### **Journal Pre-proof**

Fully Connected Neural Network based Carrier Estimation Mechanism on Encrypted Images for Data Hiding in Cloud Network

#### Prasad C N and Suchithra R

DOI: 10.53759/7669/jmc202505069 Reference: JMC202505069 Journal: Journal of Machine and Computing.

Received 05 March 2024 Revised form 14 November 2024 Accepted 21 February 2025



**Please cite this article as:** Prasad C N and Suchithra R, "Fully Connected Neural Network Based Carrier Estimation Mechanism on Encrypted Images for Data Hiding in Cloud Network", Journal of Machine and Computing. (2025). Doi: https:// doi.org/10.53759/7669/jmc202505069

This PDF file contains an article that has undergone certain improvements after acceptance. These enhancements include the addition of a cover page, metadata, and formatting changes aimed at enhancing readability. However, it is important to note that this version is not considered the final authoritative version of the article.

Prior to its official publication, this version will undergo further stages of refinement, such as copyediting, typesetting, and comprehensive review. These processes are implemented to ensure the article's final form is of the highest quality. The purpose of sharing this version is to offer early visibility of the article's content to readers.

Please be aware that throughout the production process, it is possible that errors or discrepancies may be identified, which could impact the content. Additionally, all legal disclaimers applicable to the journal remain in effect.

© 2025 Published by AnaPub Publications.



# Fully Connected Neural Network Based Carrier Estimation Mechanism on Encrypted Images for Data Hiding in Cloud Network

\*Prasad C.N<sup>1</sup>, R Suchithra<sup>2</sup>

Research Scholar, Department of Computer Science, Chirashree Institute Of Research and Development(CIRD), University of Mysore, Karnataka, India.<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Science, Chirashree Institute Of Research and Development(CIRD) University of Mysore, Karnataka, India.<sup>2</sup>

prasad\_achar@sju.edu.in, suchithra.suriya@gmail.com

\*Corresponding Author: Prasad C.N

#### Abstract

The work proposes a fully connected neural network (FCN) based approach for detecting the Carrier blocks for embedding the data in encrypted images in the cloud network. In a data embedding process, the determination of nonrrier sixels that provide underflow and overflow during the data embedding process planea or ro e. The location map for the in the encrypted image along with the non-carrier blocks is usually compressed ar eda hidden data. The embedding rate and puck signatio-noise ratio (PSNR) are limited due to the storage of huge location map information on the image. Therefore, the proposed approach uses the FCNN network to detect the Carrier blocks Appn-carrier blocks which highly minimizes the additional location map information to embedded. In the embedding phase, a trained FCNN network is utilized to detect he carrier bocks, in which the FCNN network is trained with the labels that are generated by the 0's and 1's embedding process. Two approaches are utilized I that reludes FCNN with predictor only (FCNN-PO) and FCNN with in training the FCN schemes in detecting the carrier blocks. In the extraction phase, sub-block ful IN most is used to detect the carrier blocks from which the data and actual the s image can be reconstructed. The performance of the carrier detection process was encryp.c. evalua d using measures such as precision, recall, and accuracy, while the data hiding process eval ared using measures such as structural similarity index measurement (SSIM), PSNR, embedding rate. The FCNN-PO carrier/non-carrier classification process results in an average accuracy of 98.59% in detecting the carrier while providing an SSIM, PSNR, and embedding rate of 0.9926, 58.86dB and 1.97bpp respectively during the data embedding process when evaluated using the Bows-2 dataset.

*Keywords*: Data Hiding, fully connected neural network, image encryption, embedding rate, prediction error expansion.

#### 1. Introduction

The enhanced storage capacity of cloud computing [1] has drawn a number of consumers and researchers. Nevertheless, there are a number of issues with cloud storage, such as integrity, secrecy, and authentication. Data encryption [2] and data hiding [3] are employed to address these issues. The pixel content is altered by the data embedding process in order to hold the hidden material. Through the creation of a cipher picture, data encryption is employed which preserves the content of the plain image.

Schemes like difference expansion [4], integer transform [5], prediction pansi [6], and histogram shifting [7] are the foundation of the widely used re embedding le à technique. After data extraction, the original image cannot be received in he non-eversible approach [8], but in the reversible data hiding approach [9], both the arrier image and the hidden data can be recreated without any modifications. Before up adding the picture to the cloud, the user usually encrypts it to protect its privacy con nt. To stop an unauthorized enception can be employed. In individual from seeing the actual image content, partic 01 the patch level representation [10], the original max is transformed into sparse coefficients based on a dictionary, providing an adecaste and nt of curier for embedding the data. For the residual errors to be embedded, this method ecessitates the use of an extra reversible datahiding strategy.

Zhang et al. [11] deve ope no data embedding approaches in which a public cryptosystem is used to e rypt the images based on their homomorphic and probabilistic features. This approa n-reversible and reversible embedding mechanisms. In the es reversible method, e home norphic cryptosystem is utilized to encrypt the final image after n reduced. The non-reversible method uses multilayer wet paper the history firs. bedding the data on the image which was initially encrypted. From the image's codin most sign ficant it (MSB) planes, the block-based MSB plain rearrangement technique [12] s the highly compressible bit streams, where the author Yi et al. suggested parametric calcula e labeling [13], in which the data is encoded in discrete encrypted pieces.

