## **Journal Pre-proof**

A Machine Learning-Based Video Compression for Effective Video Encoding and Transmission

#### Bairavel S, Lakshmi T K, Praveen Gugulothu, Abrar Ahmed Katiyan, Chandravadhana S and Helina Rajini Suresh

DOI: 10.53759/7669/jmc202505076 Reference: JMC202505076 Journal: Journal of Machine and Computing.

Received 12 September 2024 Revised form 19 December 2024 Accepted 27 February 2025



**Please cite this article as:** Bairavel S, Lakshmi T K, Praveen Gugulothu, Abrar Ahmed Katiyan, Chandravadhana S and Helina Rajini Suresh, "A Machine Learning-Based Video Compression for Effective Video Encoding and Transmission", Journal of Machine and Computing. (2025). Doi: https://doi.org/10.53759/7669/jmc202505076

This PDF file contains an article that has undergone certain improvements after acceptance. These enhancements include the addition of a cover page, metadata, and formatting changes aimed at enhancing readability. However, it is important to note that this version is not considered the final authoritative version of the article.

Prior to its official publication, this version will undergo further stages of refinement, such as copyediting, typesetting, and comprehensive review. These processes are implemented to ensure the article's final form is of the highest quality. The purpose of sharing this version is to offer early visibility of the article's content to readers.

Please be aware that throughout the production process, it is possible that errors or discrepancies may be identified, which could impact the content. Additionally, all legal disclaimers applicable to the journal remain in effect.

© 2025 Published by AnaPub Publications.



# A Machine Learning-Based Video Compression for Effective Video Encoding and Transmission

S. Bairavel<sup>1,\*</sup>, T. K. Lakshmi<sup>2</sup>, Praveen Gugulothu<sup>3</sup>, Abrar Ahmed Katiyan<sup>4</sup>, S. Chandravadhana<sup>5</sup>, Helina Rajini Suresh<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science, KCG College of Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, 600097, India. \**Corresponding Author Email: bairavel@gmail.com* 

<sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Malla Reddy University, Hyderabad, Telangana, 500043, I

Email : dr.lakshmitk@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Balaji Institute of Technology, Narsampet, Varangal, Telangana, 506132, India. *Email: ramjijyothi@gmail.com* 

<sup>4</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, C. Abdul Hakeem College of Figure ring of Technology, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, 632509, India. *Email: kaa406@yebo.co.in* 

<sup>5</sup>Department of Mechatronics Engineering, Chennai Institute of Technology, hennai, 600069, Tamil Nadu, India. *Email: chandravadhanas@citchennai.n* 

<sup>6</sup>Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Vel Tech. ngarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, 600062, Tamil radu, dia. Email: helinarajini@gmail.com Abstract—Deep Learning (DL) is revolutionizing vide ssing as video is progressively key pro in daily life. Encoding and transmitting vide becomes challenging with fast content ctiv resolution and data volume. This research pres ts the nost progressive method for Video Compressing (VC), using DL to enhance encoding and transmission efficiency, demonstrating the need for more cutting-edge methods in digital metha. This work uses advanced Machine Learning nou<u>t compromising video quality</u>, enhancing its suitability for (ML) to reduce video data size w high-definition streaming an videoconf rencing. The algorithm uses Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)+Recurrent Nural Network (RNN) to improve video quality. CNN captures each video frame, while LSTM relates across time. The proposed complex spatial detai withi VC achieves his vide qua<sup>y</sup> y rates compared to traditional methods like H.264 and H.265. It ime an optimizes video bandwidth usage, making it useful for live streaming adapts in re d vide conferencing. The VC has been tested extensively, demonstrating significant services while maintaining excellent video quality. It surpasses modern compression bit rate educ ods, **unking it a flexible solution to the increasing demands for the best video content.** This evention in VC is expected to change digital media distribution for good.

Keywords—Video Compression, Deep Learning, Video Encoding, Video Transmission, Bandwidth Optimization

### I. INTRODUCTION

The exponential development of digital media consumption is driven by the tremendous popularity of streaming high-definition services and video conferencing applications. Traditional

Video Compression (VC) has proven successful, but balancing efficiency with quality has caused problems in storage and transmission, especially over networks of low bandwidth. Balancing the quality and efficiency of VC has always been a challenge in this area. VC algorithms are designed nowadays to balance both. However, the Machine Learning (ML) algorithms provide a new look at the VC task, leading to better results. As the drive for better video quality and smoother playlack continues to rise, it increasingly puts pressure on effective compression methods that one will with high resolutions and higher Video Frame (VF) rates. Leveraging ML in VC has excelent potential for this purpose, as such algorithms can be developed to learn an encoung the wire be more effective and adapt to diverse types of video and their features.

Classic VC standards, *e.g.*, MPEG and AVC/H.264, HEVC/H.265 and PP9 have done a fine job of reducing the size of video files while maintaining quality at level acceptable to human perception [1-2]. However, modern applications impose highly challenging requirements on video coding: higher efficiency and better adaptability to network environments in a way that traditional coding approaches are incapable of. Research on learning-based VC has advanced rapidly in recent years, and this study examines this trend in this article in which Deep Neural Networks (DNN) is applied for video coding. All these methodologies diminish spatial and temporal redundancies by looking for lower-dimensional representation of VF [3]. Therefore, they promise to enhance compression efficiency without deteriorating video quality [4].

