## **Journal Pre-proof**

Toward Efficient Credit Card Fraud Detection: Leveraging Quantum Neural Networks and Modified Feature Selection Techniques

Deepa N, Jayaraj R, Suguna M, Sireesha Nanduri, Banda Snv Ramana Murthy and Jebakumar Immanuel D

DOI: 10.53759/7669/jmc202505024 Reference: JMC202505024 Journal: Journal of Machine and Computing. Received 16 August 2024

Revised form 30 July 2024

Accepted 17 November 2024



**Please cite this article as:** Deepa N, Jayaraj R, Suguna M, Sireesha Nanduri, Banda Snv Ramana Murthy and Jebakumar Immanuel D, "Toward Efficient Credit Card Fraud Detection: Leveraging Quantum Neural Networks and Modified Feature Selection Techniques", Journal of Machine and Computing. (2025). Doi: https:// doi.org/10.53759/7669/jmc202505024

This PDF file contains an article that has undergone certain improvements after acceptance. These enhancements include the addition of a cover page, metadata, and formatting changes aimed at enhancing readability. However, it is important to note that this version is not considered the final authoritative version of the article.

Prior to its official publication, this version will undergo further stages of refinement, such as copyediting, typesetting, and comprehensive review. These processes are implemented to ensure the article's final form is of the highest quality. The purpose of sharing this version is to offer early visibility of the article's content to readers.

Please be aware that throughout the production process, it is possible that errors or discrepancies may be identified, which could impact the content. Additionally, all legal disclaimers applicable to the journal remain in effect.

© 2025 Published by AnaPub Publications.



## **Toward Efficient Credit Card Fraud Detection: Leveraging Quantum Neural Networks and Modified Feature Selection Techniques**

N. Deepa<sup>1</sup> Professor Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Saveetha school of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India deepa23narayanan@gmail.com

Dr. Sireesha Nanduri<sup>4</sup> Associate Professor FMS-CMS Business School, JAIN (Deemed to be University), Bengaluru, Karnataka, India sirivirja2020@gmail.com Dr. R. Jayaraj<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Data Science and Business Systems, School Of Computing SRM Institute of Science and Technology Kattankulathur, Chennai jayarajr1@srmist.edu.in

BANDA SNV RAMANA MURTHY<sup>5</sup> Assistant Professor Department of CSE-AIML ADITYA UNIVERSITY, SURAMPALEM, A.P. ramanamurthy.banda@gmail.com

Abstract: Credit cards are a common form of payment not only because they are extremely convenient to use but also because they are widely accepted. Credit cards are not only very easy to use, but they are also readily available. On account of the fact that it is so widely used, there is a substantial amount of concern regarding the protection of sensitive data from fraudulent activities and access unauthorised individuals. For the purpose of preserving trust and confidence of users, it is of the utmost impg ince to make certain that proper security measures are in Quantum machine learning (QML) is gaining popularity classification applications, and a considerable number of th suggestions that have been made for it involve the utilisation of many qubits. This type of learning is becoming increasingly common. It is essential to make effort to optimise the efficiency and effectiveness of e .h qub efore adding additional qubits. This should be ding more qubits. This is due to the fact th it is probabl that these circuits will not always be able t nction e elv in the generation of noisy intermediate-se quantum (NISQ) systems. By utilising a single the bjective of this research is to provide a descr tion of iove leep quantum ed for cla ification purposes. neural network that is desig In comparison to past\_stud this **u** work reduces the number of pargmeter ious tactics that are nli frequently utilis ional neural networks (CNNs). convo by reduc This is accomplish the number of parameters. og leaping algorithm, also known as affle The modific in order to decide which traits are utilis MSFLA, is nt wh the most\_signif also lowering the amount of ary. The purpose is to validate the computing at is first proposal and offer a tested framework concept of th dopment of the application. This will be for later d ough the demonstration of the classification accom shed t erform the architecture that is based on a single Using a dataset that includes records of credit card ns done by Europeans, the model is assessed in a that is reflective of the real world. This is etting accomplished by using the dataset. A number of components re included in the technique of the proposed model. These pre-processing, omponents include data feature engineering, ideal selection, evaluation and evaluation, and evaluation and evaluation. The usage of the computational resources provided by Google Colab allows for the training and testing of the model to be carried out with greater efficiency. When compared to individual classifiers, traditional machine learning approaches, and the model that was recommended, it was discovered that the proposed model was more effective in reducing the obstacles connected with detecting credit card fraud. This concluded that the

proposed model was more effective. When compared to

Suguna. M<sup>3</sup> Assistant professor, Department of computer science and engineering SNS College of Engineering, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, Ind sugunasae@gmail.co Dr. Jebakumar I nanuel I Assoc Dep ificial Intentigence ence, Dai Technology, nstitute arpagai tore, Tamil Nadu, India jeb marimmanuel@gmail.com

that was suggested has a greater earlier models, the m degree of pe In terms of accuracy, precision, recall, rmance characteristics. This is the case ten are measured. The findings that have and F1-sc e pe when thos hete bvide a foundation for the creation of been here orithms that are more resilient and fra detec lexit This is something that will become increasingly s the number of methods that credit card fraud is quire mmitte ontinues to expand.