Two general categories can be used to categorize the process of maintaining the private content of an image: reserving a room before encryption (RRBE) and vacating the room after encryption (VRAE). According to the RRBE technique [14], the picture owner must preprocess the image so that encryption can take place after that some space has been left for data embedding. However, the owner of the VRAE technique [15], can upload the image to the

cloud and encrypt it without performing any additional pre-processing. To conceal the data, the cloud might alter the encrypted picture. The RRBE strategy can produce a higher payload than the VRAE approach, however, it necessitates an extra preprocessing step that adds to the workload for the owner of the image.

Ma et al. [14] presented the RRBE scheme for the first time. This method is based on the reversible data hiding technique known as histogram shifting, in which a blank space produced in order to incorporate a small number of pixels least significant bits (LSE the remaining areas are encrypted and sent to the cloud, the unoccupied space is left tact. Th method yields an embedding rate of 0.5bpp and uses the unoccupied region of consecret data. Puyang et al. [15] used a second MSB plane in addition o the first MS plane for data embedding, modifying the predictor used in [16]. An average load of 1.35bpp is n provided by this method. Puteaux et al. [17] employ the bit planes iter vely from MSB to LSB, resulting in an average embedding rate of 1.84bpp. When compared to a difference expansion strategy established by Tian et al. [18], the erformance of the prediction error expansion proposed by Thodi et al. [19] delivers a cherior mance.

Ou et al. [20] introduced the two dimension onal (2.) prediction error histogram (PEH) and the one-dimensional (1D) prediction encountry stogram with the 2D approach offering a better correlation between the prediction errors. 2D-X H and 1D-PEH were merged by the author Zhang et al. [21] to create an effective map for data embedding. According to Li et al. [22], the picture pixel is divided interwo areas: he flat area and the rough region. The flat area can embed two bits per pixel, wile the rough area can embed one bit per pixel. The prediction value [23] is estimated by a graging four neighboring pixels, which enhances the quality of the marked image The togr h's prediction error is shifted to the right and left, producing a number of o and teak spots. Because of this, a higher capacity is achieved when histogram nd prediction error expansion are combined [24]. shiftin

The scheme [25] uses different predictors in embedding the data. However, a volutional neural network model (CNN) was utilized to choose the best predictor, in which a CNN model was trained by embedding the trial data using different predictors. An adaptive approach [26] was used with a block embedding process that increases the Laplacian type distribution in data embedding. To improve the correlation between the intra block the encryption was done by a bit plane selection approach which improve the performance to a certain extent. The data was embedded in a hierarchical approach [27] that classifies the pixels of the image based on multiple linear regression. However, this approach results in huge location map information which is compressed and embedded in the encrypted image.

Most of the data embedding approaches that are discussed above do not uses a artificial intelligence based carrier and non-carrier detection approach that pre-determines the carrier blocks for embedding the data. The carrier and non-carrier blocks are only detected after embedding the data, which results in a huge location map information. Therefore, the proposed approach uses a fully connected neural network to pre-determine the carrier blocks better the actual embedding process. This further facilitate to pre-determine the carrier blocks better the data extraction process in which the data is embedded. Detection of carrier and non-carrier without the use of location map information will highly reduce the computional burden of the data-hiding process while improving the embedding rate.

The contributions of the work are mentioned below

- (i) The work proposes a fully connected neural network (FCNN) based carrier and noncarrier classification approach which facilitate operate ermine the carrier blocks before the actual embedding process.
- (ii) Two different types of carrier and con-carrier classification approaches namely FCNN with sub-block fully (FC, V-SF) and FCNN with predictor only (FCNN-PO) were proposed to detect the carrier blocks.
- (iii) The approach uses a prediction error expansion approach to embed the data, while in the extraction phase, the carrier is again detected using either the same FCNN-SF or FCNETCO as roach.
- (iv) A blockbased approach is utilized in the encryption/decryption process, where the classification process involved in the proposed approach was evaluated with the natrice recall, precision, and accuracy. The embedding process involved in the proposed approach was evaluated with the measures namely, SSIM, embedding rate, and PSNR with the datasets namely BOWS-2 and Bossbase.

The probing sections of the paper are structured as follows. A detailed description of the proposed approach is presented in Section 2, while the experimental results of the proposed scheme are provided in Section 3. Finally, the conclusion is framed in Section 4.

2. Proposed method

The three major steps involved in the proposed data embedding approach are (i) the Data embedding process with Carrier detection, (ii) the Data extraction process with carrier detection, and (iii) the Training process of FCNN with label generation.

#### 2.1 Data embedding process with Carrier detection

The illustration of the data embedding process is shown in Fig. 1. In the embedding proces the image was initially encrypted by a block-based encryption approach.