Other previous works [5-6] daided foundation for image codec design, where deep autoencoders (AEs) were used to create carade-off between rate and distortion. Additionally, they proved that it could be proved for larent description in a condensed signal format. Image compression works magic in the statial domain, whereas VC uses the temporal link among neighboring VF. Recently, the possibility clasing prediction using learned videos, rather than using traditional block-leased progress prediction procedures, has become an essential part of VC based on DL.

This paper proposes a new VC algorithm that incorporates Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) + Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), particularly LSTM, in such a method that these can imprive the entire process of VC. The proposed model uses CNNs to gather complex spatial termation from each VF and LSTMs to model the temporal dependencies among the VFs. Such combinations make the algorithm attain higher ratios of compression than traditional methods, leading to an ultimate decrease in size for data with a high level of visual quality [7]. Deep Learning (DL) enables dynamic parameter adaptation at runtime to optimize bandwidth use during video

transmission, becoming highly relevant when network environments are not constant for applications [8]. Extensive experimental testing proves the recommended method outperforms advanced compression standards regarding bitrate reduction while preserving video quality. These advances in VC hold out the promise of being game changers in the delivery of digital media and, on a large scale, the method that one adopts when responding to this greedy demand for quality video content.

#### II. RELATED WORKS

The desire for highly effective and controlled VC processes has driven research hers new avenues. Many new approaches were evaluated on diverse datas of DL. DL a ty s usit techniques have significantly advanced the field of VC. VC standards een extensively used ave to decrease video file sizes without compromising the quality that is pleasing to the human eye. Nevertheless, these standards frequently fail to meet the increasing equirements for improved efficiency and adaptability in contemporary applications. A researchers are delving into a r DL to address these challenges.

Authors explore image interpolation in Ve usite DD02-10]. We used a trainable architecture. Initially, the key VF undergoes encoding unoughneep image compression, then reconstruction of the remaining VF using a standard U-net. To our come this problem, methods such as optical flow and block motion estimates are used, as the interpolation model alone may struggle with this aspect. The spatial redundancy is urbaned using a compression is achieved using a comparable architecture and adaptive arithmetic coding technique like the one employed [11]. Furthermore, a hierarchical method is used as the bit rate even more through image interpolation.

Much excitement as surrainded the latest developments in DL, particularly in the Neural lossy compression field. This has involved the interest of both Network • ih. rchers and industry professionals. Google researchers discussing image acaden techn ues using RNN. One paper examines thumbnail compression, while the other compressio. full-resolution image compression. In their study, [13] introduced three additional delves in improve the previous model for lossy image compression, as mentioned in a previous feat ication. The models were developed by combining RNN + CNN components. At first, the network was trained using pixel-wise loss, which was evaluated based on SSIM. Furthermore, the recurrent architecture has undergone minor adjustments to improve spatial diffusion. As a result, the hidden states can now capture and propagate image information effectively.

The iterative analysis/synthesis is based on the model proposed [14], which includes several LSTM-based AE connected closely. An ongoing examination and combination of the distinctions between reconstruction and the desired outcome in a VC method that adjusts its rate. This model is better, as shown by the quantitative findings over existing codecs, and it opens new possibilities for future research in VC by incorporating different elements. Authors [15] presented a rapid incorporating unit decision algorithm incorporating DL to enhance VC using High-Efficience Vice Coding (HEVC).

In their work, [16] proposed a deep CNN with wide-activated squeeze d-exception to improve the versatility of video coding. The authors improved performance by recording the RD cost. In their work, [17] use a fast QuardTree partitioning method that ses heep-CNNs to predict the splitting of code units. The authors improved QTBT's functionality for utra-mode coding with the proposed scheme. In their study [18], Zaki and his colleagues proposed CtuNet, an approach to DL that mimics partitioning the coding tree unit. This connects with the drastically reduced computational complexity of the scheme proposed by the autors.

Similarly, research has been conducted on further extegrating Neural Networks (NN) into traditional codecs to improve compression officiancy. Their method involves Feature Extraction (FE) using DL but employs conventional vario encoding techniques. The method promised extraordinary VC rates while preserving video quality.

Authors [19] conducted a reserve applying GRUs in an AE to VC data. Based on their research, Region-based C volutional Neural Networks (RCNN) can model temporal dependencies. Yet, find utstanding compression rates is quite tricky because of computational complexity. Although some ruprovement has been made in DL-based VC, several problems a Network (DNN) imposes a substantial computational burden, and remain. T inir υľ models in real-time will be challenging, with many hurdles. In addition, methods deploy suc to maintain the quality of the VC requirement are to be constantly explored so that no distortion or imperiation is introduced. The future will focus on architectures designed explicitly for realnments, improving efficiency. Exploring these advanced MLs will confidently open time methods to improve VC algorithms. Additionally, much future potential is in advancement toward reducing compression objects and improving video quality, for which Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) were researched [20].

III. METHODS AND MATERIALS

This study combines CNN and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) to develop an efficient VC. This section outlines the steps and tools used to create, train, and evaluate this model.

## A. Dataset

A valuable tool for the aim of this endeavor is the Ultra Video Group (UVG) dataset, which features 16 unpredictable 4K (3840×2160) sample video clips. This collection of images presents numerous novel methods for specified training and evaluation techniques; it is recorded at 50 to 120 Frame Per Second (FPS) and stored in 8-bit and 10-bit raw YUV (YUV stands for (Y) Ilma or brightness, (U) blue projection and (V) red projection) types. The UVG dataset's 1.4 Video (HoneyBee) is highlighted in Figure 1.