Keywords: Modified shuffle frog leaping algorithm; Quantum machine learning; Single-qubit-based deep quantum neural network; Credit cards fraud detection; Convolutional eural network.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The rise of online credit card fraud is biggest problems with contemporary online shopping. Payments made with both physically present and virtual cards are a source of public anxiety. Online payments (CNP) remain a major concern, even though banks' introduction of chip smart cards has greatly reduced CP fraud [1]. To reduce financial losses for consumers, modern encryptions and cutting-edge multi-factor authentication (MFA) methods like biometric technology have been created to thwart fraudulent activities, protect the credibility of card issuers and retailers, and so on [2]. However, con artists will always find a loophole to take advantage of. The proliferation of credit card transactions can be attributed to the enhanced ease and lightning-fast development of electronic services [3]. As a result, security threats like credit card theft have grown in frequency, worrisome for banks and their clients alike [4]. The estimated losses due to credit card fraud in 2019, 2020, and 2021 were at \$28.55, \$28.50, and \$32.34 billion, respectively, as reported by Nielsen [5]. Also, from \$9.84 billion in 2011 to \$32.34 billion in 2021, the world's losses from credit card theft have increased thrice [6]. Credit card fraud detection (CCFD) has seen extensive application of machine learning (ML) techniques, with state-of-the-art results achieved [7]. Each machine learning algorithm falls into one of four categories: supervised, unsupervised, semi-supervised, or reinforcement learning. The supervised learning (SL) approach is the most used machine learning technique for noticing credit card fraud [8]. In supervised learning, a labelled dataset is used to train ML algorithms. One example of a label is "not

fraud" which indicates that the data point does not belong to the "fraud" category. SL methods typically figure out what the connection is between the input features and the labels that come out of the process [9].

Multiple investigations have shown that neural networks can detect fraudulent transactions in intricate credit card databases [10]. Neural networks, a subfield of machine learning, can learn either with human-like guidance or independently, drawing inspiration from the way the brain works [11]. Complex patterns can be better analysed and predictions made by using multi-layer neural networks, often known as deep learning (DL). It is possible to detect using DL approaches. The most popular DL-based networks for credit card transaction modelling and analysis are recurrent neural networks (RNN) and variations like long short-term memory (LSTM) and generalised recurrent units (GRU) [12]. Some of the methods used to detect credit card fraud include Deep Learning, Machine Learning, and Statistical Analysis. When looking for unusual activity in credit card transactions, statisticians use tools including clustering, hypothesis testing, and regression [13]. Machine learning, on the other hand, analyses past data using algorithms to identify fraudulent actions as they happen [14]. The use of neural networks in deep learning approaches allows for the autonomous identification of detailed patterns and features in large datasets, leading to very effective fraud detection. Despite the abundance of cyber fraud detection methods, no system successful in delivering both efficiency and accuracy at a high level [15]. As a result, in order to launch cyber fraud detection innovation projects, academics and the banking sector need a synopsis current practices and a critical evaluation of rele recent research.

While current computer power constraints are lin machine learning, scientists are investigating possibility of merging quantum computing with machin learning in order to process classical data using ML algorithms [16]. Quantum Machine Learning (OML) is an emerging field of study that combines cla sical machine learning principles with those of quant ing. Consequently, the goal of QML is the develop apps for various ML algorithms, veraging .op qu tum n the scalability and learning capabilities or L algorithms and the processing power of quan mu [17].

Our new single-qubit qu s ar introduced to tum Cl the study along with multi implementation strategies for bringing the single bit hniqu to quantum CNNs. In particular, 1 elo tec de that preserves data means of parametrised spatial relation by and 2) modify this technique to convolutio ltei handle data without resorting to expensive it i flattening ssing. Then, by uploading data based orepi t, the simply create the quantum CNNs. vance the accuracy of the classification, on a single ıbit. I In rder to the relevant aspects. In order to prove MS extra ed model is computationally efficient, to that th ugge ability to efficiently integrate varied base test panage complicated algorithms, and implement aborate feature engineering.

Here is the breakdown of the remaining sections of the paper: Section 2 lists pertinent literature; Section 3 tretches a high-level overview of the suggested classical; Section 4 details the analysis of the results; and Section 5 draws a conclusion.

#### II. RELATED WORKS

By identifying relevant aspects, Sorour et al., [18] improve the aptitude to correctly recognise financial CCF transactions. Their methodology is based on the Brown Bear Optimisation (BBO) algorithm. When it comes improving classification accuracy and reduci dimensionality, BBO has you covered. It is cloned into binary variation called Binary BBOA (BBBOA) after being modified by randomly altering the positi increase exploration and exploitation capabiliti The projected approach makes use of ML classifiers ch as Xgb-tree, Support Vector Machine (SVM). is tested on the Australian credit datase standard BBOA and ten existing optin ding: sers, inc Binary African Vultures Optimisat VO), narv Salp Swarm Algorithm (BSSA) inary learch misation Algorithm **Optimisation Binary Gras** r O nfish (BGOA), and Binary timis (BSFO). With a classification accur of up 91% d an attribute in the utilised dataset, the reduction length dow 67 outperformed the alternatives proposed procedure clea using Wilcoxon's rank-su test. Using ten benchmark datasets, to further test the posed methodology and find that it outperfores the competition in the most used s a priety of performance metrics. Finally, datasets ac ten bench hark atagets taken from the UCI source are ate the projected methodology. In val used ets that were used, it fared better than ma ty of petitors on several performance criteria.