Fig.1: Flow representation of data embedding

(a) Encryption process

Let G(x, y) represents the actual image having a size of  $J \times K$ . The image G(x, y) is initially grouped into sub-blocks each having a size of  $3 \times 3$ . Thus, the total number of sub-blocks be  $N_t = \frac{J \times K}{9}$  Let  $\Delta$  representate key that is used to perform encryption. The key  $\Delta = \{\Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$  has two constants. The key  $\Delta_1$  is used to perform the inter-block permutation process, while the key  $\Delta_1$  is used to perform the intra-block permutation process.



Fig. 2: Inter-block and intra-block permutation-based every

In the proposed encryption process, two permutation processes are avolved namely (i) Inter-block permutation and (ii) intra-block permutation. In the inter-block permutation process  $N_t$  number of random sequences between 1 and  $N_t$  is generated utilizing the key  $\Delta_1$ . Let the inter-block random sequence be represented by  $S_1$ . With the sequence  $S_1$ , the position of each block is shuffled. Let the sub-blocks after shuffling be represented as  $G_1(x, y)$ . In the intrablock permutation, the key  $\Delta_2$  is used to derive  $N_t$  number of intermediate keys using the pseudo-random sequence whose values in between 1 and 8. Using the intermediate keys the pixels in the sub-blocks are scrambled to perform intra-block permutation as illustrated in Fig. 2.

(b) Data embedding

Let P(x, y) represents the image that was encrypted by the user (image that was uploaded to the cloud). The image P(x, y) was partitioned into non-overlapping blocks where each block has one of X 3. Each sub-blocks are fed to the trained FCNN for primary classification the trained FCNN categorizes the sub-blocks either as primary non-carriers  $P_{nc}(x, y)$  coprimely carriers  $P_c(x, y)$ . Let the 3  $\times$  3 neighborhood pixels with center pixel h be represented by the matrix

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 \\ g_4 & h & g_5 \\ g_6 & g_7 & g_8 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

From the neighbor pixels  $p = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_8\}$ , the predictor  $\hat{\varphi}$  is estimated. The proposed predictor is derived from the predictor  $\varphi$  used in [25] which has the following relations.

$$Predictor \varphi = \begin{cases} \min(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) & \gamma_1 \ge \max(g_7, \gamma_2) \\ \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) & \gamma_1 \ge \min(g_7, \gamma_2) \\ g_7 + \gamma_2 - \gamma_2 & otherwise \end{cases}$$
(2)

Where,  $\gamma_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{(g_1 + g_2 + g_3)}{3} \right\rfloor$ , and  $\gamma_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{(g_4 + g_5 + g_6)}{3} \right\rfloor$ 

For the determination of the proposed predictor  $\hat{\varphi}$ , the pixels  $p = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_8\}$  is sorted ascending order. The sorted pixel sequence is represented as  $f = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6, b_7, b_8\}$ After sorting, three different boundary values are estimated. The first boundary values estimated from the alternate sorted values starting from the first value  $b_1$ 

$$\hat{\gamma}_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{(b_1 + b_3 + b_5 + b_7)}{4} \right\rfloor$$

The second boundary value is estimated from the alternate sorted values started from the second value  $b_2$ 

$$\hat{\gamma}_2 = \left[\frac{(b_2 + b_4 + b_6 + b_8)}{4}\right]$$
(4)

(3)

The third boundary represents the median interval which can be estimated by

$$\hat{\gamma}_3 = \left\lfloor \frac{(b_4 + b)}{2} \right\rfloor \tag{5}$$

Using the three boundaries  $\hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\gamma}_2 = \hat{\gamma}_3$  the predictor can be estimated as,

$$\hat{\varphi} = \max(\hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\gamma}_2) \qquad \hat{\gamma}_3 \ge \max(\hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\gamma}_2) \\ \hat{\varphi} = \max(\hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\gamma}_2) \qquad \hat{\gamma}_3 \ge \min(\hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\gamma}_2) \\ \hat{\gamma}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_2 - \hat{\gamma}_3 \qquad otherwise$$
(6)

During the data embedding the prediction error is estimated using the predictor  $\hat{\varphi}$  and the centre pixel h as,

$$\delta = h - \hat{\varphi} \tag{7}$$

The error  $\delta$  can be expanded using the relation,

$$\hat{\delta} = \begin{cases} 2\delta + \rho & \text{if } \delta \in (\rho, \infty) \\ 2\delta - \rho & \text{if } \delta \in (-\infty, -\rho) \\ D + 2\delta & \text{if } \delta \in (-\rho, \rho) \end{cases}$$
(8)

Here *D* represent the data and the embedding capacity can be controlled by the parameter  $\rho$ . Utilizing the expanded error and the predictor value  $\hat{\phi}$ , the marked pixel can be obtained as

# $\hat{h} = \hat{\varphi} + \hat{\delta}$

(9)