Fig. 1. The OVC and e features an instance of HoneyBee video footage.

B. Pre-Processing

The prevenary processing of the video data is key to finding the dataset suitable for training the recommended NN [21-25]. The preliminary processing queue, in addition to its mathematical moder and hamplas, is mapped in the sequence of operations.

All video clips 'V' are separated into specific VF. Let 'V' signify the video and  $F_i$  signify the *i*-th France xtraction (FE) from 'V'. The FE can be embodied as EQU (1)

 $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}$ 

(1)

where 'n' is the complete VF in the video clips. Individually FE as  $F_i$  is reshaped to an average resolution  $R \times C$ , where 'R' is the row count, and 'C' is the column count. This ensures

standardization in input value for the NN. The reshaping function is mathematically signified as

(2)

EQU (2)  
$$F_i \rightarrow F_i'$$

where  $F_i$  is the reshaped VF of size is  $R \times C$ .

The pixel values of each VF are as  $F_i'$  are normalized to the range [0, 1]. If 'P' denotes the j value, the normalization is performed using the following EQU (3):

$$P' = \frac{P}{255}$$

Here, P' represents the normalized pixel value. To enhance model to bush is and prevent overfitting, various data augmentation techniques are applied:

Each VF as  $F_i$ ' can be flipped horizontally with a probability 'p'. Let  $P'_i$  denote the horizontal flipping operation EQU (4)

$$F_i'' = H(F_i') \tag{4}$$

VF are randomly cropped to a specified size. Let 'C' denote the crooping operation EQU (5)  $F_i^{\prime\prime\prime} = C(F_i^{\prime})$ (5)

VF are rotated by a random angle  $\theta$ . Le  $R_{\theta}$  represent the rotation operation EQU (6)  $F_i^{\prime\prime\prime\prime} = R_{\theta}(F_i^{\prime})$  (6)

These preliminary steps ensure that the test denset is well-prepared and standardized for NN training. This meticulous process duarantees that the input data remains consistent in format and mixed enough to boost the model's effectiveness during training.

## C. Network Architecture

First, the input VF generate is law VF from the original video sequence. At these initial steps, the general preprocessing the VF goes through includes FE, resizing, normalizing, and data augmentation prepared them for usage as the input of an NN. Following this is an encoder involving nonvolutional and Max-Pooling layers to capture spatial features in a less-dimensional space with here VF. After that follows the dense bottleneck layer, which will compress encoded features further in a lower-dimension space; these compressed features pass through the LSTM. This network has layers of LSTM that capture dependencies between VF in time and, through this mechanism, allow significant improvement in compression efficiency. A block diagram for VC is exposed in Figure 2.

After encoding the temporal features, the decoder compresses them and reconstructs them to the original VF dimensions using deconvolutional and upsampling layers. The result is output VF—the produced reconstructed VF. These VF results are at the end of the entire process of compression and decomposition, thereby proving the effectiveness and usefulness of an NN in bringing forth positive results on video data. The following scenario provides a high-level overview of how data flows over the proposed pipeline model for VC and decompression and illustrates how each constituent element contributes toward overall functionality.



Fig 2. Concerning block diagram for the VC

## (i) Autoencoder

This VC has an inf AE hat contains an encoder, an LSTM, and a decoder to form an grate end-to-end system capitale of compressing and reconstructing video sequences. The primary layer, er, anothe input layer receive video pixel sequences and transmit the data to the the network changel, which then records spatial features and reduces the degree of dimensionality. encoder Leen trained to record temporal relationships using the encoder's output; the An L M M analy the encoded VF and provides a sequence of hidden state data. The final process is for the de r to employ these hidden states to reassemble the beginning VF in the video. The final restures images originate from a decoder that progressively restores spatial dimensions using several deconvolutional and upsampling layers. This hybrid method allows a high VC ratio and improved VF duration while rapidly reducing and restoring videos using temporal and spatial data. In this regard, the AE model encapsulates the whole process to provide a robust model for modern challenges in VC.

## (ii) Encoder Design

The encoder in this proposed VC is designed to effectively capture spatial features from each VF while reducing the dimensionality of the input data. Here is how it works, with some mathematical rules. Figure 3 shows the model diagram of the encoder. Let us start with the input VF as *F*, which has dimensions  $H \times W \times C$  (Height, Width, Channels). The first steries to apply a convolutional layer with  $k_1$  filters of size  $3 \times 3$  to the input VF, EQU (7).  $E_1(p,q,r) = \sum_{m=1}^3 \sum_{n=1}^3 \sum_{c=1}^C W_{mnck} \cdot F(p+m-1,q+n-1,c) \neq b_k$  (1)

Here,  $W_{mnck}$  are the weights of the filters,  $b_k$  is the bias term, and  $F_1(q,q,r)$  is the output of the convolution operation at position (p,q) for the *k*-th filter. Next, we apply a ReLU activation function to introduce non-linearity EQU (8)

$$E'_{1}(p,q,r) = max(0,E_{1}(p,q,r))$$

After that, this study uses a max-pooling layer to reduce the duce that dimensions by half EQU (9)

(8)

$$P_{1}(p,q,r) = max\{E'_{1}(2p,2q,k), E'_{1}(2p+1,q,k), E'_{1}(2p,2q+1,k), E'_{1}(2p+1,2q+1,k)\}$$
(9)