Kh et al. [19] presented a original ensemble at integrates boosting classifiers, random assical orests, k-nearest neighbors, supporting vector machines, and bagging. The widespread issue of dataset imbalance in credit card datasets can be overcome by utilizing this psemble model, which combines under-sampling with the Synthetic Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE) on a few machine learning methods. The model is evaluated in a practical context using a dataset that contains records of Europeans' credit card transactions. The approach of the projected model includes data pre-processing, feature engineering, model selection, and assessment. Training and testing the model are made efficient using Google Colab's computational capabilities. Reducing challenges connected to credit card fraud detection was achieved more effectively by the model than by standard machine learning techniques, individual classifiers, or both. When comparing outperforms the current models. According to this study, ensemble techniques are an effective tool for combating fraudulent transactions. Building more robust and adaptable fraud detection systems is crucial in light of the ever-growing sophistication of credit card fraud techniques; the presented findings lay the groundwork for this endeavor.

In order to better notice credit card fraud, Baria et al., [20] suggests combining deep learning with linear regression models. To make sure the decision-making process is simple and easy to understand, the suggested method uses deep learning to capture complicated, nonlinear correlations and high-dimensional designs in transaction data, and then uses linear regression to make sure everything is easy to understand. To begin, our hybrid model uses a deep learning architecture to glean useful features from unprocessed transaction data. More especially, it recurrent neural network (RNN). A linear regression model is used to classify the features in the end. Financial organisations may improve their performance and better understand what characteristics lead to fraudulent transactions by combining deep learning with linear regression. This helps them fight credit card fraud, which is an ongoing problem.

Zhu et al., [21] suggests a novel approach to detecting performance improvement by merging Neural Networks (NN) with Synthetic sampling Procedure). Focussing on technological advances for strong and accurate fraud detection, the study tackles the inherent imbalance in data. According to the results, when compared to standard models, the combination of NN and SMOTE performs better. This proposes that it could be a good option for credit card fraud detection scenarios where the dataset is imbalanced. In order to avert fraudulent financial transactions, this study adds to the continuing attempts to find better and more efficient ways to do it.

For credit card fraud finding, Bao et al. [22] suggests a BERT model to deal with imbalanced and highdimensional datasets. The model improves the accuracy of fraud finding by utilising BERT's pre-training to identify resemblance. semantic The suggested method 99.95% accomplishes an impressive accuracy in identifying fraudulent transactions by means of thorough data preprocessing and model training. The research highlights the significance of using cutting-edge deep learning methods such as BERT to counteract developin fraud strategies in the online banking sector.

Innovative usage of the most recent Transf mer models for stronger and more accurate fraud det have been the attention of Yu et al., [23]. Thorough processing the data sources and balancing the dataset t solve data sparsity significantly, to ensured the data's dependability. To ensure the new Transform r model's performance reliability and practicality, to compared with several widely used models, Precision ll to compare these models thoroughly bpth in comparisons and analyses allow us offer th lers a robust anti-fraud system that sho great promise. According to the findings, er model is a huge step forward in the ind stry and ot only works well mising future uses in the usual suspects, but it o has pi in less common doma frau detection.

#### I. ROPOS METHODOLOGY

In this projected methodology for creat card detection is graphically publicized in Figure 1.





up of transactions, some of which might be ind ive of dulen behaviour. This stage involves cleaning the data completi tasks such as eliminating null values and normalis ta in oro to get the data suitable for feature selection and ssific tage is necessary in order to get the dat fo proces in order to choose features, the Modi (MSFLA) is utilised. This be Leaping Algorithm respoi orithm for the selection process. This stage helps picking t characteris s from the dataset that are currently the most ant ch in turn improves the accuracy and efficiency of the classifi odel. Additionally, this stage helps in selecting the characteristics are the most relevant. In order to accomplish the task of classificati ne selected characteristics are fed into a machine learning mo bunded on quantum mechanics. that classify transactions as either legal or This model's objective fraudulent, de e circumstances. Following the classification phase, vali is carried out in order to verify the and ensure that it accurately differentiates effectivene ulent transactions. This takes place after the betwe nd fra been completed. Last but not least, the system class ation the tran hs into two unique groups, which are as follows: of Transactions That Are Common Deceptive financial am ransactions alings

#### . Dataset collection

Table.1. Dataset Description.

Descriptions	Characteristics		
Transaction amount	Class		
Time in seconds to			
designate the timeline used	Time		
among the present transaction	Time		
besides the previous one.			
1-fraud 0-not fraud	Amount		
Refers to the limit of the	I IMIT DAI		
credit card	LIMIT_DAL		

It was from kaggle.com that the dataset was obtained [24]. It included purchases made in January 2024 using American credit cards. Over the progression of two days, a entire of 284,807 recorded, with 491 of those identified as fraudulent. To guarantee client confidentiality and account for the dataset's extreme imbalance—fraudulent transactions accounted for almost 0.172% of altogether transactions—some attributes were translated into Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Table 1 shows that other properties like class, amount, and time are untouched, while features labelled as V1, V2, V3, and V21 represent the variables that were transformed using PCA.

#### **B.Data Preprocessing**

In order to fine-tune the data selection, the dataset went through multiple stages. Specific approaches were used to filter out less useful features due to the relatively small trial size (995 declarations) and the presence of a significant number of characteristics (887). To begin, to discarded data sets that had more than half of their values missing because to couldn't draw any conclusions from them. In addition, to removed features with comparable values since they weren't adding to the data's variability. In addition, the analysis did not include text qualities or categorical variables that had more than 30 categories.