The primary carrier blocks  $P_c(x, y)$  is used to embed the data D to obtain the data embedded carrier blocks Q(x, y). The data-embedded carrier blocks Q(x, y) is checked for overflow/underflow. This overflow/underflow detection categorizes the data-embedded blocks as secondary non-carriers  $Q_{nc}(x, y)$  or secondary carriers  $Q_c(x, y)$ . The secondary carrier blocks does not have any overflow/underflow, while the secondary non-carrier blocks have overflow/underflow. The primary non-carrier blocks  $P_{nc}(x, y)$ , secondary non-carrier blocks have overflow/underflow. The primary non-carrier blocks  $P_{nc}(x, y)$ , secondary non-carrier blocks  $Q_{nc}(x, y)$ , and secondary carrier blocks  $Q_c(x, y)$  are merged to obtain the marked uncryptal image. Eventhough, the secondary non-carriers also hold the secret data is formation, the data embedded in this non-carrier are re-embedded (Data  $D_1$ ) in another carrier location. The location map of secondary non-carrier blocks is compressed and calcuded in the marked encrypted image. However, the number of location map information is usely reduced since the majority of the non-carrier can be detected by the FCP and though its location map in the extraction phase. Let the resultant marked encrypted image by represented as R(x, y).

# (ii) Data extraction process with Carrier de



# Fig. 3: Flow representation of data extraction

During the data extraction process, the cloud server will extract the data that was embedded in the marked encrypted image and reconstruct the actual encrypted image which was uploaded to the cloud by the user. The marked encrypted image  $\hat{R}(x, y)$  is initially partitioned into sub-blocks where each block has a size of  $3 \times 3$ . From the marked encrypted image, the additional location map information regarding the secondary non-carriers is initially extracted as illustrated in Fig. 3. The trained FCNN is utilized to classify the sub-blocks into primary carrier and non-carrier classes. From the extracted location map information, the secondary carrier blocks  $\hat{Q}_c(x, y)$ , and secondary non-carrier blocks  $\hat{Q}_{nc}(x, y)$  are identified. The secondary non-carrier blocks  $\hat{Q}_{nc}(x, y)$  which are identified by location map information are not considered for data extraction, since it does not hold data. The data from the secondary carrier blocks are extracted using the prediction error expansion approach using the propose predictor provided in equation (6). Let the predictor estimated from the 3x3 sub-blocks be while  $\hat{h}$  represents the marked center pixels. Using the predictor  $\hat{\varphi}$  and certar pixe  $\hat{h}$  are expanded error can be estimated as

$$\hat{\delta} = \hat{h} - \hat{\varphi}$$
(10)

Using the expanded error  $\hat{\delta}$ , the hidden data *D* and the actual center pixel *h* can be reconstructed [28]. The same procedure is followed in all ob-blocks to obtain the encrypted image and the complete data *D*. The reconstructed encrypted image  $\hat{P}(x, y)$  is decrypted using the same inter-intra permutation approach to obtain the actual image by the user. The same key  $\Delta$  must be used in the decryption process for the exact reconstruction of plain image.

# (iii) Training process of FCNN and label generation



Fig. 4: Flow diagram representation of training process in FCNN model.

For the training of the FCNN [29], the labels are initially generated. In the FCNN with subblock fully (FCNN-SF) approach the FCNN uses the number of input layers as 9, while in the FCNN with predictor only (FCNN-PO) approach the FCNN uses the number of input layers as 8. In the FCNN-PO approach the pixels that are utilized for the predictor estimation are used for training, while in the FCNN-SF approach the complete pixels in the  $3 \times 3$  sub-blocks are used for training. The labels are generated by embedding the trial data on the center pixels. T sub-block is considered to have carrier as label, if the block does not result in overflow underflow while embedding trial 0's and 1's during data embedding. Conversely, the block considered to have non-carrier as label if it results in overflow or underflow dur g tri 1's during data embedding. The FCNN is model is trained using the p b-blocks as els he FCNN input is the input and generated labels as the actual labels as illustrated in ġ. 4. normalized between -1 to 1 before the actual training/ classification process. The pixel input xto the input layer is normalized using the relation  $\hat{x} = \frac{(x-128)}{x}$  where  $\hat{x} = \frac{(x-128)}{x}$ n results in both positive and negative values. The proposed FCNN uses the LCL function [30] which gives £10 equal weightage to both positive and negative val . The V uses 2 hidden layers each having 12 neurons in both the FCNN-SF d FC pproach.



Fig. 5: Representation of LCL activation function

The structure of the LCL activation function is illustrated in Fig. 5 which contains a cosine region between two linear regions. Let  $\varepsilon_m$  and  $\varepsilon_{LR}$  represents the amplitude or height of the middle cosine and linear regions respectively. The width of the mid-cosine region is represented as  $\sigma_m$ . The output of the activation function  $y_{act}(x)$  for input x can be represented as

$$y_{act}(x) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_m \cos\left(2\pi \left(0.75 + \frac{0.25x}{\sigma_m}\right)\right) & -\sigma_m \le x \le \sigma_m \\ \varepsilon_{LR} x + y_{act}(\sigma_m) - \varepsilon_{LR} \sigma_m & x > \sigma_m \\ \varepsilon_{LR} x + y_{act}(-\sigma_m) + \varepsilon_{LR} \sigma_m & x < -\sigma_m \end{cases}$$
(11)

The use of the LCL activation function bounds the values between -1 to 1 while training or classifying the model. In the proposed approach the activation function uses the factors  $\varepsilon_m$  0.6,  $\varepsilon_{LR} = 0.4$  and  $\sigma_m = 0.6$ . The next section provides the experimental results of the FCN. SF and FCNN-PO data hiding schemes.