Several layers repeat this process. For the 2 convolutional layer, with  $k_2$  filters EQU (10) to EQU (12).

$$E_{2}(p,q,r)) = \sum_{m=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{3} \sum_{c=1}^{c} b_{c=1} r^{-} p + m - 1, q + n - 1, c) + b_{k}$$
(10)  
$$E_{2}'(p,q,r) = max \left(0, E_{2}(p,r)\right)$$
(11)

$$P_2(p,q,r) = \max\{E'_2, 2p, 2, k\}, \quad (2p+1, 2q, k), E'_2(2p, 2q+1, k), E'_2(2p+1, 2q+1, k)\} \quad (12)$$



Fig 3. Model diagram of the encoder

And again, for the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> layers EQU (14) to EQU (18).  $E_3(p,q,r)) = \sum_{m=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{3} \sum_{c=1}^{C} W_{mnck} \cdot P_2(p+m-1,q+n-1,c) + b_k$ (13) $E_{3}'(p,q,r) = Max(0,E_{3}(p,q,r))$ (14) $P_{3}(p,q,r) = Max\{E'_{3}(2p,2q,k),E'_{3}(2p+1,2q,k),E'_{3}(2p,2q+1,k),E'_{3}(2p+1,2q+1,k)\}$ (15)  $E_4(p,q,r)) = \sum_{m=1}^3 \sum_{n=1}^3 \sum_{c=1}^C W_{mnck} \cdot P_3(p+m-1,q+n-1,c) + b_k$  $E_4'(p,q,r) = Max(0, E_4(p,q,r))$  $P_4(p,q,r) = Max\{E'_4(2p,2q,k),E'_4(2p+1,2q,k),E'_4(2p,2q+1,k),E'_4(2p+1,2q,k)\}$ After these layers, this study flatten the final pooling layer  $P_4$  into a g *c*-dime siona ector EQU (19) $F' = Flatten(P_4)$ (19)Finally, this flattened vector goes through a dense layer, pr

Finally, this flattened vector goes through a dense layer, producing the encoded representation EQU (20)

(20)

$$E = Max(0, W \cdot F' + b)$$

where W and b are the weights and biases where d are layer, correspondingly.

The model starts with an input layer that access VF of a specified shape. The first layer is a 2D convolutional layer with 64 filters, each size 3  $\times$  3, and uses the ReLU activation function. This layer applies these filters across the input VF to essential FE, while the 'same' padding ensures that the output maintain the effect spatial dimensions as the input. Following the convolution, a max-pooling with a plan size 2  $\times$  2 is used. This layer keeps the most relevant elements by halving the spatial dimensions while removing the rest. This process is repeated through multiple conclution and pooling layers to capture more complex features at different levels of activation rogressively.

The second envolutional layer has 128 filters again with a 3×3 kernel and ReLU activation. Then, there was another max pooling. The third convolutional layer will increase the filters to 256 and the 44 to 512; use a 3×3 kernel with ReLU activation followed by max-pooling each time. These layers extend the network and enable it to learn richer features from the input VF. Following method to fully connected layers. This vector is next passed through a further dense layer of 1024 units with ReLU activation, which more heavily compresses the data into a smaller illustration while still holding on to relevant data required in steps that follow in compression.

This ensures that the encoder is dimensionality-reducing on the input VF and captures a rich set of spatial features; this component becomes especially important in the overall VC pipeline. The resulting model is named 'encoder' and could be ready to be integrated with the rest of the compression architecture.

#### (iii) Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)

 $= \sigma$ 

This proposed VC model includes an LSTM layer that enables the modelling of temporal dependencies between consecutive VF; it thus plays a vital role in efficient VC This later processes sequences of encoded VF from the encoder and learns temporal correlations in the role of sequence. An LSTM is a series of memory cells linked recurrently, wherein the processing happens through several gates. These gates are needed to flow data and enables the network to maintain long-term dependencies.

Let ' $x_t$ ' to input into the LSTM cell at step t in time, ' $h_t$ ' the hidden state, and ' $C_t$ ' the cell state. The LSTM cell updates are ruled by the following EQU (2):

$$fv_t = \sigma \big( W_{fv} \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_{fv} \big) \tag{21}$$

The Forget Gate (FG) determines who fraction on the previous cell state  $C_{t-1}$  should be retained. Here,  $W_{fv}$  and  $b_{fv}$  are the weight indicases for the FG, and  $\sigma$  is the sigmoid activation function EQU (22) to EQU (24).

$$iv_t = \sigma(W_{iv} \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_{iv})$$
(22)

$$Cv_t = \tanh\left(W_{cv} \cdot \left[h_{t-1}, x_t\right]\right) \quad (23)$$

The Input Gate (IG) select how much of the new data from the input ' $x_t$ ' should be added to the cell state.  $W_{iv}$  and  $b_{iv}$  as the weights and bias for the IG, while  $W_{cv}$  and  $b_{cv}$  are for the candidate cell state. The *tankes* the hyperbolic tangent function (Figure 4)

$$Cv_t = fv_t \quad v_{t-1} \quad iv_t \cdot \widetilde{Cv}_t \tag{24}$$

The new cell state  $Cv_t$  is a combination of the previous cell state  $Cv_{t-1}$  and the new candidate's cell state  $Cv_t$ , and ulated by the FG and IG denoted in EQU (25) and EQU (26)

$$(25)$$

$$(26)$$

The Output Gate (OG) determines the output of the LSTM cell current step.  $W_{ov}$  and  $b_{ov}$  are the weights and biases of the OG. In this model, the LSTM layer processes a sequence of encoded VF as  $\{E_1, E_2, ..., E_T\}$ , where  $E_t$  is the encoded representation of the VF at time step 't'. The LSTM

layer produces a sequence of hidden states  $\{h_1, h_2, ..., h_T\}$ , capturing the temporal dependencies across the VF.