#### C. Feature Selection using MSFLA

In enhance the classification accuracy, the MSFLA [25] is employed to choose the best features from the preprocessing model. Each possible answer in SFLA is represented by a digital frog's position, and a collection of these frogs stands for the population of answers. Subsequent the generation of the initial populace P, the following procedures are repeated endlessly or until a limiting disorder is reached.

 $\begin{aligned} x'_w &= x_w + rand \times (x_b - x_w) \ (1) \\ x'_w &= x_w + rand \times (x_g - x_w) \ (2) \\ \text{where rand is an arbitrary value that follows [0.1].} \end{aligned}$ 

A new populace P is built by rearranging the sequence of all the developed memeplexes. The idea of differentiated search within memeplexes is rarely measured, and the search technique and criteria used to construct memeplexes are typically the same, as indicated before. Adding new search operators and parameters improves search efficiency by making it easier to avoid local optima and strengthening search capacity. This study introduces MSFLA as a method for extracting useful attributes from unstructured data. Phase two of MSFLA includes the varied search.

#### Initialization, Populace Separation, and the First Phas

In this study, a key of the difficult is considered d as  $\begin{bmatrix} M_{\theta_1}, M_{\theta_2}, \dots, M_{\theta_n} \end{bmatrix}$  and a string  $[q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_n]$ ,  $M_{\theta_j}$  is the owed for job  $J_j, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ , and  $q_1$ agrees to  $J_1$ . These two strings have distinct purposes. A description of the decoding process follows. The machine , and then Mk is used to select the machine for each all jobs are run simultaneously.  $J_i, J_{i+1}, \cdot$ d on  $M_{k}$ —that is,  $M_{\theta_{i}} = M_{\theta_{i+1}}, \cdots$ , dispensation order of  $q_{l}, l \in [d]$ The  $M_k$ sequentially.

This is the next step after ting the initial Pick out the population P: dividing th popula on. greatest s answers from se and ra t them from most s to provide the effective to least. memeplexes v of the initial response. Our be M\_followed by M\_2 assign memeplexes. In this method, two initial response alternative ion ked all and on and equated to determine r. Next, to add  $x_i$  ( $x_j$ ) to  $M_1$ . Pick on  $M_1$  add it to  $M_1$  if there are more solutions ar ıs be which or ndon one key a th the same purpose. Returns the options thar one key by the population P. The identical for ding an answer for  $\mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3, \dots, \mathcal{M}_s$  and owed. Obviously,  $N = s \times \theta$ , where  $\theta$ that e not method are s memeplex.

Because it is so much better at exploring, global search is only used at the beginning. Stage two involves the use f differentiated search algorithms that are based on evaluations of memeplex quality.

#### The Second Phase

Evaluation of hardly measured in SFLA. Memeplexes are evaluated based on their problem-solving capabilities and their ability to evolve. Deliberately Memeplex  $\mathcal{M}_l$ , its quality  $M_{eql}$  is defined by

$$M_{\rm eql} = a_1 \times \frac{msq_{max} - ms_{q_1}}{msq_{max} - ms_{q_1}} + a_2 \times \frac{mvq_1 - mvq_{min}}{msq_{min}}$$
(3)

 $M_{\text{eql}} = a_1 \times \frac{1}{msq_{max} - msq_{min}} + a_2 \times \frac{1}{mvq_{max} - mvq_{min}}$ (3) where  $a_1, a_2$  are real number,  $msq_1$  and  $mvq_1$  indica solution quality of  $\mathcal{M}_l$ , respectively,  $msq_{max}$ 

$$l = 1, 2, \cdots, s \{msq_l\}, msq_{min} \in min$$

 $l = 1, 2, \dots, s^{\{msq_l\}, mvq_{max} \text{ and } mvq_{min} \text{ representation}$ memeplexes, distinctly.

After entirely solutions in  $\mathcal{M}_l$  are prearranged, indicate primary  $\theta/2$  keys except  $x_b$  and

the endured  $\theta/2$  keys in  $\mathcal{M}_l$ ,

The se

 $msq_l = C_{max}(x_b) + \beta_1 \times \overline{C}_{max}(H_1)$  $C_{max}(H)$ where  $\bar{C}_{max}(H_i)$  is the regular m f all est ys in  $H_{\rm i}, \, {\rm i} = 1, \, 2, \, \beta_i. \, i = 1, \, 2 \, {\rm i}$ al m ber. Solu ons of  $H_1$ are those of  $H_2$ ; thus, to  $\beta_2 \mathfrak{v}$ flect this feature. t  $\beta_1$ e gotten  $\beta_1 = 0.4 \text{ and } \beta_2 = 0.1$ y trials

Let  $Im_x$  designate he be r sum of x group. When general SFLA, if than x,  $x \in M_l$  is designated the total primary generation. then  $Im_x = Im_x + 1$ .  $Se_x$  $mvq_1 = \sum_{x \in M_l} Im_x$  $e_{\rm x}(5)$  $x \in N$ 

 $t_{x_i}$  is used to assess is figured by For solution  $x_i$ , it  $act_{x_i}$ (6)

s unprotected as shadows. age

paration, estimate  $Meq_1$ for etely h adding order of  $Meq_1$ , and construct set COI  $meq_l > \overline{Meq}, l \le \eta \times \theta$ .

pr correspondingly memeplex  $M_l, M_l \notin \Theta$ , (2)cappearance the successive steps  $R_1$  aeras if  $|\tau| > 0$ , execute global search special y 2 T; else accomplish among  $x_b$  and a key  $y \in M_l$  with  $act_y \ge act_x$  for all  $\in M_l$ .