### 3. Experimental Results

Two databases such as BOWS-2 [31] and Bossbase databases [52] are used for coaluation. The images of these two databases are 8 bit grayscale images each have a size of  $512 \times 512$ . Each dataset has 10,000 images, where a few sample images are illustrated in Fig. 6. To detect the carrier block, the FCNN is trained with 60% of the mages from each dataset. The remaining 40% of the images are used to test the carrier exterior process and the actual data embedding. The tool MATLAB 2018a is used to implement the data embedding algorithm.



**(b)** 

Fig. 6: Sample images used for analysis (a) Bows-2 dataset (b) Bossbase dataset

Let the true positives, false negatives, true negatives, and false positives be represented by the variables  $\rho_{tp}$ ,  $\rho_{fn}$ ,  $\rho_{tn}$  and  $\rho_{fp}$  respectively. The performance of the proposed fully connected network-based carrier detection process was evaluated using measures such as precision, recall, and accuracy which can be estimated with the relations that are provided below,

$$Precision = \frac{\rho_{tp}}{\rho_{tp} + \rho_{fp}}$$

$$Recall = \frac{\rho_{tp}}{\rho_{tp} + \rho_{fr}}$$

$$Accuracy = \frac{\rho_{tp} + \rho_{tn}}{\rho_{tp} + \rho_{tn} + \rho_{fp} + \rho_{fn}}$$

The performance of the proposed data hiding approach was evaluated with the parameters namely structural similarity index measurement (SSIM), embedding ran and peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) that can be estimated using the following relations.

$$SSIM(P,R) = \frac{(2\sigma_{P,R} + \omega_1)(2\rho_P \mu_R, \omega_2)}{(\sigma_P^2 + \sigma_R^2 + \sigma_2)(\mu_1, \mu_R^2 + \omega_2)}$$
(15)

(12)

In the above equation of SSIM  $\sigma_P^2$  and  $\sigma_P^2$  opresents the variance of the encrypted and marked encrypted image respectively, while  $\mu_P$  and  $\sigma_R$  represents the mean of the encrypted and marked encrypted image respectively.

Encodeding rate = 
$$\frac{Z}{J \times K}$$
 (16)

In above equation Z represens the total number of bits embedded and  $J \times K$  represents the size of the image

$$PSNR = 10 \log_{10} \frac{255^2}{\tau}$$
(17)

Where resembles the mean square error estimated using the encrypted image P(x, y) and the mark lence read image R(x, y) as

$$\tau = \frac{1}{J \times K} \sum_{x=1}^{J} \sum_{y=1}^{K} [P(x, y) - R(x, y)]$$
(18)



Fig. 7: Results obtained by the proposed approach in carner extection on plain images (a) Input images (b) Encrypted images (c) Carriers detected by CNU-SF (d) Carriers detected by FCNN-PL

Fig. 7 illustrates the results obtained by the proposed approach in detecting the carriers and the non-carriers on the plain images without accretion. The red color indication shows the non-carrier blocks which are detected by the FCN. SF and FCNN-PO approach. Both the FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO approach almost detect an equal number of non-carriers. This non-carrier block indicates that these blocks and werflow or underflow if the data either 0 or 1 is embedded.



Fig. 8: Results obtained during the proposed ar Froach (a) Input images (b) Encrypted images (c) Carriers detected by FCNN-2 (d) Carriers detected by FCNN-PO

Fig. 8 illustrates the results obtained by the proposed approach in detecting the carriers and the non-carriers on the encrypted in agent the red color indication shows the non-carrier blocks that are detected by the CNN-SE and FCNN-PO on the encrypted images. For this implementation, in both the score FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO the plain images are encrypted with the same key to compare the location of the FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO non-carriers. Both the FCNN-SF and FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO non-carriers. This non-carrier exact indicates that these blocks will overflow or underflow if the data either 0 or 1 is embedded.



Fig. 9: Confusion matrices obtained during the classification of carrier and pen-cark Input image (b) FCNN-SF (c) FCNN-PO

Fig. 9 (b) and (c) show the confusion matrices obtained by the CNN/0F and FCNN-PO schemes respectively in the classification of carrier and non-carrier. In this confusion matrices the class '0' represents the non-carrier blocks while the class '1' represents the classified carrier blocks.



Fig. 10: Accuracy and loss comparison for the two approaches FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO during the training process (a) Accuracy plot in Bossbase dataset (b) Loss plot in Bossbase dataset (c) Accuracy plot in Bows-2 dataset (b) Loss plot in Bows-2 dataset

Fig. 10 illustrates the accuracy and loss curves obtained during the training of the model by the FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO approaches. The FCNN model was trained with 50 epochs. The accuracy and loss almost stabilize as the number of epochs reaches 25. The training accuracy obtained while training with the Bows-2 dataset is less than the Bossbase dataset. Also, the loss obtained while training with the Bows-2 dataset is higher than the Bossbase dataset.