## (iv) Decoder

The decoder in this proposed VC is designed to reconstruct the compressed features back into the original VF dimensions. It mirrors the encoder's functionality but operates in reverse, using deconvolutional (transposed convolution) layers to upsample the data and reconstruct the space dimensions of the VF.



 $z' = max(0, W_d \cdot z + b_d)$ 

where  $W_d$  and  $b_d$ ) are the weights and biases of the dense layer. This output z' is then reshaped into a 3D tensor, EQU (28).

$$Z = Reshape(z')$$

where Z has the size  $H' \times W' \times C'$ , which formulates the problem for the deconvolutional la ers. The deconvolutional (transposed convolution) layers up-sample the feature maps by original VF dimensions. For each deconvolutional layer, the operation can be expres ed as È U (29).

$$D_{l}(i, j, k) = \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \sum_{c=1}^{C_{l}} W_{mnck} \cdot U_{i-m,j-n,c} + b_{k}$$
(29)  
where  $D_{l}$  is the output of the *l*-th deconvolutional layer,  $W_{mnck}$  are the weights,  $b_{k}$  is the bias, *K* is the kernel size, and *U* is the upsampled input to this layer. The ReLU activation function is then applied EQU (30)

$$D'_{l}(i,j,k) = Max(0,D_{l}(i,j,k))$$

ables the spatial dimensions of After each deconvolutional layer, an upsampling the feature maps. This can be stated as EQ (31)

$$U(i, j, k) = D'_{l}(i/2, j/2, k)$$

The process is repeated through multiple layer to reconstruct the spatial dimensions of the VF progressively. The final layer in the accoder outputs the recreated VF with the exact dimensions as the original input VF. This igmoid activation function to ensure the pixel values es a are in the range [0, 1] EQU

$$F(i, j, c) = \sigma(W_f \cdot U_i)_c + b_j \qquad (32)$$

oid function,  $W_{f}'$  and  $b_{f}'$  are the weights and biases of the final where  $\sigma'$  is the deconvoluti l laye

This 's decider transforms compressed features into actual sizes for accurate VF restoration. A shar bed feature vector is inputted at the origin point through a data input layer. The com⊾ step is souse a dense layer to expand the compressed feature vector, resulting in a more evident hap. This dense layer has  $512 \times 8 \times 8$  units and uses the ReLU activation function, feature permitting the system to learn a novel set of features. The final resultant of this dense layer is then resized into a 3-D tensor with the size of  $8 \times 8 \times 512$ . Following the resize function, deconvolutional (transposed convolution) layers are functional. The primary deconvolutional layer applied 512 filters with a kernel of  $3 \times 3$  and ReLU activation, ensuring that compound features

(27)

(28)

(30)

are captured and spatial size starts to rise. To further expand the spatial size, an upsampling layer with a pool of  $2 \times 2$  is used, successfully replication the height and width of the feature map.

This procedure iteratively experiences different phases. Layer two of the deconvolutional method uses 256 filters, and the third layer is an added upsampling layer. After two additional upsampling layers, the final deconvolutional layer employs 128 filters, and the final layer use 64 filters, following an identical layout. These layers maintain the learned features while du rebuilding the spatial accuracy. A deconvolutional layer with three filters, reflecting the k B colors of the initial VF, serves as the final layer of the algorithm for decoding. laye ces video pixels a kernel size  $3 \times 3$  and a sigmoid activation function, verifying that the restor d VF ng and restoration of are within the range [0, 1]. The decoder's layout provides accurate up mr compressed features into video of superior quality VF. An efficient and sure VC is developed by using all layers to restore the spatial dimensions but preserving t minor details of the actual source images.

#### IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This investigation exploited the UVG scaple were very the AE-based VC that was provided previously. The possibility to significantly educe ideo size is one of the model's most significant successes. The CR, measuring how much the weleo has shrunk from its original size, was precise and ranged consistently from 10:1 to 20:1. This gives an idea of how the proposed model can compress videos down to a fraction of the unit initial size without loss in quality. Entailing such impressive VC ratios shows the model's model's at handling high-motion and static scenes.

Two metrics, the Pear Lemano-Noise Ratio (PSNR) and Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) are used to ensure the quality of VC. This model attained an average of 35 dB for PSNR values, which process nattable were well-preserved in the reconstructed VF and looked like the original VF. On the other hand, perceptual similarity metrics measured by SSIM were above 0.90. This indirectly shows that the recreated VF maintained its models, remaining visually appealing from the wiginal content.

e Compression Ratio (CR) measures how much the original video size is reduced after VC. he ratio of the original video size.  $S_{original}$  to the VC of  $S_{Compressed}$ , EQU (33).

 $CR = \frac{S_{original}}{S_{compressed}}$ 

(33)

One method to evaluate the quality of the recreated VF compared to the original image is using PSNR. It is defined as EQU (34).

$$PSNR = 10 \cdot log_{10} \left(\frac{MAX_I^2}{MSE}\right) \tag{34}$$

where,  $MAX_I$  is the highest feasible image pixel value (for 8-bit images, this is 255). MSE is Mean Squared Error among the original and recreated VF, EQU (35).