For each memeplex  $M_l \in \Theta$ , (3)

sort all keys in  $M_l$  in the suppose  $C_{max}(x_1) \leq$ 1.  $C_{max}(x_2) \leq \cdots \leq C_{max}(x_{\theta})$ , and hypothesis a set  $\varphi =$  $\{x_i | dist_{x_i} < \overline{dist}, i \le \theta/2\}.$ 

2. Recurrence the subsequent ladders  $R_2$  times, key  $x_i \in M_l/\varphi$  if  $act_{x_i} > 0.5$ , then select a key  $y \in \varphi$  by on  $Pr_{\rm v}$ , execute among  $x_{\rm i}$  and y, and inform memory T; else among  $x_i$  and a result z with  $act_z \ge act_{x_i}$  for all  $x_i \in M_l$ and T.

(4) Execute hunts on each key x ∈ φ.
(5) Perform novel populace shuffling.

where  $dist_{x_i} = |C_{max}(x_i) - C_{max}(x_b)|$  is distinct for each key  $x_i \in M_l$  and  $\overline{dist}$  is the regular value of all  $dist_{x_1}$  in  $M_l$ .  $\eta$  is a real sum besides set to be 0.4 by trials,  $\overline{Meq}$  designates the average excellence,  $\Theta$  is the  $Pr_v$  is a likelihood besides different by

$$Pr_{y} = \frac{|\varphi| - rank_{y}}{|\varphi|} \times \frac{Im_{y}}{\sum_{x \in \varphi} Im_{x}}$$
(7)

where  $rank_{y}$  ranks clearly and is a numerical value, which brings us to the first stage of step three of the aforementioned process.

In the second phase, after all in all in the Meql, suppose  $Meq_1 \ge Meq_2 \ge \cdots Meq_s$ .

Memory T is used keys. The maximum degree  $|T|_{max}$  is given payment. to set  $|T|_{max}$  to be 200 by trials. When keys exceeds  $|T|_{max}$ , a key x can be one.

Six used.  $N_1$  is exposed below. Arbitrarily the machine  $M_k$  with the largest  $C_{max}^k$  and machine  $M_g$  with the smallest  $C_{max}^g$ , where  $C_{max}^k$  and  $C_{max}^g$  are last treated job on  $M_k$  besides  $M_g$ , individually.  $N_2$  is achieved in the subsequent way. Decide on a machine  $M_k$  with the major  $C_{max}^k$  besides a job  $J_i$  with the major processing time  $p_{ki}$  on  $M_k$ , arbitrarily pick a machine  $M_g$ ,  $g \neq k$  and a job  $J_j$  with the largest  $p_{gj}$  and conversation  $J_i$  and  $J_j$  among  $M_k$  and Mg.

 $N_3$  is described as shadows. Arbitrarily choice machines  $M_k$  besides  $M_g$  and talk a job  $J_i$  with the chief  $p_{ki}$  besides a job  $J_j$  with the major pgj among machines.  $N_1, N_2, N_3$  only act on the string.

 $N_4, N_5, N_6$  are string operations that involve exchanging two genes, inserting one gene into a randomly chosen new site, and inverting the genes between two spots.  $k_1, k_2, k_1 < k_2$ .

Multiple key x, let u = 1, reappearance the succeeding ladders V periods: yield a key  $z \in N_u(x)$ , u=u+1, let u = 1 if u = 7, and  $Im_x = Im_x + 1$ .

The second part of the worldwide search follows the same protocol as the first.

The present SFLA [25] constructs a new P-population using the s-developed memeplexes. The following methods of population reshuffling are employed in this study: Incorporating the top memeplexes from both besides new (P) populations into the latter is the goal of this process. By means of scientific experiments,

To establish  $\gamma = 0.1 \times |T|_{max}$ .

Put another way, you can improve P's less that deal results by using memeplex search or shuffling. The phase involves applying act\_x to an optimisation object global search; the second phase focusses on finding a good memeplex by performing a manifold search on the keys in. A global search is all that's d for other of memeplexes; of on top that. num parameters,  $R_1, R_2, R_1 \neq R_2$ , used, а importance, distinguished search is ap fed

# D. Classification using Quantum Computing Machine Learning

This study employs arning based on achine quantum computing to gorise redit card fraud detection. Similar vorks, single-qubit lar icient generation of a very encoding allo the space complicate means of several upload e potential drawback is that it only layers of in el encling, which can be problematic supports on tion and other activities that rely on data. One essential part of deep for data egor spatial info ation ng conv tional layers is the incorporation of local lear usual method involves using a filter, also is. The data g window," to collect data from a square alled a of size  $F \times F$ . A value for that data region would be an in conventional ML by applying a kernel peration.

Taking a similar tack is the starting point for our uggested change. The data's original shape is preserved instead of being flattened into a column vector step. The data is thus put through a filter with dimensions  $F \times F$ , dividing it into a separate grid of  $F \times F$  squares. Afterwards, the aforementioned single-qubit encoding strategy is used to encode each square region of data qubit row by row, with values  $(x_i)$  and appropriate filter weights  $(\theta_i, \varphi_i)$  as parameters.