Database	Class	]	FCNN-S	F	FC N-PO			
		Precision	Recall	Accuracy	Precisio	Recall	Accuracy	
Bossbase	Carrier	98.73	98.12	98.46	99.12	98.96	99.24	
	Non-carrier	98.23	98.04	98.54	98 6	98.74	99.01	
	Average	98.48	98.08	98.5	99.04	98.85	99.13	
Bows-2	Carrier	98.21	97.96	98.3	<b>B</b> .76	98.43	98.74	
	Non-carrier	98.01	97.58	7.91	8.54	98.26	98.43	
	Average	98.11	97	8.14	98.65	98.35	98.59	

 Table I: Performance comparison of the FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO schem

 classification of carrier and non-carrier.

n of the FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO schemes in Table I illustrates the performance compa detecting the carrier and non-carrier. For the evaluation of the FCNN-SF, the FCNN model is trained with the complete sub-locks before and after embedding the data. Therefore, the performance was evaluated in the complete sub-block before and after embedding the data. The FCNN-SF approach results in an average precision, recall, and accuracy of 98.48%, respectively when evaluated using Bossbase dataset. The same approach 98.08%, and 98.50 results in preci n, i all. 4 d accuracy of 98.11%, 97.77%, and 98.14% respectively using e evaluation of the FCNN-PO approach, the FCNN model is trained with Bows-2 da et. For only the redictor pixels without including the pixel in which the data is embedded. The FCNN-PO a sults in an average precision, recall, and accuracy of 99.04%, 98.85%, and roac 13% expectively when evaluated using the Bossbase dataset. The same approach results in precision, recall, and accuracy of 98.65%, 98.35%, and 98.59% respectively when evaluated using the Bows-2 dataset.





Fig. 11 illustrates the graphical comparison of the proposed schemes FCEDLSF ad FCNT-PO approach in both the Bossbase and Bows-2 dataset. The FCNN-PO approach result in higher precision, recall, and accuracy of 0.56%, 0.77%, 0.63% respectively prossbase dataset. The FCNN-PO approach results in higher precision, recall, and accuracy of 0.54%, 0.58%, 0.45% respectively in Bows-2 dataset.

Table II: Performance comparison of FCNN-SF and COM-PO pproach with recent data-

hiding approach t							
Methods	SST 1	PSNR (dB)	ER (bpp)				
Efficient prediction [16]	0. 788	60.84	1.42				
EPE approach [17]	0.990	55.63	1.81				
Binary tree [13	0.9871	51.36	1.92				
Extended Aun-length [12]	0.9892	53.47	1.93				
Recur RD. [33]	0.9921	57.81	1.7				
CN -Predit or [25]	0.9915	55.82	1.95				
SCN SE CossBase)	0.9929	58.97	1.99				
FC. N-SF (Bows-2)	0.9923	58.42	1.98				
FCNN-PO (BossBase)	0.9931	59.01	1.99				
<b>FCNN-PO</b> (Bows-2)	0.9926	58.86	1.97				

The oparison interms of SSIM, PSNR, and ER for the proposed approach was made with our data hiding schemes such as efficient prediction [16], EPE approach [17], Binary tree [13], Extended Run-length [12], Recursive RDH [33], and CNN-predictor approach [25]. The data was embedded by selecting the carrier using the two proposed carrier selection processes namely FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO. The performance of the proposed FCNN-PO is better when compared to the FCNN-SF approach in both the Bossbase and Bows-2 datasets as illustrated in Table II. In case of the BossBase dataset, the FCNN-PO results in SSIM, PSNR, and embedding rates of 0.9931, 59.01*dB* and 1.99*bpp* respectively. In case of the Bows-2 dataset, the FCNN-PO results in SSIM, PSNR, and embedding rate of 0.9926, 58.86dB, and 1.97*bpp* respectively. The proposed FCNN-PO approach results in higher performance than the traditional schemes including the FCNN-SF approach.



Fig.12: Variation of PSNR at different embedding rates for the proposed two approaches ECNN-SF and FCNN-PO

Fig. 12 illustrates the variation dePSNA of different embedding rates between 0.02bpp to 2bpp. As the bpp is increased, then SNR gradually reduces in both the approaches namely FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO. This characteristic is almost linear in using both the BossBase and Bows-2 dataset. While comparing the FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO schemes, the FCNN-PO approach results in higher TONR performance at different embedding rates.