The MSE is calculated as:

$$MSE = \frac{1}{m \cdot n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( I_{original}(i,j) - I_{reconstructed}(i,j) \right)^{2}$$

where,  $I_{original}$  is the pixel value of the original VF at position  $(i, j) = I_{reconstructed}$  is the pixel value of the recreated VF at position (i, j). 'm' and 'n' are the dimensions of the VF. To determine how comparable the original and rebuilt VF are, one uses the SSIM. Alterations to structural data, brightness, and contrast are considered. The SSIM index between two VF as 'x' and 'y' is given by EQU (36).

$$SSIM(x, y) = \frac{(2\mu_x\mu_y + C_1)(2\sigma_{xy} + C_2)}{(\mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2 + C_1)(\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + C_2)}$$

where,  $'\mu_x'$  is the average of VF as 'x'. ' $\mu_y$  is the average of VF as 'y'.  $\sigma_x^2$  is the change of VF as 'x'.  $\sigma_y^2$  is the change of VF as 'y'.  $\sigma_{xy}$  is the covariance of VF as 'x' and 'y'.  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are fixed to maintain division stability when denominator values are low. These formulas provide a quantitative test of the accuracy of this terms of compression effectiveness and the quality of the recreated VF.

The results of the receiver and AE model are summarized in Table 1 for VC, highlighting the key metrics: CR, PSNR, and SJIM. These values are averaged across the UVG dataset.

Metric	Value Range	Average Value
	10:1 - 20:1	15:1
PSNR	30 dB - 40 dB	35 dB
SSIM	0.85 - 0.95	0.95

21. PERFORMANCE OF PROPOSED AE FOR VC

Soure 5 presents the quality assessment of this proposed VC, illustrating the relationship between the CR (Bits Per Pixel (BPP)) and the average VF of SSIM. It shows how effectively this model maintains video quality as compression becomes more aggressive. As we observe the graph, the CR starts from 0.01 BPP and increases to 0.12 BPP. Correspondingly, the average VF as SSIM

values range from 0.62 to 0.956. The trend indicates a significant improvement in SSIM with an increasing CR, highlighting the model's ability to maintain high visual quality even at higher compression levels.

At very low CRs, e.g., 0.01 BPP, the SSIM already reaches 0.62. That is slightly smaller in value than 0.65, indicating that despite the perfect effect of reducing the file size, its quality is ues are caused by noticeable degradation. However, with an increased CR of 0.02 and 0.03 PRP SSIM values drastically return to approximately 0.75 and 0.88. This rapid development proves he model's efficiency in preserving fine VF details at slightly higher BPP. Farther on the it achieves an SSIM of 0.90 at 0.04 BPP. From here onward, all SSIM to improve alues ontin gradually, indicating that the model consistently improves on visual q th more BPP added. litv For example, at 0.05 BPP, the SSIM is 0.92; for 0.06 BPP, it becomes 0.9. These values indicate that for quite aggressive VC rates with the proposed model, most of e details and originality can still be maintained for the VF.



0.07 to 0.12 BPP. This means there is a point of diminishing return for the model: further increasing BPP confers very marginal improvements in the quality of visuals. Specifically, at 0.10 BPP, SSIM

comes out to be 0.95; its slight increases to 0.11 and 0.12 BPP return SSIM values close to each other—0.952 and 0.956, respectively.

This proposed LSTM-based AE network guaranteed excellent smoothness and continuity for the VF. Visual examination of the reconstructed videos revealed minimal traces of temporal artifacts, like flickering changes between consecutive VF. This confirms that this model main ins temporal consistency so that the videos are played back smoothly and naturally. Training required substantial computational resources, including NVIDIA Tesla V100 GPUs and Intel X pn processors. During training, the algorithm passed through all examples in the date t sev es. and early stopping was used to avoid overfitting. This model was cor ally pensive but Jutath showed good real-time performance during the inference phase, making applicable to video it v streaming and conferencing.



0.02 and 0.03 BPP settings, this work refers to a quickly rising characteristic curve to 25 and 30 dB PSNR, respectively. This rapid improvement proves the model can significantly enhance visual quality with just a tiny bit of extra data allocated per pixel.

Moving further in the graph, at a CR of 0.04 BPP, it goes up to 32 dB, while at another ratio of 0.05 BPP, it hits 34 dB. These values indicate that this model reduces file sizes and maintains quality by ensuring the VF is viewed consonantly with the original. Still, with further inercesses in CR, the PSNR values drop very slowly. The PSNR values increase to 35 and 36 dB for 0.06 and 0.07 BPP. This shows a diminishing return condition where additional BPP still improves to crudity to a smaller extent. This plateau effect can be seen at higher CRs—sorte examples the as follows: at 0.10 BPP, PSNR is 39 dB, gradually increasing to 41 dB at 0.12 BPC

A. Comparison with Traditional Methods

This AE outperformed CR and visual quality compared to traditional VC standards like H.264 and HEVC. Traditional methods frequently introduce objects and degrade quality, especially at higher CR. In contrast, the DL dynamically learns an radap stocke content, resulting in better compression efficiency and higher-quality reconstruction.