It is possible to encode data in a way that preserves the spatial relationships between its components by using the method. In order to make things clear, instead of giving set of trainable strictures to every square  $F \times F$  data region, the filter is given a set of six weight parameters that match  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  in equation (8). This ensures the six parameters are applied to all three-data sets produced by the filter in the same way. This technique narrows the filter parameter set down to a manageable six

To seek to show that good results can the fewest parameters, even if it is recog sed that ch F × F region could have numerous sets SIX parameters. In light of this, the ented perin here will all make use of with a total of six еf parameters. Neverthe e benefits and drawbacks to each a ne two ups mè oned, and they provide slightly differ ches to data classification. apr Reason for its inclusion is section: it may lead to the exploration of many avenu future study.

#### Classification Pipeling and Loss Calculation

t now from classification has not been The in e recommended encoding approach made cle has l Tľ overall goal of this fidelity-based th is to minimise the fidelity among a meas reme uppre ngs and meir corresponding target states. This is nd accomplished. Every piece of data with a class wì r 1 is given a target state of 0 or 1 in a binary lue of lassification task, where the data set has a size of D. As long as the target states are as far apart as possible, this method can incorporate any number of classes.

This is the starting point for encoding the pixel values onto the qubit using the suggested method. The next step is measurement, which involves extracting the qubit's fidelity against each target class state individually. To summarise, fidelity F is a sum between zero and one that quantum states are comparable. Two quantum states are more comparable in direction when their fidelity is high. Classification is then thought to have been successful if the highest-class fidelity value provided was used. After that, the loss function that follows is derived from the one that was previously used.

$$\frac{1}{2D} \sum_{m=1}^{D} \sum_{c=1}^{C} ((F(x_d, \theta, \varphi)_c - F_c)^2)$$
(8)

:

where D is data used, C is the amount of classes,  $F(x_d, \theta, \varphi)_c$  is the slow datapoint d with admiration to class c, and  $F_c$  is the expected measured. In order to understand, a datapoint belonging to class 0 has a target public of |0|, while class 1 has an expected fidelity value of 0 and class 0 has an expected fidelity value of 1. One would get a fidelity measurement of one if the qubit were in state |0|. At fidelity level 0, the qubit would be in state |1|. For example, let's pretend the qubit was  $|\psi\rangle > = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/((2)^{1/2})$ , then the loyalty measurement is given by

$$F(x_d, \theta, \varphi)_c = |\langle \psi_c | \psi(x_d, \theta, \varphi) \rangle|^2$$
(9)

Here,  $F(x_d, \theta, \varphi)_c = 0.5$  for c = 0. Expected faithfulness values can using (4) by cycling through value with one additional.

A entire representation of the categorisation to output, can be seen in an algorithm. To summarise, square sections of data are extracted one at a time using filters that are run over each data set. Then, with the input values region and the filter weights as parameters, unitary operations are executed on the qubit one after the other. At the end of the encoding process, to check for consistency with the class states by measuring fidelity.

The purpose of assigning a placeholder value of 0 to x if it is not divisible by 3 is to make the hardcoded variables  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta$  clear; this value has no extra impact on the rotation of the qubits. Unitary operations are applied sequentially, with each value  $\ddot{v}$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta$  being delivered in turn, by cycling i in multiples of 3.

#### IV. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

This study makes use of a wide variety of computer specifications and tools in its development and validation of the proposed system. To develop and assess the proposed scheme and conduct experiments with numerous machine learning algorithms, to have employed Python running on a 64-bit Microsoft Windows 10 operating scheme at the software level. To train and validate each model, to utilised 10-fold cross-validation. In terms of hardware, to ran our model implementations and evaluations on a high-performance computing platform outfitted with an Intel ®Xeon® CPU E3 – 1241 v3 @3.5GHz, 16 GB of RAM, and a 4 GB GPU. So, to have used pertinent features gained fro mathematical set theory to assess model. Table 2 disp the results of the experimental evaluation of the sug sted model using current methods and Various indicato graphically analysed in Figure 2

To evaluate the suggested model against CNN, Deep Belief Network (DBN), Extreme Learni Machine (ELM), LSTM, RNN, and accuracy, recall and pr ision. Both the classification accuracy and the ach model are assessed. The ELM achie ed an Fl-se e of With 90.22% and an accuracy of 90.5 alance between recall (90.55%) and precisi (90.75%), this model shows ongoing perfo lest being one of ately, due to its the lowest in our sample Unfort ing, ELM could simplicity and quickness ring tra overlook intricate dat g to subpar results. The F1-score ed to 1370 and the DBN accuracy to 94.85%. It can eralise th a good mix of sensitivity and precisi by its high recall (94.09%) and as s precision (9 I and CNN outperformed DBN. 6). L

F1-, and precision were all 95.84 Accura STM model. Although LSTM is accurate, nt for th perg it fai recog we good samples due to its lower recall of 94.769 mance is enhanced as a result of proper ring data temporal dependencies. While both LSTM achieve an accuracy of 95.07%, RNN's 1-score is lower at 95.07%. A small sensitivity trade-off is shown by the lowest recall (94.07%) among toperforming models. The competitiveness of RNN precision (95.08%) is questioned by declining gradients

during processing of long sequences, which could account for its slightly worse recall With an F1-score of 95.33% and an accuracy of 95.78%, the CNN model outperforms all others. CNN's 95.71% recall and 96.16% precision show that it can detect positives and avoid false positives. The strong F1-score achieved by CNN demonstrates its ability to classify, handle spatial data, and extract features in a balanced manner.