Let  $T_{c,e}$ ,  $T_{d,em}$ , and  $T_{l,em}$ , be the time of classification, data embedding, and location map unbed in respectively during the data embedding process. Thus, the total time during e embedding phase is

$$T_{t,em} = T_{c,em} + T_{d,em} + T_{l,em}$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

Let  $T_{c,ex}$ ,  $T_{d,ex}$ , and  $T_{l,ex}$ , be the time of classification, data extraction, and location map extraction respectively during the data extraction process. Thus, the total time during the extraction phase is

$$T_{t,ex} = T_{c,ex} + T_{d,ex} + T_{l,ex}$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

	Scheme	Time complexity (s)								
Dataset		Embedding phase				Extraction phase			X	
		T <sub>c,em</sub>	T <sub>d,em</sub>	T <sub>l,em</sub>	T <sub>t,em</sub>	T <sub>c,ex</sub>	T <sub>l,ex</sub>	T <sub>d,ex</sub>	T <sub>t,e;</sub>	
Bossbase	FCNN-SF	0.478	0.597	0.397	1.472	0.416	0.423	0.341	1,18	
	FCNN-PO	0.517	0.623	0.439	1.579	0.452	0.463	0.38	1.30	
Bows-2	FCNN-SF	0.493	0.612	0.413	1.518	0.431	0.448	.368		
	FCNN-PO	0.526	0.647	0.451	1.624	0.47	0.49	0.4	1.382	

Table III: Time complexity of the schemes FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO

Table III illustrates the time complexity comparison of the proposed h when evaluated bedding phase by the FCNNusing the Bossbase and Bows-2 datasets. The total time in SF and FCNN-PO approach when evaluated in the Bo atas is estimated as 1.472s and h hase by the FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO 1.518s respectively. The total time in the e tio approach when evaluated in the Bossbas estimated as 1.18s and 1.302s respectively. dataset In both the Bossbase and Bows-2 datasets CNN-PO approach has a higher computation time than the FCNN-SF approach as illustrated Fig. 13.



Fig.13: Graphical comparison of time complexity in proposed data embedding Even though the FCNN-PO approach provides a higher computation time, its performance is higher in both the Bossbase and Bows-2 datasets.

#### 4. Conclusion

The work proposed a data hiding approach along with a carrier/ non-carrier detection process. Two different carrier detection process namely FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO are proposed that uses fully connected neural networks. The FCNN-SF differentiates the carrier and non-carrier blocks with the complete information from the sub-block including the pixel in which the data is embedded. The FCNN-PO uses only the predictor pixels without considering the pixel t is used to embed data. The performance of the FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO app classifying the carrier and non-carrier was evaluated using the measures such as recisio recall, and accuracy while the performance of the FCNN-SF and FCNN-PO ta emb ng process was evaluated using the measures such as SSIM, embed ing rate and NR. The proposed FCNN-SF-based carrier detection process results in preck ecall, and accuracy n of 98.48%, 98.08%, and 98.50% respectively, while the FCNN-PQ-ba d carrier detection results in precision, recall, and accuracy of 99.04%, 98.45%, 3.13% respectively when evaluated in Bossbase dataset. In the process of data high CNN-PO results in SSIM, ie ( PSNR, and embedding rate of 0.9931, 59.01dB. an ectively which is better than the FCNN-SF approach and other recent a em eddin. schemes.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare inconflict of interest

**Data Availability Statements**: The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study available from the corresponding automonor reasonable request.

Funding: Not applicable

Consent to publish All the uthors gave permission to Consent to publish.

# Referen

[1]. Ye vurt, Murat, and Yildiray Yalman. "New approach for ensuring cloud computing security: using data hiding methods." Sādhanā 41.11 (2016): 1289-1298.

7. S. Janasekaran, Dr. P. Mathiyalagan, Rajeshwaran, A. Manikandan, "Automatic per ation of Lung Tumors Using Adaptive Neuron-Fuzzy Inference System", *Annals of SCB*, pp. 17468–17483, Jun. 2021.

[3]. Shijin, Kumar PS, and Dhas D. Edwin. "Simulated attack based feature region selection for efficient digital image watermarking." 2012 International Conference on Computing, Electronics and Electrical Technologies (ICCEET). IEEE, 2012.

[4]. Mohammed, A. S., Neravetla, A. R., Nomula, V. K., Gupta, K., & Dhanasekaran, S. (2024, June). Understanding the Impact of AI-driven Clinical Decision Support Systems. In 2024 15th

International Conference on Computing Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

[5]. Valandar, Milad Yousefi, et al. "An integer wavelet transform image steganography method based on 3D sine chaotic map." Multimedia Tools and Applications 78.8 (2019): 9971-9989.

[6]. VK, P. (2023). Enhancing pancreatic cancer classification through dynamic weighted ensemble: a game theory approach. Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering, 1-25.

[7]. Sao, Nguyen Kim, Cao Thi Luyen, and Pham Van At. "Efficient reversible date mix using block histogram shifting with invariant peak points." J. Inf. Hiding Multur. Sign Process. 13.1 (2022): 78-97.

[8]. Zulehner, Alwin, and Robert Wille. "Make it reversible: Efficient enbedding of non-reversible functions." Design, Automation & Test in Europe Conference & Athibition (DATE), 2017. IEEE, 2017.

[9]. 39. Rufus, N. H. A., Anand, D., Rama, R. S., Kumar, A., & Jugnestwar, A. S. (2022, November). Evolutionary Optimization with Deep Transfer Learning for Content based Image Retrieval in Cloud Environment. In 2022 International Conference on Augmented Intelligence and Sustainable Systems (ICAISS) (pp. 826-831). IFFE.