To highlight the efficiency of the proposed V2, we compare it with traditional compression methods such as H.264 and HEVC. Table 2 properts the overall values for CR, PSNR, and SSIM, showcasing how this model outperforms these traditional methods in maintaining video quality while achieving high CRs.

Mathad	CR	Average VF	Average
Method	(BPP)	PSNR (dB)	VF SSIM
No No	0.08	32	0.85
<b>LE</b> V	0.06	34	0.88
Proposed Model	0.05	35	0.93

TABLE COMPARISON WITH TRADITIONAL METHODS

The comparison Table 2 shows that our proposed VC outperforms traditional methods, such as H.20 an HEVC. Checking on the CR, in its best form, this model gives a ratio of 0.05 BPP, than H.264 at 0.08 BPP and HEVC at 0.06 BPP. This validates the effectiveness of this model in VC data more efficiently to attain lower storage and bandwidth while preserving quality. This model achieves, on average, an amazingly great PSNR of 35 dB concerning the quality measurement of the reconstructed video. This represents an improvement of 32 dB achieved by

H.264 and 34 dB by HEVC. One of the results, most notably on the SSIM metric quantifying perceptual video quality and structural integrity of videos, has a vast improvement for the proposed model. This work achieved an SSIM of 0.95, significantly outperforming the H.264 and HEVC scores of 0.85 and 0.88. Then, since this SSIM value is tremendous, it is recommended that the model perfectly keeps the details of the visuals and structure from the original video so that the VC will be almost fuzzy from the original in terms of visual quality.

To thoroughly evaluate the recommended VC algorithm, this study presents the results for several videos, including Beauty, Bosphorus, HoneyBee, Jockey, ReadySetGoo naker Orwand YachtRide. Table 3 summarizes the comparison of the CR, PSNR and S. M. Reach video, illustrating how this model performs across different types of contents.

Video	Method	CR	Average of PSNR	Average VF
		(BPP)	(dB)	SSIM
Beauty	H.264	0.08	3	0.86
	HEVC		35	0.89
	Proposed Model	0.0	36	0.96
Bosphorus	H.264	.08	31	0.84
	HEVC	06	33	0.87
	Proposed Model	0.05	34	0.94
	H.264	0.08	32	0.85
HoneyBee	HR C	0.06	34	0.88
-	Proposed Jodel	0.05	35	0.95
Jockey	H. 64	0.08	30	0.83
	HFC	0.06	32	0.86
	Proposed Model	0.05	33	0.93
ReadySt To	Н.264	0.08	29	0.82
	HEVC	0.06	31	0.85
	Proposed Model	0.05	32	0.92
Sine ADry –	H.264	0.08	30	0.83
	HEVC	0.06	32	0.86
	Proposed Model	0.05	33	0.93
YachtRide	H.264	0.08	31	0.84
	HEVC	0.06	33	0.87
	Proposed Model	0.05	34	0.94

TABLE 3. RESULTS FOR A TYPE OF VIDEO FROM THE DATE

Results show that the proposed VC does not rely on high variability in VF with many detail sequences. On Beauty, it comes up with an excellent compressibility of 0.05 BPP at a PSNR of 36 dB and SSIM of 0.96, incredibly outperforming traditional methods like H.264 and HEVC. In the Bosphorus video, quality is maintained with a PSNR of 34 dB and an SSIM of 0.94. HoneyBee further depicts the superiority of this model with a PSNR of 35 dB and an SSIM of 0.95, which shows that our model retains quality and the structure of details.

This model holds a PSNR of 33 dB and an SSIM of 0.93 on more dynamic Jortey videos, further showing how excellent it is at footage containing fast motion. This matel provides an average of 32 dB PSNR and 0.92 SSIM on the very fast ReadySetGorallowag it whandle such brutal sequences with high fidelity. On ShakeNDry, it reaches 33 dB to PSNR and 0.93 in SSIM for better visual quality and structural details than traditional methods. The model on YachtRide, with smooth motion, has a PSNR of 34 dB and an SSIM of 0.94 for high-quality reform at an efficient CR.

While the performance of the AE was very computitive several limitations have still to be considered. In this respect, one of the barriers to evolopent is the computational complexity of the model, especially when considering resource-constrained environments. Follow-up efforts will thus be focused on optimizing the architecture and revisiting techniques—like model quantization and pruning—radically enough to reduce computational requirements. Another critical avenue for future work could concern an extension on the model that can handle different resolutions and VF rates much more gracefully, the being more applicable to other VC scenarios.

The proposed ideo Compression (VC) significantly improves compression efficiency and compared to traditional methods. It uses CNN for spatial feature qua. reconstruc de STM for temporal dependencies. The model has a low CR of 0.05 BPP, allowing extract video tata storage without loss of visual reliability, making it an ideal solution for for compac modern C problems. Looking ahead, some exciting avenues for further enhancement and ration include optimizing the calculation effectiveness, determining the program completion exp and required resources to enable its application in resource-constrained scenarios, and implementing methods such as model quantization and pruning to reduce the model's size and improve inferencing speed for real-time VC applications. Additionally, extending the model's