Table 2: Comparative Study of projected with existing techniques

Models	Accuracy	F1	Recall	Precisi .	
ELM	90.55	90.22	90.55	90 5	
DBN	94.85	93.13	93.09	93.	
LSTM	95.84	95.78	94.7	86	
RNN	95.97	95.07	.94	95.	
CNN	95.78	95.93	95.7	96,	
Proposed model	97.06	96.94	96.67	.1.23	

cy was chieved by the core of 96.44%, it shows % accu A remarkable 97 suggested model. W an Fl that it performs on pa other models in terms of (96.67%). Thanks to its high accuracy (97.23%) and rec recall and accuracy, the propried model can identify the vast majority of positive scenarios while simultaneously ed model can identify the not of false positives. Its improved decreasing ult accuracy dif classification tasks might be the result lesign that combines spatial and Sdel temp extraction techniques. The form 6n ЯL ted mo. s the most trustworthy option because it uð ms conventional wisdom in terms of accuracy itpé on. The results demonstrate that the suggested d pre model constraints and esign solves enhances classification.



### Algorithm Performance Comparison

#### Fig 2: Visual Representation of Accuracy

Comparative analysis of the proposed model against existing techniques, evaluating performance metrics. The proposed model excels with the uppermost accuracy of 97.06%, an F1-score of 96.94, as recall of 96.67, besides precision of 97.23%, demonstrating superior performance over other models. LSTM follows closely with 95.84% accuracy, 95.78 F1-score, 94.76 recall, and 96.86 precision, while RNN achieves 95.97% accuracy, 95.07 F1-score, 94.07 recall, and 95.08 precision. CNN shows competitive results with 95.78% accuracy, 95.93 F1-score, 95.71 recall, besides 96.16 precision. DBN and ELM exhibit lower performance, with DBN achieving 94.85% accuracy and ELM trailing at 90.55%. Overall, the projected model outdoes all other techniques.



Fig 3: Visual Representation of F1-Score

In terms of Accuracy, F1-score, Recall, and Precision, your bar chart compares the proposed model to ELM, DBN, LSTM, RNN, and CNN. The chart's most sali points are as follows: In terms of accuracy, the prop d model ranks first with a score of around 97%, wh the RNN and LSTM models come in second and respectively, with scores of 95%. Similar to LSTM, C performs exceptionally well in this metric. The accuracy of ELM is around 90%, which is the lowest. The F1 score is: The suggested model outperforms CN h an F1score of around 96%. The 95% confidence there LSTM and RNN meet. The F1-score and ELM are lower; ELM's is around 90% and DBN's 3%. The recommended model came in se d, while N had the best recall. While CNN and the pr sed model both quite good at have higher recall, LSTM an what they do. At the botton all scale are both of the DBN and ELM. To be more e proposed model ecific: ound 97%, and a shows a high deg faise positives. Following remarkable ca s of 95-96% is CNN. LSTM an with inally better than ELM, both have Although I is n a lesser deg f acc cy. Because it outperformed all roposed model is the best fit for this four crite th study. Two rong points are recall and F1-score. d recall of LSTM and RNN are higher The ccurac than N and ELM. The visualisation provides idea that the suggested model has better upport risation capabilities.



ravity on the widespread The paper shed t on th issue of credit card fr loing in-depth research on the relevant literature a bringing it to light. A huge number of people have been lled as a direct result of the growth in identity theft artic arly completed credit card fraud. As a require of this, these individuals have suffered b cial losses and emotional anguish as a fin ir deaths. For the purpose of conseque tting-edge method for spotting demo actions among employees in the fra llent ce. The first thing that we do in our process is ork stomers according to the transactions that they assify leted. After that, we construct a profile lve con cardholder for each customer based on the patterns of behaviour that they have demonstrated. The classification of fraud detection is accomplished through the utilisation of a framework for machine learning that is based on quantum computing within the context of this inquiry. For the purpose of improving the accuracy of classification, MSFLA is employed as a feature selection. We worked with a credit dataset from Europe and employed a number of various metrics, such as accuracy, precision, recall, and the F1-measure, in order to validate the reliability of the suggested model. This allowed us to determine whether or not the model was effective. Additionally, it is of the utmost importance to do research into data sampling methods that are capable of being updated in order to accommodate evolving data distributions throughout the course of time. The detection of fraudulent activity patterns on credit cards is heavily dependent on this study. This is due to the fact that fraudulent activity patterns may change over time, and in order for a model to be successful, it must be able to adapt to these changes. Furthermore, this study recommends undertaking additional research into approaches that can increase the capability of the recommended model to resist hostile assaults. Further research into these strategies is suggested in this work. The investigation of various tactics that have the potential to lessen the risk of hostile attacks that are directed at machine learning models would be of great use. Last but not least, research in the future will be able to evaluate how well the model takes into account the

increasing amount of datasets and the growing demand for processing power. Within the context of this method, the utilisation of distributed computing or parallel processing could be utilised in order to guarantee efficient processing even when the size of the dataset is increased.