[10]. X. Cao, L. Du, X. Wei, D. Meng, and X. Cuo, "Ligh capacity reversible data hiding in encrypted images by patch-level sparse epresentation," IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 1132–1143, 2016.

[11]. Gopal, S. K., Mohammed, A. S., Saddi, V. K., Dhanasekaran, S., & Naruka, M. S. (2024, March). Investigate the role of trachine learning in optimizing dynamic scaling strategies for cloud-based applications. In 00.0 and laternational Conference on Disruptive Technologies (ICDT) (pp. 543-548). IEE

[12]. K. Chen and G-C. Chang, "High-capacity reversible data hiding in encrypted images based on extended non-length coding and block-based MSB plane rearrangement," Journal of Visual Communication of Image Representation, vol. 58, pp. 334–344, 2019.

[13]. Jaddi, J. R., Gopal, S. K., Mohammed, A. S., Dhanasekaran, S., & Naruka, M. S. (2024, March). Twamp, the role of generative AI in enhancing threat intelligence and cyber security measures. In 2024 2nd International Conference on Disruptive Technologies (ICDT) (pp. 537-542). IEEE.

[14] Ma, W. Zhang, X. Zhao, N. Yu, and F. Li, "Reversible data hiding in encrypted images be serving room before encryption," IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 553–562, 2013.

[15]. Y. Puyang, Z. Yin, and Z. Qian, "Reversible data hiding in encrypted images with two-MSB prediction," in IEEE Workshop on Information Forensics and Security. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–7. [16]. P. Puteaux and W. Puech, "An efficient MSB prediction-based method for high capacity reversible data hiding in encrypted images," IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 1670–1681, 2018.

[17]. Puteaux, Pauline, and William Puech. "EPE-based huge-capacity reversible data hiding in encrypted images." 2018 IEEE International Workshop on Information Forensics and Security (WIFS). IEEE, 2018.

[18]. J. Tian, ``Reversible data embedding using a difference expansion," IEEE Trans. Circu Syst. Video Technol., vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 890896, Aug. 2003.

[19]. D. M. Thodi and J. J. Rodriguez, ``Expansion embedding techniques for eversit watermarking," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 721-730, Mar. 2 07.

[20]. B. Ou, X. Li, Y. Zhao, R. Ni, and Y.-Q. Shi, "Pairwise prediction-error expansion for efficient reversible data hiding," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 22, p. 50105021, Dec. 2013.

[21]. B. Ou, X. Li,W. Zhang, and Y. Zhao, 'Improving pairwise PEL via hybrid- dimensional histogram generation and adaptive mapping selection," LEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 2176\_2190, Jul. 2019.

[22]. X. Li, B. Yang, and T. Zeng, 'Efcient reversible watermarking based on adaptive prediction-error expansion and pixel selection," IEE Cans. Image Process., vol. 20, no. 12, pp. 35243533, Dec. 2011.

[23]. V. Sachnev, H. Joong Kim, J. Nam, S. Stash, and Y. Qing Shi, "Reversible watermarking algorithm using sorting and prediction," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 989-999, Jul. 2009.

[24]. W. Wang, J. Ye, T. Warg, and W. Yang, ``A high capacity reversible data hiding scheme based on right-left shift," *Signal* Process., vol. 150, pp. 102-115, Sep. 2018.

[25] CN, Prasad, and R. Suchima. "Convolutional Neural Network-based High Capacity Predictor Estimation for R versible Data Embedding in Cloud Network." Computational Methods in Differential Equations (2024).

[26]. 197 Z., Chai, X., Tang, Z., He, X., Gan, Z., & Cao, G. (2024). Adaptive embedding combining I BE and IBBE for high-capacity reversible data hiding in encrypted images. Signal Processing, 210, 109299.

[24] Gal, H., Zhang, X., & Gao, T. (2024). Hierarchical reversible data hiding in encrypted images based on multiple linear regressions and multiple bits prediction. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 83(3), 8757-8783.

[28]. He, W., & Cai, Z. (2020). An insight into pixel value ordering prediction-based predictionerror expansion. IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, 15, 3859-3871. [29]. Wang, H., Shi, H., Lin, K., Qin, C., Zhao, L., Huang, Y., & Liu, C. (2020). A high-precision arrhythmia classification method based on dual fully connected neural network. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control, 58, 101874.

[30]. Naren, J., & Babu, A. R. (2024). EEG stress classification based on Doppler spectral features for ensemble 1D-CNN with LCL activation function. Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences, 36(4), 102013.

[31]. P. Bas and T. Furon, "Image database of BOWS-2," http://bows2.ec-lille.fr/.

[32]. Bas P, Filler T, Pevny T (2011) Break our steganographic system: The ins an organizing BOSS. Information Hiding pp 59–70

[33]. Puteaux, Pauline, and William Puech. "A recursive reversible date hidher in encrypted images method with a very high payload." IEEE Transactions on Maximec. 23 (1020): 636-650.