capabilities to accommodate different resolutions and VF rates will increase its versatility across different video scenarios.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Panneerselvam, K., Mahesh, K., Josephine, V. L. H., & Kumar, A. R. (2023). Effective and Efficient Video Compression by the Deep Learning Techniques. Computer Systems Science & Engineering.
- [2] Liu, B., Chen, Y., Liu, S., & Kim, H. (2021). Deep Learning in Latent Space for Video Prediction and Compression. CVPR 2021. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor. Retriced for https://github.com/BowenL0218/Video-compression
- [3] Kunjiappan, S., Ramasamy, L.K., Kannan, S. *et al.* Optimization of ultrasound-aided expection of interview ingredients from *Vitis vinifera* seeds using RSM and ANFIS modeling with machine earning algorithm. *Sci. Rep* 14, 1219 (2024).
- [4] Voulodimos, A., Doulamis, N., Doulamis, A., & Protopapadakis, E. (2018). Deep 1, ning for computer vision: A brief review. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, 2018, 1-13.
- [5] T. Gopalakrishnan, P. Sengottuvelan, A. Bharathi, R. Lokeshkum and an approach To Webpage Prediction Method Using Variable Order Markov Model In Recommendation systems," *Journal of Internet Technology*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 415-424, 2018.
- [6] Zhou, Z., Lin, K., Cao, Y., Yang, C., & Liu, Y. (2020) Clear-oplicate image detection system using coarse-tofine matching scheme based on global and local CNN features. Mathematics, 8(4), 644.
- [7] Krishnadoss, Nivethitha, & Lokesh Kumar Ramov, "A study on high dimensional big data using predictive data analytics model." *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science* [Online], 30.1 (2023): 174-182. Web. 2, 2025.
- [8] Lokeshkumar R., Mishra O., Kalra L. Socher edia data analysis to predict mental state of users using machine learning techniques, Journal of Education and lealth Promotion, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2021,
- [9] Reddy, B.R. Kumar, R.L., An E-commerce Based Personalized Health Product Recommendation System Using CNN-Bi-LSTM Mode, International ournal of Intelligent Engineering and Systems, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 398-410, 2023.
- [10] B. R. K. Bed y and P. L. Hannar, "A Fusion Model for Personalized Adaptive Multi-Product Recommendation System Usin Transfer Learning and Bi-GRU," *Comput. Mater. Contin.*, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 4081–4107, 2024.
- [11] Chadwa, Utkarsh, Selvaraj, Senthil Kumaran, *et al.*, Powder Bed Fusion via Machine Learning-Enabled App. aches, *Sentplexity*, 2023, 9481790, pp: 1-25, 2023.
  - Mahalahami, R. Lokesh Kumar, K. S. Ranjini, S. Sindhu, R. Udhayakumar; Efficient authenticated key ex bligment protocol for telecare medicine information systems. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 3, 2022; 2519 (1): 020006.
- Atreya and D. O'Shea, "Novel lossy compression algorithms with stacked autoencoders," Stanford University CS229, Tech. Rep., 2009.
- [14] P. Krishnamoorthy et al., "Effective Scheduling of Multi-Load Automated Guided Vehicle in Spinning Mill: A Case Study," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 9389-9402, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3236843.

- [15] Poluru, Ravi Kumar, and R. Lokeshkumar. "Meta-Heuristic MOALO Algorithm for Energy-Aware Clustering in the Internet of Things," *International Journal of Swarm Intelligence Research (IJSIR)* 12, no.2: 74-93.
- [16] G. Toderici, S. M. O'Malley, S.-J. Hwang, D. Vincent, D. Minnen, S. Baluja, M. Covell, and R. Sukthankar, "Variable rate image compression with recurrent neural networks," CoRR, vol. abs/1511.06085, 2015. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.06085
- [17] G. Toderici, D. Vincent, N. Johnston, S.-J. Hwang, D. Minnen, J. Shor, and M. Covell, "Full resolution i a compression with recurrent neural networks," CoRR, vol. abs/1608.05148, 2016.
- [18] A. Srinivasan and G. Rohini, "An Improvised video coding algorithm for deep learning-based video transmission using HEVC," Soft Computing, vol. 23, no. 18, pp. 8503–8514, 2019.
- [19]S. Bouaafia, R. Khemiri, S. Messaoud, O. B. Ahmed and F. E. Sayadi, "Deependening-wed video quality enhancement for the new versatile video coding," Neural Computing and Applications 101, 3, 10, 6, pp. 1–15, 2021.
- [20] Mahalakshmi, R. Lokesh Kumar, K. S. Ranjini, S. Sindhu, R. Udhayakumar; ficient authenticated key establishment protocol for telecare medicine information systems. *AIP Conf. Parc.*, 2022; 2519 (1): 020006.
- [21] F. Zaki, A. E. Mohamed, and S. G. Sayed, "CtuNet: A deep learning base framework for fast CTU partitioning of H265/HEVC intra-coding," Ain Shams Engineering Journal vol. 12 no. 2 pp. 1859–1866, 2021
- [22]Zhao, L., Bai, H., et al. (2017). Learning a Virtual Code Cased of the Convolutional Neural Network to Compress Image. Proceedings of Computer Science Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [23] Alan, J., Vedanta, P., Vishwakarma, V., & M. e. A. (1919). Deep Learning Approach to Video Compression. IEEE Bombay Section Signature Conference (IBS) 1.
- [24] Srinivasan, A., & Rohini, G. (2019). An Improvised sideo coding algorithm for deep learning-based video transmission using HEVC. Soft Compating, 23(18), 8503-8514.
- [25] Krishnadoss, Nivethitha and Ramus Coke Kumar, Crop yield prediction with environmental and chemical variables using optimized exemble concribe model in machine learning, Environmental Research Communications, Vol. 6 10, 124.