. Son, J. Jung, "Lee, "Durign and Implementation of the Secure Compiler and Virtual Machine for Developing Secure IoT Service" Future Generation Computer Systems, Vol. 76, pp. 350-357, 2014.