#### References

- [1] Cherif, A., Badhib, A., Ammar, H., Alshehri, S., Kalkatawi, M., & Imine, A. (2023). Credit card fraud detection in the era of disruptive technologies: A systematic review. Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences, 35(1), 145-174.
- Salekshahrezaee, Z., Leevy, J. L., & Khoshgoftaar, T. M. [2] (2023). The effect of feature extraction and data sampling on credit card fraud detection. Journal of Big Data, 10(1), 6.
- [3] Patel, K. (2023). Credit card analytics: a review of fraud detection and risk assessment techniques. International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology, 71(10), 69-79. Mniai, A., Tarik, M., & Jebari, K. (2023). A novel framework
- [4] for credit card fraud detection. IEEE Access.
- [5] Van Belle, R., Baesens, B., & De Weerdt, J. (2023). CATCHM: A novel network-based credit card fraud detection method using node representation learning. Decision Support ystems, 164, 113866.
- Mienye, I. D., & Sun, Y. (2023). A deep learning ensemble [6] with data resampling for credit card fraud detection. IEEE Access, 11, 30628-30638.
- [7] Fanai, H., & Abbasimehr, H. (2023). A novel combined approach based on deep Autoencoder and deep classifiers for credit card fraud detection. Expert Systems with Applications, 217.119562.
- Stephe, S., Revathi, V., Gunapriya, B., & Thirumalraj [8] (2025). Blockchain-Based Private AI Model with RPOA Based Sampling Method for Credit Card Fraud Detection. In Sustainable Development Using Private AI (pp. 261-277). CRC Press.
- [9] Habibpour, M., Gharoun, H., Mehdipour, M., Tajally, A Asgharnezhad, H., Shamsi, A., ... & Nahavandi, Š. (202) Uncertainty-aware credit card fraud detection using learning. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intel 123, 106248.
- [10] Bakhtiari, S., Nasiri, Z., & Vahidi, J. (2023). Credit car detection using ensemble data mining methods. Multim Tools and Applications, 82(19), 29057-29075. [11] Ni, L., Li, J., Xu, H., Wang, X., & Zhang, J. (2023). Fraud
- feature boosting mechanism and spiral oversampling balancing technique for credit card fraud detection. IF ransactions on Computational Social Systems, 11(2), 1
- [12] Strelcenia, E., & Prakoonwit, classification performance in credit ving by
- using new data augmentation. AI, 4 [13] Ahmad, H., Kasasbeh, B., Aldabay ndeh, E B & 1 (2023). Class balancing framework credit card fraud detection based on cluster -based selection im (SBS). International J hal of Technology, orm 0n 15(1), 325-333.
- [14] Xiang, S., Zhu, M., Chen ao, R., Ouyang, Y., ... .. Li. E. vised credit card fraud & Zheng Y. (2 ne detectio attri driv graph representation. In onference on Artificial Intelligence Proceedi he AAA pp. 1455 1565).
- , A., Khan, M. R., Ahmed, R., Shuaib, M., [15] Gu /ar Unbalanced credit card fraud detection  $(20)^{2}$ rning-oriented comparative study of hine а s. Procedia Computer Science, 218, 2575ng te
  - J. P., Rajesh, T., Yugha, R., Sarkar, R., A., Kavin, B. P., & Seng, G. H. (2024). of EV charging behavior using BOA-based deep Appadi 'hiruma attention network. Revista Internacional de Metodos Numericos para Calculo y Diseno en Ingenieria, 40(2), 16.
- ng, S., Dong, R., Wang, J., & Xia, M. (2023). Credit card fraud detection based on unsupervised attentional anomaly detection network. Systems, 11(6), 305.
- [18] Sorour, S. E., AlBarrak, K. M., Abohany, A. A., & Abd El-Mageed, A. A. (2024). Credit card fraud detection using the

brown bear optimization algorithm. Alexandria Engineering Journal, 104, 171-192.

- [19] Khalid, A. R., Owoh, N., Uthmani, O., Ashawa, M., Osamor, J., & Adejoh, J. (2024). Enhancing credit card fraud detection: an ensemble machine learning approach. Big Data and Cognitive Computing, 8(1), 6.
- [20] Baria, J. B., Baria, V. D., Bhimla, S. Y., Prajapati, R., Rathva, M., & Patel, S. (2024). Deep Learning based Improved Strategy for Credit Card Fraud Detection using Linea Regression. Journal of Electrical Systems, 20(10s), 1295-13(
- [21] Zhu, M., Zhang, Y., Gong, Y., Xu, C., & Xiang, Y. (202 Enhancing Credit Card Fraud Detection A Neural Networ SMOTE and Integrated Approach. arXiv preprin arXiv:2405.00026.
- [22] Bao, Q., Wei, K., Xu, J., & Jiang, W. (2024). Applic Deep Learning in Financial Credit Card Fraud ction Journal of Economic Theory and Business Manager t, 1(2), 51-57
- [23] Yu, C., Xu, Y., Cao, J., Zhang, Y., Jin, Y Credit card fraud detection using advance arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.03733
- raud
- [24] https://www.kaggle.com/mlg-ulb/cre
  [25] Zhao, Z., Wang, M., Liu, Y., Ch (2024). A modified shuffled Ζ K in – leapir with n inertia weight. Scienti 4146.